


# Diffractive Structure Functions from the H1 and ZEUS Experiments at HERA



Irina A. Korzhavina (Moscow State University)

On Behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

## OUTLINE :

- Diffraction
- $\sigma_r^D$  from LRG and (V)FPS/LPS
- Diffractive Parton Densities
- $F_L^D$
- Test QCD
- Summary



# Diffraction at HERA

**H1 and ZEUS collected  $0.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  data:**



- ◊ good measurement accuracy
- ◊ new detailed results → test QCD assumptions and predictions
- ◊ H1prelim-10-011, H1prelim-10-012, H1prelim-10-017, NPB 816(2010) 1

**Diffractive dissociation:**

$$\mathcal{R}_{DD} = \frac{\sigma_{DD}}{\sigma_{Incl}} \simeq 10 - 15\%.$$


***t*-channel exchange (*IP*):**

- ◊ vacuum quantum numbers
- ◊ colour singlet
- ◊ small momentum transfer  $t$
- ◊  $M_Y = m_p \rightarrow$  elastic diffraction  
 $M_Y > m_p \rightarrow$  proton dissociation (BG)



# Signatures and Selection Methods


## Proton Spectrometer (PS) method




- ◊ no  $p_{diss}$  background (BG)
- ◊  $t$ ,  $x_P$  measured directly
- ◊ larger  $x_P (< 0.1)$  accessible
- ◊ low Acc ( $\sim 2\%$ )

## Large Rapidity Gap (LRG) method

- ◊  $p_{diss}$  background ( $\sim 15 - 20\%$ )
- ◊  $t$  not measured
- ◊ smaller  $x_P (< 0.03)$  accessible
- ◊ higher Acc ( $\sim 10\%$ )



## Kinematics and Cross Sections



$W =$  invariant mass of  $\gamma^*p$  system

$M_X =$  invariant mass of  $\gamma^*IP$  system

$M_Y =$  invariant mass of proton (dissociative) system

$x_{IP}$  = fraction of proton momentum carried by  $IP$

$\beta = x/x_{IP}$  = fraction of  $IP$  momentum carried

by struck parton



$t =$  (4-momentum<sup>2</sup> exchanged at p vertex)

typically:  $|t| < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^4\sigma^{ep \rightarrow eXp}}{d\beta dQ^2 dx_{IP} dt} &= \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^4} Y_+ \left[ F_2^{D(4)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L^{D(4)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^4} Y_+ \sigma_r^{D(4)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) \quad \leftarrow Y_+ = 1 + (1 - y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}) = \int \sigma_r^{D(4)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) dt \quad \text{in case t is not measured}$$

# H1 vs ZEUS: Measurement Comparison




H1 and ZEUS: (V)FPS/LPS or LRG - agree (within normalisation uncertainty)  $\rightarrow$  basis for the combination of H1-ZEUS inclusive diffractive data  $\rightarrow$  reduction of experimental uncertainties

# (V)FPS/LPS vs LRG: Measurement Comparison


$$\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\text{LRG}) = \sigma_r^{D(3)}(\text{elastic}) + \sigma_r^{D(3)}(p\_diss)$$

Ratio  $\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\text{LRG})/\sigma_r^{D(3)}((\text{V})\text{FPS}/\text{LPS}) = 1 + \sigma_r^{D(3)}(p\_diss)/\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\text{elastic})$ :  
independent of  $x_P, \beta, Q^2 \implies$  measure p\_diss contribution:



$\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\text{LRG})/\sigma_r^{D(3)}((\text{V})\text{FPS}/\text{LPS}) \simeq 1.2 = \text{const in } (x_P, \beta, Q^2)$   
 $\implies p\_diss$  and elastic diffraction similar

LRG/LPS=1.32  $\leftarrow$  LPS/LRG by ZEUS  $0.76 \pm 0.01(\text{stat.})^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(\text{syst.})$   
 LRG/(\text{V})FPS by H1  $1.20 \pm 0.01(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst.}) \rightarrow (\text{V})\text{FPS}/\text{LRG}=0.83$



Methods & Measurements - different but agree (within normalisation uncertainty)

# Factorisation of Diffractive Cross Sections

The structure of the colour singlet is studied within QCD:

- ◊ QCD hard scattering factorisation theorem: (at fixed  $x_{IP}$  and  $t$ )

$$\sigma^D(\gamma^* p \rightarrow X p) = \sum_{parton \ i} f_i^D(x, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) \otimes \sigma^{\gamma^* i}(x, Q^2)$$

$\sigma^{\gamma^* i}$ : universal hard scattering cross section

$f_i^D$  : universal partonic distribution functions (PDFs), obey evolution equations

Theorem's validity is proved for diffractive DIS by J.Collins

- ◊ Factorisation theorem relates:

$$F_{2/L}^{D(4)}(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t) = \sum_i \int_{\beta}^1 \frac{dz}{z} C_{2/L,i} \left( \frac{\beta}{z} \right) f_i^D(z, x_{IP}, Q^2, t)$$

$$\sigma_r^{D(3)} \leftarrow \text{NLO QCD Fits} \rightarrow \text{DPDFs}$$

QCD fits to data → sets of diffractive PDFs.

To reach this goal - DPDFs were modelled using phenomenological parameterisations

◇ Proton vertex factorisation assumed and  $\textbf{\textit{IP}}$  and  $\textbf{\textit{IR}}$  contributions accounted for:

$$f_i^D(\beta, Q^2, x_{\textbf{\textit{P}}}, t) = f_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(x_{\textbf{\textit{P}}}, t) \cdot f_{i/\textbf{\textit{IP}}}(\beta, Q^2) + f_{\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(x_{\textbf{\textit{P}}}, t) \cdot f_{i/\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(\beta, Q^2)$$

$\textbf{\textit{IP}}$  and  $\textbf{\textit{IR}}$  fluxes:

$$f_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(x_{\textbf{\textit{P}}}, t) = \frac{A_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}} e^{B_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}} t}}{x_{\textbf{\textit{P}}}^{2\alpha_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}}^{(t)-1}}} \quad \alpha_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(t) = \alpha_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}}(0) + \alpha'_{\textbf{\textit{IP}},\textbf{\textit{IR}}} t$$

◇ Distributions at  $Q_0$  of QUARKS and GLUONS:

$$z f_{d,u,s}(z, Q_0^2) = A_q z^{B_q} (1-z)^{C_q} \quad z f_g(z, Q_0^2) = A_g z^{B_g} (1-z)^{C_g}$$

Lots of parameters to fit → some were fixed

Fits to LRG data:

Fit H1 2006 DPDF A Cg=0

Fit H1 2006 DPDF B

Fits to LRG+LPS data:

Fit ZEUS DPDF S

Fit ZEUS DPDF C Bg=Cg=0

Fit to LRG DIS dijet data:



Fit H1 2007 Jets DPDF

Fit to LRG + LPS+ DIS dijet data:


Fit DPDF SJ

# Quark Distributions - from $\sigma_r^D(Q^2)$

**ZEUS**





- H1 2007 Jets DPDF
- exp. uncertainty
- exp. + theo. uncertainty
- H1 2006 DPDF fit A
- H1 2006 DPDF fit B



$f_q(z)$  for all Fits - similar

# Gluon Distributions



Fit LRG+LPS+DIS dijet


- fits well to dijet data
- decreased uncertainties

comparable precision for  $f_g$  and  $f_q$

different Fits -  
very different  $f_g$  at  $z \rightarrow 1$

large discrepancy -  
low sensitivity to  $f_g$

- H1 2007 Jets DPDF
- exp. uncertainty
- exp. + theo. uncertainty
- H1 2006 DPDF fit A
- H1 2006 DPDF fit B




# First Measurement of $F_L^D$



$$\sigma_r^D\left(\frac{y^2}{Y_+}\right) = F_2^D - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L^D \quad F_L^D \sim \alpha_s \cdot g(x) \leftarrow \text{direct measurement of } g(x)$$

Data at 3 proton energies used: 920, 460 and 575 GeV

→ At fixed  $Q^2$  and  $x_{IP}$ , high  $y$  corresponds to low  $\beta$



# Test QCD: diffractive dijet PhP




## Fits

LRG+LPS+DIS dijet  
H1 2007 Jets

- fit well to diffractive dijet PhP data

Ratios of  
DATA/NLO QCD  $\sim 1$   
no suppression

# Test QCD: diffractive charm production



Fit

LRG+LPS+DIS dijet

- fit well to charm diffractive DIS data

## SUMMARY

- 15 years of HERA operation → detailed studies of diffractive reactions
- Consistency reached between different experiments, methods and data sets
- measured DPDFs, corresponding to elastic diffraction (single-diffractive reaction)
- DPDFs measured with higher accuracy, accounting for dijet data → predictions for other processes possible
- predictions for diffractive charm production and diffractive dijet photoproduction agree with measured cross sections
- First measurement of  $F_L^D$  in agreement with DGLAP QCD predictions