



Bundesministerium
für Bildung
und Forschung

Search For Contact Interactions at HERA



*Hayk Pirumov (PI Heidelberg)
On behalf of the H1 Collaboration*

*XIX International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects
11-15 April 2011, Newport News*

Outline

- Introduction
- Deep Inelastic Scattering at HERA
- Contact Interactions results
- Summary


HERA Collider and H1 Experiment



- World's only electron proton collider, at DESY, Hamburg.
- Was operating from 1992 to 2007.
- Two collider experiments H1 and ZEUS.


HERA Collider and H1 Experiment

- 1994 – 2000: HERA I data.
- 2003 – 2007: HERA II data (luminosity upgrade)
- H1 experiment collected about 0.5fb^{-1} data.



Deep Inelastic ep Scattering

Neutral Current Deep Inelastic scattering process:




Kinematic variables:

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2(P \cdot q)} \quad y = \frac{P \cdot (k - k')}{P \cdot k}$$

$$s = (p + k)^2 \quad Q^2 = x \cdot y \cdot s$$





Q^2 is the virtuality of the exchanged boson

x is the fraction of proton momentum, carried by the interacting quark.

y is the fraction of lepton energy transferred in the proton rest frame.

Deep Inelastic ep Scattering



- Data are well described by Standard Model.

Standard Model prediction is based on CTEQ6M parton distribution function.

- Signs of new physics would be expected at highest Q^2 region.
- Four-fermion $eeqq$ contact interactions provide a convenient method to investigate the interference of new fields.

Contact Interactions

- Effective Lagrangian for neutral current vector-like contact interactions:
(scalar and tensor CI are constrained beyond HERA sensitivity)

$$L_{CI} = \sum_{i,j=L,R} \eta_{ij}^{eq} (\bar{e}_i \gamma_\mu e_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma^\mu q_j)$$

- 4 possible η coupling coefficients for each q flavor
- Any particular model can be constructed by appropriate choice of the coupling η
- Models currently tested:
 - compositeness
 - leptoquarks
 - large extra dimensions
 - quark radius

General (Compositeness) Models

Contact interactions coupling are related to the mass scale via:



$$\eta_{ab}^{eq} = \frac{\pm 4\pi}{\Lambda^2}$$

Different models assume different helicity structure of new interactions, given by a set of η couplings

Models conserving parity:								
Model	η_{LL}^{ed}	η_{LR}^{ed}	η_{RL}^{ed}	η_{RR}^{ed}	η_{LL}^{eu}	η_{LR}^{eu}	η_{RL}^{eu}	η_{RR}^{eu}
VV	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$
AA	$+\eta$	$-\eta$	$-\eta$	$+\eta$	$+\eta$	$-\eta$	$-\eta$	$+\eta$
VA	$+\eta$	$-\eta$	$+\eta$	$-\eta$	$+\eta$	$-\eta$	$+\eta$	$-\eta$
X1	$+\eta$	$-\eta$			$+\eta$	$-\eta$		
X2	$+\eta$		$+\eta$		$+\eta$		$+\eta$	
X3	$+\eta$			$+\eta$	$+\eta$			$+\eta$
X4		$+\eta$	$+\eta$			$+\eta$	$+\eta$	
X5		$+\eta$		$+\eta$		$+\eta$		$+\eta$
X6			$+\eta$	$-\eta$			$+\eta$	$-\eta$
U1					$+\eta$	$-\eta$		
U2					$+\eta$		$+\eta$	
U3					$+\eta$			$+\eta$
U4						$+\eta$	$+\eta$	
U5						$+\eta$		$+\eta$
U6							$+\eta$	$-\eta$
Models violating parity:								
LL	$+\eta$				$+\eta$			
LR		$+\eta$				$+\eta$		
RL			$+\eta$				$+\eta$	
RR				$+\eta$				$+\eta$

General (Compositeness) Models

95% CL lower limits on Λ compositeness scale between 3.2 – 7.4 TeV.



Leptoquarks

For high mass leptoquarks

$$M_{LQ} \gg \sqrt{s}$$

virtual leptoquark production(exchange) results in an effective contact interaction type coupling:

$$\eta_{LQ} \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{M_{LQ}} \right)^2$$


where λ is the leptoquark Yukawa coupling.

LQ	$\eta_{ab}^q = \epsilon_{ab}^q \cdot (\lambda/M_{LQ})^2$		F
	ϵ_{ab}^u	ϵ_{ab}^d	
S_0^L	$\epsilon_{LL}^u = +\frac{1}{2}$		2
S_0^R	$\epsilon_{RR}^u = +\frac{1}{2}$		2
\tilde{S}_0^R		$\epsilon_{RR}^d = +\frac{1}{2}$	2
$S_{1/2}^L$	$\epsilon_{LR}^u = -\frac{1}{2}$		0
$S_{1/2}^R$	$\epsilon_{RL}^u = -\frac{1}{2}$	$\epsilon_{RL}^d = -\frac{1}{2}$	0
$\tilde{S}_{1/2}^L$		$\epsilon_{LR}^d = -\frac{1}{2}$	0
S_1^L	$\epsilon_{LL}^u = +\frac{1}{2}$	$\epsilon_{LL}^d = +1$	2
V_0^L		$\epsilon_{LL}^d = -1$	0
V_0^R		$\epsilon_{RR}^d = -1$	0
\tilde{V}_0^R	$\epsilon_{RR}^u = -1$		0
$V_{1/2}^L$		$\epsilon_{LR}^d = +1$	2
$V_{1/2}^R$	$\epsilon_{RL}^u = +1$	$\epsilon_{RL}^d = +1$	2
$\tilde{V}_{1/2}^L$	$\epsilon_{LR}^u = +1$		2
V_1^L	$\epsilon_{LL}^u = -2$	$\epsilon_{LL}^d = -1$	0

BRW classification: 14 different leptoquarks (7 scalar and 7 vector)

Leptoquarks

95% CL lower limits on the mass to coupling ratio for the different types of leptoquarks vary in the range $0.4 - 1.9 \text{ TeV}$.



Large Extra Dimensions

- Arkani-Hamed-Dimopoulos-Dvali (ADD) model assumes that space-time has $4+n$ dimensions.
- Gravity can propagate into the extra dimensions
- Contribution of graviton exchange to neutral current DIS cross section can be described by an effective contact interaction type coupling:

$$\eta_G \sim \lambda / M_S^4$$


where λ is the coupling strength

Large Extra Dimensions

95% CL lower limits on M gravitation scale depending on the sign:

$$M_S^+ > 0.90 \text{ TeV}$$

$$M_S^- > 0.91 \text{ TeV}$$



Quark Radius


Finite size of the quark can be defined by introducing spatial distribution of the electroweak charge:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{dQ^2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{6} \cdot Q^2\right)^2$$

where R is root mean squared of the electroweak charge distribution.

Assuming electron point-like 95% CL upper limit on the quark radius:

$$R < 0.65 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ m}$$



Summary

- H1 NC data are in a good agreement with the Standard Model predictions.
- Limits on deviations from Standard Model set in different models:
 - Compositeness ($3.2 - 7.2 \text{ TeV}$)
 - Leptoquarks ($0.4 - 1.9 \text{ TeV}$)
 - Large Extra dimensions ($0.90 - 0.91 \text{ TeV}$)
 - Quark Radius ($0.65 * 10^{-18} \text{ m}$)

Backup


χ^2 Function (arXiv:0911.0884v2 [hep-ex])

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{\left(\sigma_i^{\text{exp}} - \sigma_i^{\text{theo}} \left[1 - \sum_k \Delta_{ik}^{\text{corr}}(\epsilon_k) \right] \right)^2}{\left(\delta_{i,\text{stat}}^2 \sigma_i^{\text{exp}} \sigma_i^{\text{theo}} \left[1 - \sum_k \Delta_{ik}^{\text{corr}}(\epsilon_k) \right] + (\delta_{i,\text{uncorr}} \sigma_i^{\text{theo}})^2 \right)} + \sum_k \epsilon_k^2$$

The χ^2 function is used as a measure of agreement between data and different theoretical predictions. The presented form of χ^2 function takes into account correlated systematic uncertainties for the H1 cross section measurements.

σ_i^{exp}	<i>experimental cross section in Q^2 bin i</i>
σ_i^{theo}	<i>theoretical cross section</i>
$\Delta_{ik}(\epsilon_k)$	<i>effect due to correlated error k for bin i</i>
$\delta_{i,\text{stat}}$	<i>relative statistical error</i>
$\delta_{i,\text{uncorr}}$	<i>relative uncorrelated error</i>
ϵ_1	f_{norm} <i>normalization</i>
ϵ_2	<i>electron energy scale</i>
ϵ_3	<i>polar angle uncertainty</i>
ϵ_4	<i>PDF uncertainty</i>

Limit Estimation



1. Scan through the η . Determine η_{data} from $\chi^2(\eta)$ dependence that will correspond to minimal value of χ^2 .

2. For each η a number of MC experiments is performed. For each MC experiment χ_{min}^2 and corresponding η_{mce} is determined.

3. Set the limit at the value of η at which 95% of events would have $\eta_{\text{mce}} > \eta_{\text{data}}$.

