XXth International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects

Precision QCD Measurements at HERA

Karin Daum -Wuppertal/DESY
on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS collaborations

New Physics
Diffraction
Jets
Heavy Flavour
Hadronic Final States

ep-scattering at HERA







$Q^2=-q^2$ photon virtuality

$x=x_{BJ}$ Bjorken scaling variable

y Inelasticity in proton rest frame

2 kinematic regimes :

$Q^2 \cong 0$ GeV^2 : **Photoproduction (γp)**

$Q^2 > 1$ GeV^2 : **DIS**

Interaction matrix @ HERA

	quarks	gluon	quarks	
DIS	LO 		NLO 	NNLO…
jets			LO 	NLO…
charm beauty		LO 		NLO…

Reduced DIS NC cross section

Neutral current



$$\sigma_{NC}^{red}(e^{\pm}p) = \frac{xQ^4}{2\pi\alpha_{em}Y_+}\frac{d^2\sigma_{NC}}{dxdQ^2} = \tilde{F}_2 \mp \frac{Y_-}{Y_+}x\tilde{F}_3 - \frac{y^2}{Y_+}\tilde{F}_L$$

valence + sea quarks dominant

valence quarks high Q^2

gluons high y

$$Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1 - y^2)$$

Reduced DIS NC cross section



Data well described by NLO QCD over 4 orders of magnitude in x, Q^2

Search for new physics

DESY-11-114





Data well described by NLO QCD at high Q^2 ⇒limits on new physics

Contact interactions

H. Pirumov

DESY-11-114

New physics with scale $\Lambda >> Q^2$



- Compositeness
- Leptoquarks
- Extra dimension
- Quark radius



Limits on compositeness scale up to 7.2 TeV

1st generation leptoquarks

DESY-11-123 H. Pirumov, S. Antonelli ZEUS-prel-11-000







LQ-limits in the BRW model:

[GeV]	H1	ZEUS
Vector (λ=0.3)	800	629
Scalar (λ=0.3)	530	466

scalar LQ limit from ATLAS 607 GeV
No vector LQ limits from LHC so far

LFV leptoquarks

H. Pirumov
DESY-11-044

Interaction of lepton flavour violating leptoquarks:

$eq \rightarrow LQ \rightarrow \mu(\tau)q$





Limit from Atlas





Mass limits (λ=0.3) ranges up to
712 GeV (2nd generation LQ)
479 GeV (3rd generation LQ)

Single top production

S. Antonelli

DESY-11-114

SM (CC) : ep → νtX
↳ν(μ)νb





FCNC: ep → etX
↳e(μ)νb



Exclusion region extended for small tuZ-couplings

Diffraction

Standard ep-scattering



A surprise @ HERA start:
≈10% with no fwd-activity
⇒ diffraction

- x_{IP} p-momentum fraction carried by the colourless exchange (IP)
- β IP-momentum fraction carried by the struck quark
- t momentum transfer at the proton vertex

Diffractive ep-scattering



Techniques: leading proton or large rapidity gap
Contributions: protons protons + low mass Δ,N*



Diffraction

DGLAP pQCD Approach



Collinear factorisation:
-Q^2 evolution à la DGLAP
-diffractive PDFs

Proton vertex factorisation:
β, Q^2 dependence decoupled from
x_{IP}, t dependence
Pomeron PDF $\otimes$ Pomeron flux
Pomeron flux from Regge theory

Dipol Approach



+qqg dipol diagrams

$\psi(z,r,Q^2)$: γqq wave function
T_{qq}: qq(qqg)-proton elastic scattering amplitude
T_{qq} parameterized in the saturation model

Combination of FPS/LPS data

V. Sola H1prelim-11-111, ZEUS-prel-11-011

Combination includes all correlations

profits from different detectors (systematics)



Combination of FPS/LPS data

V. Sola H1prelim-11-111, ZEUS-prel-11-011

Combination includes all correlations

profits from different detectors (systematics)



Combination of FPS/LPS data

V. Sola H1prelim-11-111, ZEUS-prel-11-011

Combination includes all correlations

profits from different detectors (systematics)

cross calibration reduces uncertainties significantly



Scaling violation clearly visible

Most precise data as test bench for theory

Diffraction with LRG

E. Sauvan DESY-12-041

New data sets combined with previously published data
35× more data @ medium Q^2

$$\frac{LRG}{FPS} = 1.203 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.087$$

(exp) (norm)

(agrees with previous meas.)



LRG and FPS data agree well
NLO QCD (DPDF) does well for Q^2>10 GeV^2

Diffraction with LRG

E. Sauvan DESY-12-041

Comparison to recent ZEUS data
(corrected to same Q^2 and M_Y<1.6 GeV)

ZEUS data: ≈10% higher
shape agreement



NLO QCD + DPDF:
-problems @ low Q^2
-good for Q^2>10 GeV^2

Dipol model with saturation:
-good @ low Q^2
-too low at high Q^2 and β

F_L in Diffraction

D. Salek

DESY-11-084

"Rosenbluth plot"

$$\sigma_r^D \propto F_2^D - \frac{y^2}{1+(1-y)^2} F_L^D$$

σ_r^D for same x, Q^2
at different E_p (s)



Direct measurement of
F_2^D and F_L^D (no assumptions)



Clearly non-zero F_L^D
Predictions agree
(no distinction possible)

F_L^D in Diffraction

D. Salek DESY-11-084

$$\sigma_r^D \propto F_2^D - \frac{y^2}{1+(1-y)^2} F_L^D$$

σ_r^D for same x,Q^2
at different E_p (s)



First measurement of F_L^D

$$R^D = \frac{F_L^D}{F_2^D - F_L^D}$$

$R^D/R = 2.8 \pm 1.1$



Longitudinal photons more important in diffraction than in inclusive DIS

Diffractive Di-jet production (FPS)



2 topologies:
2 central jets

1 cen. + 1 fwd. jets
Deviations from DGLAP ?

R. Polifka DESY-11-166





DPDF + NLO QCD works well
No sign for deviations from DGLAP

t-slope consistent with inclusive diff.
⇒ Proton vertex factorisation holds

Forward jet correlations (DIS)

L. Goerlich DESY-11-183





Test of QCD dynamics @ low x:

- DGLAP: strong k_T ordering
- BFKL: weak k_T ordering ⇒ more fwd jets
- CCFM: random in k_T ⇒ even more fwd jets

Expected de-correlation effects from $O(\alpha_s^n)$

- Cross section described best by BFKL-type model (CDM)
- $\Delta\varphi$ shape: initial differences washed out by parton showers

Inclusive jets in photoproduction

E. Paul

DESY-12-045





NLO QCD underestimates data @ low p_T and large η
Theory my be reconciled with data by
- **adding multiple interactions or**
- **using a different photon PDF**

Inclusive jets in photoproduction

E. Paul

DESY-12-045

Analysis based on different jet algorithms:
kt, anti-kt, SIScone

Results are very consistent



Running of α_s clearly visible in a single experiment

$\alpha_S(MZ) = 0.1206\ ^{+0.0023}_{-0.0022}$ (exp.) $^{+0.0042}_{-0.0035}$ (theo.)

As for many other processes at HERA theory uncertainties are dominating due to missing NNLO calculations

Normalised jet cross sections in DIS

D. Britzger H1prelim-12-031

Combined NLO fit to normalised inclusive, dijet and trijet cross sections



$$\alpha_S(MZ)=0.1166\pm0.0011(exp)\pm0.0014(PDF)\pm0.0008(had)\ ^{+0.0044}_{-0.0035}\ (theo)$$

α_s from HERAPDF 1.6/1.7

K. Nowak H1prelim-11-031, ZEUS-prel-11-001

HERAPDF 1.6

Combined PDF+α_s fit to:
- combined incl. HERA-I+II data
- published DIS jet data

HERAPDF 1.7

Combined PDF+α_s fit to:
- combined incl. HERA-I+II data
- published DIS jet data
- combined F_2^{cc} data
- low proton energy data

$\Rightarrow$ same results $\Rightarrow$ all processes "see" the same PDFs



$$\alpha_s(MZ)=0.1202\pm0.0013(exp)\pm0.0007(model)\pm0.0012(had)\ ^{+0.0045}_{-0.0036}\ (theo)$$

Summary on α_s

α_s determinations at HERA with sizable uncertainties – missing NNLO

World average dominated by α_s from lattice calculations (appropriate for perturbative calculations?)

All extractions do have caveats
e.g. non-perturbative physics
higher order contributions…



α_s from HERA with small experimental uncertainties
Precision spoiled due to lack of NNLO calculations

Heavy flavour physics @ HERA

Heavy flavour predominantly
via photon-gluon-fusion



Charm contribution to DIS at HERA
up to ~30% (Beauty:1-2%)

Perturbative calculations are faced
with a "battle of scales*)"

m_c, p_T, Q^2 *) O.Behnke

Different approaches:
"massive" = charm produced perturbetively
"massless" (ZM-VFNS) = charm constituent of the proton
- both not valid in the full phase space

GM-VFNS combines both approaches
- but how to do the transition? Just make a choice!

Can charm data tell and thereby remove ambiguities?

We better understand charm to get reliable PDFs

D* production in DIS

E. Hennekemper

DESY-11-066



-Massive NLO QCD describes data reasonably
-Massless (ZM-VFNS) fails

D* production in DIS

A. Gizhko

ZEUS-prel-11-012







Charm tagging: reconstruction of D* mesons



-High precision data well described by NLO QCD in the full analysis phase space

⇒ provide precise input for F_2^c

Charmed jets in DIS

V. Libov

ZEUS-prel-12-002



Heavy flavour predominantly via photon-gluon-fusion

Charm tagging:
displaced tracks in jets
plus jet mass



Charmed jet production described by theory within uncertainties
Data more precise than NLO QCD predictions also for charm

F_2^c from charmed jets in DIS

V. Libov ZEUS-prel-12-002



$$F_2^{cc} = \sigma_{red}^{cc} + \frac{y^2}{1+(1-y)^2} F_L^{cc}$$

Charm tagging:
displaced tracks in jets
plus jet mass

-F_2^c: good agreement among measurements
-valuable input for PDFs



Dijet D* meson photoproduction

Z. Staykova

DESY-11-248







-MC@NLO underestimates resolved contribution
-non-D* jet has significant from gluons for η>0

Production of hadrons



Courtesy: AKKS hep-ph/0611029

LO MC models discussed:

Lepto (MEPS): matrix element with parton showers

CDM: color dipol model

+ Lund string model

NLO calculations discussed:

$\sigma(ep \rightarrow ehX) \propto PDF \otimes \sigma \otimes FF$

FF with contributions from quark, anti-quark and gluon (as PDFs)

AKK+CYCLOPS: parameterized from fits to e^+e^- data
DSS: parameterized by fitting to e^+e^-, pp and ep data

Understanding fragmentation as important as understanding PDFs

K_0^S and Λ^0 scaled momentum spectra

I. Abt DESY-11-205



Steep rise with Q^2 at small x_p Population due to gluon splitting

Scaling violations clearly visible

Steep fall with Q^2 at small x_p Depletion due to gluon radiation

Monte Carlo models (CDM, MEPS) give a fair description
NLO QCD: AKK+CYCLOPS fails – DSS reasonable at larger x_p

K_0^S and Λ^0 scaled momentum spectra

I. Abt DESY-11-205



CDM, MEPS give a fair description – but 20% too high
NLO QCD: AKK+CYCLOPS much too steep in x_p

Production of very forward photons

H. Zohrabyan

DESY-11-093



main source:
$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



At large η MCs much above data $\Rightarrow$ fragmentation to π^0s in p-remnant not well modeled in MCs

γ-yield independent of Q^2,x $\Rightarrow$ p-remnant does not "feel" the hard interaction



Conclusions

- The Standard Model is healthy
  - no sign for new physics at HERA
- HERA provides precision measurements in many areas of QCD
  - analyses are profiting from all the efforts made to get the best data
- There is a grain of salt:
  - almost everywhere large theory uncertainties due to missing of NNLO QCD calculations

Still some way to go to finalise the rich HERA physics programme