Combination of inclusive DIS data from HERA I+II and HERAPDF2.0 PDF fits

Volodymyr Myronenko
DESY
(on behalf of H1 and ZEUS collaborations)

Low X conference
Kyoto, Japan 2014

HERA collider



$$E_P = 920\,(460, 575)\,GeV$$
$$E_e = 27.5\,GeV$$

$$\sqrt{s} = 318\,(225, 252)\,GeV$$

Experimental achievements:

~ 0.5fb^{-1} DIS data from each experiment

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2$$

$$x_{Bj} = \frac{Q^2}{2\,pq} \qquad y = \frac{pq}{pk}$$

$$s = (p + k)^2 \qquad Q^2 = xys$$



HERA data collection

HERAPDF1.0

HERAPDF1.5

HERAPDF2.0

Data Set		x Grid from	x Grid to	Q^2/GeV^2 Grid from	Q^2/GeV^2 Grid to	$\mathcal{L}$ pb^{-1}	e^+/e^-	$\sqrt{s}$ GeV
HERA I E_p = 820 GeV and E_p = 920 GeV data sets								
H1 svx-mb	95-00	0.000005	0.02	0.2	12	2.1	e^+p	301, 319
H1 low Q^2	96-00	0.0002	0.1	12	150	22	e^+p	301, 319
H1 NC	94-97	0.0032	0.65	150	30000	35.6	e^+p	301
H1 CC	94-97	0.013	0.40	300	15000	35.6	e^+p	301
H1 NC	98-99	0.0032	0.65	150	30000	16.4	e^-p	319
H1 CC	98-99	0.013	0.40	300	15000	16.4	e^-p	319
H1 NC HY	98-99	0.0013	0.01	100	800	16.4	e^-p	319
H1 NC	99-00	0.0013	0.65	100	30000	65.2	e^+p	319
H1 CC	99-00	0.013	0.40	300	15000	65.2	e^+p	319
ZEUS BPC	95	0.000002	0.00006	0.11	0.65	1.65	e^+p	300
ZEUS BPT	97	0.0000006	0.001	0.045	0.65	3.9	e^+p	300
ZEUS SVX	95	0.000012	0.0019	0.6	17	0.2	e^+p	300
ZEUS NC	96-97	0.00006	0.65	2.7	30000	30.0	e^+p	300
ZEUS CC	94-97	0.015	0.42	280	17000	47.7	e^+p	300
ZEUS NC	98-99	0.005	0.65	200	30000	15.9	e^-p	318
ZEUS CC	98-99	0.015	0.42	280	30000	16.4	e^-p	318
ZEUS NC	99-00	0.005	0.65	200	30000	63.2	e^+p	318
ZEUS CC	99-00	0.008	0.42	280	17000	60.9	e^+p	318
HERA II E_p = 920 GeV data sets								
H1 NC	03-07	0.0008	0.65	60	30000	182	e^+p	319
H1 CC	03-07	0.008	0.40	300	15000	182	e^+p	319
H1 NC	03-07	0.0008	0.65	60	50000	151.7	e^-p	319
H1 CC	03-07	0.008	0.40	300	30000	151.7	e^-p	319
H1 NC med Q^2	03-07	0.0000986	0.005	8.5	90	97.6	e^+p	319
H1 NC low Q^2	03-07	0.000029	0.00032	2.5	12	5.9	e^+p	319
ZEUS NC	06-07	0.005	0.65	200	30000	135.5	e^+p	318
ZEUS CC	06-07	0.0078	0.42	280	30000	132	e^+p	318
ZEUS NC	05-06	0.005	0.65	200	30000	169.9	e^-p	318
ZEUS CC	04-06	0.015	0.65	280	30000	175	e^-p	318
ZEUS NC nominal	06-07	0.000092	0.008343	7	110	44.5	e^+p	318
ZEUS NC satellite	06-07	0.000071	0.008343	5	110	44.5	e^+p	318
HERA II E_p = 575 GeV data sets								
H1 NC high Q^2	07	0.00065	0.65	35	800	5.4	e^+p	252
H1 NC low Q^2	07	0.0000279	0.0148	1.5	90	5.9	e^+p	252
ZEUS NC nominal	07	0.000147	0.013349	7	110	7.1	e^+p	251
ZEUS NC satellite	07	0.000125	0.013349	5	110	7.1	e^+p	251
HERA II E_p = 460 GeV data sets								
H1 NC high Q^2	07	0.00081	0.65	35	800	11.8	e^+p	225
H1 NC low Q^2	07	0.0000348	0.0148	1.5	90	12.2	e^+p	225
ZEUS NC nominal	07	0.000184	0.016686	7	110	13.9	e^+p	225
ZEUS NC satellite	07	0.000143	0.016686	5	110	13.9	e^+p	225

Full HERA I data

HERA II data HER HERA II data LER

- All inclusive DIS results are final and published!

Adding new data



- Increased precision.
- New measurements at various s.

$$s = \frac{Q^2}{xy}$$

Combination challenge

- Translating/Swimming various measurements to common points of kinematic phase space.

- Averaging measurements

  (account for correlations of systematic uncertainties).

- Estimate procedural uncertainties.

Data swimming



Most of measurements stay at original Q^2, x and y

Only ~ 1/3 of measurements are swum



$$\sigma^{meas}\left(Q^2_{grid}, x_{grid}, y_{grid}\right) \equiv \alpha\, \sigma^{meas}\left(Q^2_{orig}, x_{orig}, y_{orig}\right)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma^{theor}\left(Q^2_{grid}, x_{grid}, y_{grid}\right)}{\sigma^{theor}\left(Q^2_{orig}, x_{orig}, y_{orig}\right)}$$

Data swimming

Swimming factors α are obtained from the QCD fit to the uncombined data. HERAFitter used www.herafitter.com

Most of measurements stay at original Q^2, x and y

Only ~ 1/3 of measurements are swum

$Q^2 > 3$ GeV^2 DGLAP formalism is used.

$Q^2 < 4.9$ GeV^2 Fractal model is used.



$$\sigma^{meas}\left(Q^2_{grid}, x_{grid}, y_{grid}\right) \equiv \alpha\, \sigma^{meas}\left(Q^2_{orig}, x_{orig}, y_{orig}\right)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma^{theor}\left(Q^2_{grid}, x_{grid}, y_{grid}\right)}{\sigma^{theor}\left(Q^2_{orig}, x_{orig}, y_{orig}\right)}$$



Averaging measurements

Averaging was performed using HERAverager package

https://wiki-zeuthen.desy.de/HERAverager

Multiplicative treatment of systematic uncertainties

Contribution to χ^2 from a data set

Original measurements

$$\chi^2_{\exp,ds}(\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{b}) = \sum_i \frac{\left[m^i - \sum_j \gamma^i_j m^i b_j - \mu^i\right]^2}{\delta^2_{i,stat}\,\mu^i\left(m^i - \sum_j \gamma^i_j m^i b^i_j\right) + \left(\delta_{i,uncor}\, m^i\right)^2} + \sum_j b^2_j$$

Vector of averaged values

Vector of systematic uncert. shifts

Correlated systematic uncert.

Averaging measurements

2927 original measurements

1307 averaged measurements

$$p^{i,k} = \frac{\mu^{i,k} - \mu^{i,ave}\left(1 - \sum_j \gamma_j^{i,k} b_{j,ave}\right)}{\sqrt{\Delta_{i,k}^2 - \Delta_{i,ave}^2}}$$



Consistant data sets: total χ^2/ndf = 1685/1620.

- Correlations of systematic uncertainties considered.
- Procedural uncertainties ~ 1%.

Combined reduced cross-sections

$$\sigma^{\pm}_{r,NC} = \frac{Q^4 x}{2\pi\alpha^2 Y_+}\frac{d^2\sigma^{e^{\pm}p}_{NC}}{dxdQ^2} = \tilde{F}_2 \mp \frac{Y_-}{Y_+} x\tilde{F}_3 - \frac{y^2}{Y_+}\tilde{F}_L \qquad Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2$$





- Up to ~6 points averaged together.
- Impressive precision.

Comparison to HERA I





- Significant reduction of the uncertainties!

Electroweak effects





- γZ^0 interference term effect is clearly seen.

$$x\tilde{F}_3 = -a_e \frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2 + M_{Z^0}^2} x F_3^{\gamma Z^0} + (2 v_e a_e) \left(\frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2 + M_{Z^0}^2} \right)^2 F_3^{Z^0}$$

Combined reduced cross-sections

$$\sigma^{\pm}_{r,CC} = \frac{2\pi x}{G_F^2}\left[\frac{M_W^2+Q^2}{M_W^2}\right]^2 \frac{d^2\sigma^{e^{\pm}p}_{CC}}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{Y_+}{2}W_2^{\pm} \mp \frac{Y_-}{2}xW_3^{\pm} - \frac{y^2}{2}W_L^{\pm}$$





- Very good precision.

Comparison to HERA I





- Large uncertainty reduction.
- New points coming from HERA II only.

HERAPDF2.0: settings for QCD fit

- QCD fits are performed using HERAFitter package
  www.herafitter.com

- PDFs (15p) are parametrised at $Q_0^2 = 1.9\ \text{GeV}^2$

$$xf(x) = Ax^B(1-x)^C(1+Dx+Ex^2)$$

$$xg(x),\ xu_v(x),\ xd_v(x),\ x\bar{U}(x),\ x\bar{D}(x)$$

- PDF evolution is performed using DGLAP equations

- Heavy flavour coefficients are obtained within GM VFNS (RT)

HERAPDF2.0: NC $e^{\pm}p$

- Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1386}{1130}$$ NLO

- Reasonable description of data by QCD fit.



EW effects: HERAPDF 1.0 vs 2.0





- Great precision!

$$x\tilde{F}_3 = -a_e \frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2 + M_{Z^0}^2} x F_3^{\gamma Z^0} + (2 v_e a_e) \left(\frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2 + M_{Z^0}^2} \right)^2 F_3^{Z^0}$$

CC high Q^2, x: HERAPDF 1.0 vs 2.0





- Significantly more data since HERAPDF1.0.
- Improved precision!

HERAPDF2.0: Q^2_{min} dependence

- Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1386}{1130}$$ NLO

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1414}{1130}$$ NNLO

- Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1156}{1001}$$ NLO

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1150}{1001}$$ NNLO



- Small tension between low and high Q^2 data.
- 2 sets of PDFs for Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2 and Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2 presented.

HERAPDF2.0: errors estimation



- Experimental uncertainties:
  - Hessian method used: full second-derivative matrix calculated
  - Conventional $\Delta\chi^2 = 1$ => 68% CL

- Model uncertainties:

Variation	Standard Value	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
f_s	0.4	0.3	0.5
M_c^{opt}(NLO) [GeV]	1.47	1.41	1.53
M_c^{opt} (NNLO) [GeV]	1.44	1.38	1.50
M_b [GeV]	4.75	4.5	5.0
Q^2_{min} [GeV^2]	10.0	7.5	12.5
Q^2_{min} [GeV^2]	3.5	2.5	5.0
Q_0^2 [GeV^2]	1.9	1.6	2.2

- Parametrisation uncertainties:
  - Starting scale Q_0^2 variation.

HERAPDF1.0 vs HERAPDF2.0





- Valence distributions are more peaked at HERAPDF2.0 (new data).
- High x sea is softer whereas gulon is harder at HERAPDF2.0.

HERAPDF1.5 vs HERAPDF2.0





- Valence distributions look alike.
- Low x gluon uncertainty is larger for HERAPDF1.5.

HERAPDF2.0: NLO fits





- Valence distributions look similar.
- High x gluons are a bit shifted.
- For Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV² gluon uncertainty is significantly larger at low x.

HERAPDF2.0: NNLO fits





- For Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2 gluon uncertainty is larger.
- Resemblance of fits at high x, but remarkable differences at low x.
- Different shapes for gluons and sea at Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2 and Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2.

Summary



- Combination of full HERA I+II inclusive data performed.
- Significant reduction of uncertainties.

- HERAPDF2.0 fits are performed at NLO and NNLO using combined HERA data.
- Adding new HERA II data improves PDFs precision.



Backup

HERAPDF2.0: settings for QCD fit

- QCD fits are performed using HERAFitter package
- PDFs (15p) are parametrised at $Q_0^2 = 1.9$ GeV^2

$$
\begin{aligned}
xg(x) &= A_g x^{B_g}(1-x)^{C_g} - A'_g x^{B'_g}(1-x)^{C'_g} \\
xu_v(x) &= A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}}(1-x)^{C_{u_v}}\left(1 + D_{u_v}x + E_{u_v}x^2\right) \\
xd_v(x) &= A_{d_v} x^{B_{d_v}}(1-x)^{C_{d_v}} \\
x\bar{U}(x) &= A_{\bar{U}} x^{B_{\bar{U}}}(1-x)^{C_{\bar{U}}}(1 + D_{\bar{U}}x) \\
x\bar{D}(x) &= A_{\bar{D}} x^{B_{\bar{D}}}(1-x)^{C_{\bar{D}}}
\end{aligned}
$$



- A_{u_v}, A_{d_v}, A_g are constrained by QCD sum rules
- $x\bar{u} \overset{x\to 0}{\to} x\bar{d}$
- $A_{\bar{U}}, A_{\bar{D}}$ are constrained via $x\bar{s} = f_s x\bar{D}$
- PDF evolution is performed using DGLAP equations
- Heavy flavour coeffitients are obtained within GM VFNS (RT)

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{\left[\mu_i - m_i\left(1 - \sum_j \gamma^i_j b_j\right)\right]^2}{\delta^2_{i,uncor} m_i^2 + \delta^2_{i,stat}\mu_i m_i\left(1 - \sum_j \gamma^i_j b_j\right)} + \sum_j b_j^2 + \sum_i \ln \frac{\delta^2_{i,uncor} m_i^2 + \delta^2_{i,stat}\mu_i m_i}{\delta^2_{i,uncor}\mu_i^2 + \delta^2_{i,stat}\mu_i^2}
$$

HERAPDF2.0: NC low Q^2, x

- Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1386}{1130} \approx 1.226$$ NLO



- Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1156}{1001} \approx 1.151$$ NLO



- NLO fit does not agree well with the low Q^2, x.

HERAPDF2.0: NC low Q^2, x

- Q^2_{min} = 3.5 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1414}{1130} \approx 1.251$$ NNLO



- Q^2_{min} = 10 GeV^2

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{1150}{1001} \approx 1.148$$ NNLO



- NNLO fit also does not agree well.

HERAPDF1.0 vs HERAPDF2.0





HERAPDF2.0: NLO fits





HERAPDF2.0: NNLO fits





Procedural uncertainties

- Multiplicative versus additive
  - All correlated systematic uncertainties treated as multiplicative for nominal result
  - Correlated systematic uncertainties except normalization uncertainties treated as additive in this check
- Hadronic energy scale procedural uncertainty (HAD) and PhP background procedural uncertainty
  - Hadronic energy scale and PhP BG uncertainties cross-correlated between H1 and ZEUS for HERAI (as in HERAI paper)
  - HERAII
    - ZEUS uncertainties NOT correlated to HERAI and NOT to H1
    - H1 uncertainties correlated to HERAI
- **Procedural uncertainties included in QCD fits as correlated uncertainties**

Multiplicative .vs. additive

Red: HERAI
Blue: HERAI+II
Black: total unc.

All results in %









All results in %

HAD



All results in %

PhP BG

CC e+ p



CC e- p



NC e- p



PhP background unc. (HERA1)

PhP background unc. (Comb. for HERAPDF2.0)

Total unc, (Comb. for HERAPDF2.0)