Diffractive PDFs and factorization tests at HERA

Alberto Garfagnini

Università degli studi di Padova, and INFN

July 17, 2009

Talk Outline

Diffraction at HERA

QCD and Vertex Factorization

DPDFs from HERA Inclusive Data

DPDFs for/from HERA Dijets

DPDFs and HERA Photoproduction Dijets

Diffractive to Inclusive Dijet Ratios

Diffraction at HERA

Kinematics

Q^2 4-momentum exchange
W γ p center-of-mass energy
x fraction of p momentum carried by the struck quark
x_{IP} fraction of p momentum carried by IP

$$x_{IP} = \frac{q \cdot (p - p')}{q \cdot p} \sim \frac{Q^2 + M_X^2}{Q^2 + W^2}$$

β fraction of IP momentum carried by the struck quark

$$\beta = \frac{Q^2}{2q \cdot (p - p')} \sim \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M_X^2}$$

$$x = \beta x_{IP}$$

t 4-momentum transfer at p vertex

$$t = (p - p')^2$$



$ep \to eX$

Probe partonic structure of the proton $\to F_2$

Inclusive DIS



$ep \to eXY$

Probe structure of the exchanged color singlet (Pomeron IP) $\to F_2^D$

Diffractive DIS

Experimental Selection of Diffraction

LPS/FPS

Tag and reconstruct the final state proton:

- clean, no p-diss. background;
- measure $|t|$;
- access high x_{IP} region;
- low acceptance, low statistics.



LRG

Select events with a Large Rapidity Gap:

- measure all hadrons comprising X;
- some p-diss. background;
- very good acceptance at low x_{IP}.



Diffractive Structure Functions

- Diffractive Cross Section

$$\frac{d\sigma^D_{\gamma^* p}}{dM_X} = \frac{\pi Q^2 W}{\alpha(1+(1-y)^2)} \cdot \frac{d^3\sigma^D_{ep\to eXp}}{dQ^2 dM_X dW}$$

- Diffractive structure function $F_2 D(4)$ and reduced cross section $\sigma_r^{D(4)}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^4\sigma^D_{ep\to eXp}}{d\beta dQ^2 dx_{IP} dt} &= \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^2}\left[1-y+\frac{y^2}{2(1+R^D)}\right] F_2^{D(4)}\left(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t\right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^2}\left[1-y+\frac{y^2}{2}\right] \sigma_r^{D(4)}\left(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t\right)\end{aligned}$$

- when t is not measured

$$\sigma_r^{D(3)} = \int \sigma_r^{D(4)}\left(\beta, Q^2, x_{IP}, t\right) dt$$

- $R^D = \sigma_L(\gamma^* p \to Xp)/\sigma_T(\gamma^* p \to Xp)$
- $\sigma_r^D \sim F_2^D$ when $R^D \sim 0$

QCD and Vertex Factorization

- Two levels of factorization:



- QCD factorization theorem (Collins et al.) at fixed x_{IP} and t:

$$d\sigma\left(\gamma^* p \to Xp\right) = f_i^D\left(x, Q^2, x_{IP}, t\right) \times d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma^* q}\left(x, Q^2\right)$$

- Proton Vertex factorization (Regge theory):

$$f_i^D\left(x, Q^2, x_{IP}, t\right) = f_{IP}\left(x_{IP}, t\right) \cdot f_i^{IP}\left(\beta = x/x_{IP}, Q^2\right)$$

NLO QCD Fits to Inclusive DDIS data

- use DGLAP evolution equations to get quark and gluon distrib, $f_i(z, Q^2)$, with DPDFs parametrized versus z at a staring scale Q_0^2.
- Heavy quark contributions trated in VFNS of Thorne and Roberts (ZEUS only)
- Starting scale $Q_0^2 = 1.8$ GeV^2

  quark singlet $q = \sum_q (f_q + f_{\bar{q}})$ (light quarks, no intrinsic charm or bottom).
  $zq(z, Q_0^2) = A_q \cdot z^{B_q} \cdot (1 - z)^{C_q}$

  gluon (2 fits)
  $zg(z, Q_o^2) = A_g \cdot z^{B_g} \cdot (1 - z)^{C_g}$

  - "Standard" : Fit S with B_g and C_g fitted
  - "Constant" : Fit C with $B_g = C_g = 0$ (as in H1-2006 B)
- use Proton Vertex Factorization assumptions:
  x_{IP} dependence parametrized with Regge inspired Pomeron and Reggeon fluxes (with linear trajectories)
- Free parameters in the fit: 9
- H1 performed similar fits

ZEUS QCD Fit to Inclusive Diffraction





Simultaneous fit to LRG and LPS data

Only data with $Q^2 > 5$ GeV^2 fitted.

ZEUS Quark and Gluon distributions



- Both Fit S and C describe the data.
- . . . but large discrepancy between the fits for zg $\rightarrow$ low sensitivity of inclusive data to gluons.
- Very large uncertainty for gluons at high z

From Inclusive Diffraction to Diffractive Dijets

- Boson-Gluon Fusion is the main mechanism → sensitive to the gluon content



- extract DPDFs from inclusive diffractive data;
- test of factorization in dijet and heavy quark (charm) diffractive data.

H1 Diffractive Dijets (in DIS)



- Good agreement in $x_{IP} \rightarrow$ Pomeron flux similar to that of inclusive diffraction;
- large uncertainties in the NLO QCD predictions (with H1 DPDF Fits).
- discrepancy between Fit A and B evident at high z_{IP}.
- high sensitivity of dijet data to the gluon density for $z_{IP} > 0.4$.

$$z_{IP} = \frac{M_{12}^2 + Q^2}{M_X^2 + Q^2}$$

ZEUS Diffractive Dijets (in DIS)







- Combining inclusive and dijet diffractive data → gluon and quark densities constrained with comparable precisions across the whole *z* range.

H1 Diffractive Singlet and Gluon distributions











Better constraint of gluons over a wider range ($0.05 < z_{IP} < 0.9$).

Diffractive Charm Data



- ZEUS DPDF SJ predictions compared to charm diffractive structure function $x_{IP} \cdot F_2^{D(3)c\bar{c}}$
- Fair agreement with data (still statistically limited)

Diffractive Dijet Photoproduction

- main mechanism Boson-Gluon Fusion $\rightarrow$ sensitive to gluon content



- use Photoproduction at HERA as a hadron-hadron collider
  - "direct photon" ($x_\gamma \rightarrow 1$) less hadron-like;
  - "resolved photon" ($x_\gamma < 1$) more hadron-like
- enhance re-scattering with the proton remnant by switching the "photon remnant" ON/OFF.

$\Rightarrow$ Expect Resolved to be more suppressed than Direct photon process.

Dijet data in Photoproduction (vs x_γ)









- NLO calculations (Frixione e Ridolfi) with
- H1 2006 Fit B DPDFs (H1);
- H1 Fit 2007 and ZEUS DPDF SJ (ZEUS);

- similar kinematic regions: $E_T^{jet1} > 7.5$ GeV and $E_T^{jet2} > 6.5$ GeV.
- small suppression in H1, compatible with ZEUS
- no evidence for x_γ dependence

Dijet data in Photoproduction (vs E_T)









- Test the E_T dependence of absorption
- small suppression in H1 for the low E_T region
- both data still compatible (within errors)

Diffractive to Inclusive dijet ratios

- main idea: measure ratio of diffractive gluon to inclusive gluon



- full or partial cancellation of photon PDFs, scale uncertainties, jet energy scales, ...
- x_γ distribution sensitive to absorption/gap survival
- . . . but also to differences between diffractive and inclusive phase spaces

Diffractive to Inclusive Ratios



- $z_{IP} < 0.8$ cut applied to reduce sensitivity to PDF uncertainties;
- Data compared to RAPGAP/Pythia (w/ and w/o MI), so far;
- MI model gives a fair description of data over a large phase space.

Conclusions

- A wealth of data has been published on Diffraction by the H1 and ZEUS collaborations, using different techniques (LRG, Leading Proton, M_X methods);
- Proton Vertex Factorization works to a good approximation and allows to extract DPDFs from NLO QCD fits to the β and Q^2 dependencies of the inclusive data.
- Diffractive dijet data are able to discriminate between different gluon parametrization.
- Combined fits to inclusive and dijet data constrain both the quark and gluon DPDFs to good and similar precisions.
- Ratio of diffractive to inclusive photoproduction dijets cross sections measured for the first time.
  - trend of the data can be interpreted using multiple interactions