


Measurement of Hard and Soft Diffraction at LHC

Towards a DESY LOI

Henri Kowalski



Final state can be fully controlled by measurement
→ high measurement precision

Predominant production of neutral, scalar states
→ sensitive to new physics

X-sections for new physics in low x region depend on *Gluon Luminosity* which is precisely determined through measurement of *QCD jet-jet* reaction at LHC and HERA data input

Byproduct: Clean QCD measurements in new, non-trivial, regions

Precise measurement of the Higgs Mass



New Physics in Diffraction?

J. Ellis,
HERA-LHC
Workshop

Higher symmetries (e.g. Supersymmetry) lead to existence of several scalar, neutral, Higgs states, H, h, A Higgs Hunter Guide, Gunnion, Haber, Kane, Dawson 1990

In MSSM Higgs σ -section are likely to be much *enhanced* as compared to Standard Model ($\tan\beta$ large because $M_{\text{Higgs}} > 115 \text{ GeV}$)

CP violation is highly probable in MSSM \implies all *three* neutral Higgs bosons have *similar masses* $\sim 120 \text{ GeV}$ \implies


can ONLY be RESOLVED in DIFFRACTION

Ellis, Lee, Pilaftisis Phys Rev D, 70, 075010, (2004) , hep-ph/0502251

Correlation between transverse momenta of the tagged protons give a handle on the CP-violation in the Higgs sector


Khoze, Martin, Ryskin, hep-ph 040178

Exclusive Double Diffractive Reactions



low x QCD reactions:

$pp \Rightarrow pp + g_{\text{Jet}} g_{\text{Jet}} \quad \sigma \sim 1 \text{ nb for } E_T > 20 \text{ GeV, } M(\text{jj}) \sim 50 \text{ GeV}$
 $\sigma \sim 0.5 \text{ pb for } E_T > 60 \text{ GeV, } M(\text{jj}) \sim 200 \text{ GeV}$
 $|\eta_{\text{JET}}| < 2 \quad \text{KMR Eur. Phys J. C23, p 311}$



$$\sigma^{\text{Diff}} = \hat{\sigma} \cdot L$$

$\sigma^{\text{Diff}} = \text{hard X-section} \times \text{Gluon Luminosity factorization !!!}$

$$M^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y \partial M^2} = S^2 O$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt} \approx \frac{9}{4} \frac{\pi \alpha_s^2}{E_T^4}$$

$gg \Rightarrow \text{Jet+Jet}$



$gg \Rightarrow \text{Higgs}$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{Higgs}} \propto \Gamma_{\text{Higgs}}$$

$$O^{\text{exclusive}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{(N_c^2 - 1)b} \int \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^4} f_g(x_1, x_1', t, Q_t, \mu) f_g(x_2, x_2', t, Q_t, \mu) \right)^2 f_g - \text{un. gluon densities}$$

$pp \Rightarrow pp + \text{Higgs} \quad \sigma \sim O(3) \text{ fb} \sim O(100) \text{ fb (MSSM)}$
High LHC Luminosity Optics required

Survival Probability S^2



$$S^2 = \frac{\int M^2(s,b) e^{-\Omega(s,b)} d^2b}{\int M^2(s,b) d^2b}$$



Soft Elastic Opacity

$$F(\vec{p}_{1t}, \vec{p}_{2t}) = \frac{\beta^2(t_1)\beta^2(t_2)}{\langle S^2 \rangle \pi^2 / b_0^2} S^2(\vec{p}_{1t}, \vec{p}_{2t})$$

t – distributions at LHC


Effects of soft proton absorption modulate the hard t - distributions

t -measurement will allow to disentangle the effects of soft absorption from hard behavior



Khoze
Martin
Ryskin

Gluon Luminosity \longleftrightarrow F_2



$$M^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y \partial M^2} = S^2 O$$

$$O^{exclusive} = \left(\frac{\pi}{(N_c^2 - 1)b} \int \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^4} f_g(x_1, x_1', t, Q_t, \mu) f_g(x_2, x_2', t, Q_t, \mu) \right)^2$$


Challenge of Exclusive Double Diffractive measurement at high luminosity

- acceptance
- calibration and alignment
- stability of measurement conditions
- high resolution in x_{IP}
- backgrounds
- multiple events



Specially designed forward detectors

$$x_{IP} - 0.2 - 1.5 \% \quad t - 0 - O(10) \text{ GeV}^2$$



LHC parameters

Length	26.6 km
Nr. of bunches	2808
Nr. of particle/bunch	$1.15 \cdot 10^{11}$
Frequency	40 MHz
Inter-bunch distance	25 nsec

Maximal Luminosity - $10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Coasted Beam Optics



x - transverse deviation from the beam position
 x' - transverse angular deviation


Transport Matrix
 (from text books)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}_{\text{observation point}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}} (\cos \Psi + \alpha_0 \sin \Psi) & \sqrt{\beta \beta_0} \sin \Psi & D \\ \frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha) \cos \Psi - (1 + \alpha_0 \alpha) \sin \Psi}{\sqrt{\beta \beta_0}} & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0}{\beta}} (\cos \Psi - \sin \Psi) & D' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + 0 \\ x'_0 + \theta \\ \xi_0 - x_{IP} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{interaction point}}$$

e.g. P. Schmueser
 in CERN 94-01

β -amplitude function, Ψ -phases, D-dispersion can be obtained from the LHC Optic Webpage
Coasted beam optics is considerably easier to handle than ray tracking in MAD

x, x' are moving on
 Phase Ellipse



$\alpha \neq 0$

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta_x}$$

$$\sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon(1 + \alpha_x^2)}{\beta_x}}$$

LHC High Luminosity Optics

Interaction point

$$\beta_x = \beta_y = 0.55 \text{ m} \quad \varepsilon_N = 3.75 \text{ } \mu\text{rad} \cdot \text{m}$$

$$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sqrt{\varepsilon\beta} = 16.6 \text{ } \mu\text{m} \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_N / \gamma$$

$$\sigma_{x'} = \sigma_{y'} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon(1 + \alpha^2)}{\beta}} = 30.2 \text{ } \mu\text{rad} \quad \Rightarrow p_T \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$$


420 m point

$$\beta_x = 130 \text{ m} \quad \beta_y = 50 \text{ m}$$

$$\sigma_x = 250 \text{ } \mu\text{m} \quad \sigma_y = 160 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$$



$$\sigma_{x'} = 4.5 \text{ } \mu\text{rad} \quad \sigma_{y'} = 4.5 \text{ } \mu\text{rad}$$

LHC HL Optics: transverse deviations are magnified, angular deviations are diminished






$$x = D_x \frac{\Delta p}{p}$$



At 420 m

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 0.01 \Rightarrow x = 1.5 \text{ cm}$$

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 0.001 \Rightarrow x = 1.5 \text{ mm}$$



Detector

closest approach
 $12 \sigma \sim 3 \text{ mm}$

acceptance
 $x_{IP} \sim 0.2 - 1.5 \%$
 t from 0 to $\sim 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

deflection of protons due to main magnets


→

stability against beam tuning effects


Leading proton acceptance ($\beta^* = 0.5$ m)

March 2003

from the talk of
K. Österberg, Manchester
for the TOTEM
collaboration



Proton
acceptance
down to $x_{IP} =$
0.2 % if
detectors in
the cold region



Only proton info
from detectors
up to 220 m
from IP arrive
in time for L1
trigger decision

Reconstruction of Kinematic Variables similar to H1-VFPS

Transport Matrix
(from text books)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}} (\cos \Psi + \alpha_0 \sin \Psi) & \sqrt{\beta \beta_0} \sin \Psi & D \\ \frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha) \cos \Psi - (1 + \alpha_0 \alpha) \sin \Psi}{\sqrt{\beta \beta_0}} & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0}{\beta}} (\cos \Psi - \sin \Psi) & D' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + 0 \\ x'_0 + \theta \\ \xi_0 - x_{IP} \end{pmatrix}$$

observation point
3-measured
Real mapping should be computed with MAD
interaction point
2-unknown

Calibration using events with reconstructed x_{IP1} and x_{IP2} in CD, e.g EDD with $\sigma \sim O(1) \mu\text{b}$

$$x_{IP1} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{S}} e^y \qquad x_{IP2} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{S}} e^{-y}$$

Exploit $t = 0$ peak for alignment


$$\chi^2_{calib} = \frac{\theta_x^2}{\sigma_{\theta_x}^2} + \frac{(x_{IP} - x_{IP}^{CD})^2}{\sigma_{x_{IP} - x_{IP}^{CD}}^2}$$

Minimize χ^2

$$\chi^2 = (x_i - x_i(\theta_x, x_{IP})) \cdot c_{ij}^{-1} \cdot (x_j - x_j(\theta_x, x_{IP}))$$

H1 experience with VFPS - Real evaluation should take into account nonlinearities and correlations between the vertical and horizontal planes due to sextupoles and higher order magnets (Pierre van Mechelen)

420 m Detectors



Missing dipole in the lattice - 14 m space. With a bypass ~10 m space remains for warm detectors sitting in Roman Pots

detector resolution should be better than the beam spread at 420 m

$$\sigma_x \approx 250 \mu\text{m} \quad \sigma_y \approx 160 \mu\text{m}$$

$$\sigma_{x',y'} \approx 4.5 \mu\text{rad}$$

angular measurement can be performed with silicon detectors spaced 8 m apart, with ~10 μm resolution. Size of the detectors: ~30 mm * 20 mm

alignment with physics reactions (much easier than at HERA, high statistics)

simple estimate of the proton momentum resolution:

$$\Delta x_{IP} / x_{IP} \sim 8\% \quad \text{for } x_{IP} \approx 0.002 \quad \sigma_x / 3\text{mm}$$

$$\Delta x_{IP} / x_{IP} \sim 1.5\% \quad \text{for } x_{IP} \approx 0.01 \quad \sigma_x / 15\text{mm}$$

$$\Delta p_T \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$$

LHC No Pileup Measurement Scenarios at full luminosity

The *no pileup* situation allows to apply rapidity gap, primary single vertex and energy matching requirements to select diffractive events.

→ Excellent conditions for selecting and investigating diffractive reactions with high cross-sections, e.g *hard QCD EDD*

inclusive and single diffractive events with $\sigma = 70 \text{ mb}$ produce,

at $L = 10^{34} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ $\Rightarrow \sim 20$ events per bunch crossing (no-pileup impossible)

$L = 10^{33}$ $\Rightarrow \sim 2$ events per bunch
probability of no-pileup $\sim 15\%$
effective $L \sim 1.5 \cdot 10^{32}$ or $0.15 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$

$L = 4 \cdot 10^{33}$ $\Rightarrow \sim 8$ events per bunch
probability of no-pileup $\sim 0.03\%$
effective $L \sim 1 \cdot 10^{30}$


Effective Luminosity under no-pileup conditions $\sim O(5) \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Background Reactions


Main limits on the beam lifetime at LHC is due to strong interactions $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \sim O(100) \text{ mb}$

$$(L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}) \cdot (\sigma = 100 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2) = 10^9 \text{ events/sec}$$


Beam lifetime $2808 \cdot 1.15 \cdot 10^{11} / (2 \cdot 10^9 \cdot 3600) \sim \underline{\underline{O(40) \text{ hours}}}$




Elastic scattering - $\sigma_{\text{el}} \sim O(30) \text{ mb}$



Inclusive scattering - $\sigma_{\text{inc}} \sim O(50) \text{ mb}$







Proton dissociation - $\sigma_{\text{el}} \sim 2 O(10) \text{ mb}$ for $x_{IP} \sim 1 - 30 \%$
 Main source of the machine background. Leads to a rate of $O(10^8)$ forward protons/sec. Attention!!! It is above the magnet quench limit of $8 \cdot 10^6$ protons/m/sec




Machine background from proton dissociation reactions

LHC Project Note 240, 208

I. Baishev, J.B. Jeanneret, G.R. Stevenson




Physics background from proton dissociation reactions



*420 m detector sees protons with $x_{IP} \sim 0.2 - 1.5 \%$ and $\sigma_{dis} \sim 3 \text{ mb} \sim$
At luminosity of $10^{34} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ there will be $\sim 3 \cdot 10^7$ protons/sec
 ~ 1 proton per bunch crossing*

However, these protons are produced in a *soft interaction* together with a particle cloud of a mass $M_x \sim 700 - 1700 \text{ GeV}$. Such a large mass cannot escape undetected in the central detector.




Beam Halo background from beam-beam tune shift

In bunch-bunch collision the particle of one bunch see the other bunch as a nonlinear lens.
Focusing properties are changing => protons of large amplitude

are getting out of tune after many crossings

Estimate of the proton loss: # protons / beam lifetime (40h)



Collimator cleaning inefficiency after $10\sigma \sim 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$

$$\frac{2808 \cdot 1.15 \cdot 10^{11} \cdot 0.63 \cdot 4 \cdot 10^{-4}}{40 \cdot 3600} = 0.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ protons/sec}$$

1 beam halo proton per ~80 bunches at the top luminosity
Presumably even considerably smaller in the 420m region,
in the shadow of the incoming collimator, after D2 (R. Assmann)

Background Estimation

Example:

$pp \Rightarrow pp + g_{\text{Jet}} g_{\text{Jet}} \quad \sigma \sim 1 \text{ nb for } E_T > 20 \text{ GeV, } M(\text{jj}) \sim 50 \text{ GeV}$

Signature:

2 forward protons + 2 central jets at $|\eta| < 2$ + 2 rapidity gaps at $2 < |\eta| < 5$

Background:

non-diffractive jet production: $\sigma \sim 10^4 \text{ nb}$ at the same E_T and $M(\text{jj})$
+ 2 accidental beam halo protons or 2 single diff. dissociation protons

Background suppressed by:

rapidity gaps $\sim \exp(-\lambda\Delta y) \sim 0.06\%$ for $\lambda = 1.7$ and $\Delta y = 3$
matching of energies between the forward proton and CD - $O(1/10)$

no second vertex - $O(1/100)$ (for s. d.)
probability to have accidental beam halo proton - $O(1/80)$

Background / Signal ratio = $(0.006/800)^2 * 10^4 \sim O(10^{-6})$

Higgs Search at full luminosity

Problem: Single Diffractive Dissociation (no rapidity gap rejection)

However, *SDD properties* will be *known* with high precision *from* background studies of the *QCD reactions* and comparison of Monte-Carlos with data

SDD characterized by low- p_T particle production, low particle multiplicity and - one side rapidity gaps



⇒ reject events with ≥ 2 SDD protons,
⇒ $P(n < 2) \sim 45\%$ at $L = 5 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$

High resolution in the Higgs region for diffractive protons
($\sim 1.5\%$ instead of $\sim 8\%$ and known M_{Higgs}) ⇒ suppression $O(1/100)$


Background / Signal ratio = $(1/80 \times 100)^2 * 10^4 \sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$

Effective Luminosity for diffractive Higgs search
 $O(100) \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Effective Luminosity: Double-Diffractive Higgs production




Cross section in CP-violating scenario: three-way mixing
 $\tan \beta = 50, m_{H^\pm} = 155$ GeV




Can hope to measure line-shape using forward proton measurements?

Cross Section, CP-Violating Asymmetry for $H_i \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

Cross section peaks not at poles ...



... nor are asymmetries



SUMMARY

420m counters

- **acceptance**

$x^{IP} \sim 0.2 - 1.5 \% \quad t$ from 0 to $\sim 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

deflection of protons into 420m detectors due to main magnets (dipoles)

stability against beam tuning effects

- **calibration and alignment**

relatively easy due to high hard QCD DPE X-sections and
distinct forward peak

- **resolution**

$\Delta x_{IP}/x_{IP} \sim 1.5 \%$ in Higgs mass region

- **backgrounds**

$O(10^{-6})$ in no-pileup scenario and $O(2 \times 10^{-4})$ for pileup events



SUMMARY

420m counters

pp \rightarrow pp jet+jet - $O(10^6)$ events under no pileup conditions are expected
Events are fully contained in the detector \longrightarrow high measurement precision
 \longrightarrow understanding of Gluon Luminosity \longrightarrow reliable Higgs expectations

Luminosity for DPE Higgs measurements $O(100) \text{ fb}^{-1}$
Higgs x-sections could reach $O(100) \text{ fb}$
 \longrightarrow

Higgs Mass measured with 1.5% precision
Investigations of CP structure of the Higgs sector
-no other detector can do it- new window into physics

\longrightarrow
Diffraction LHC ~ pure Gluon Collider \Rightarrow investigations of properties of the gluon cloud in the new region

Gluon Cloud is a fundamental QCD object - SOLVE QCD!!!!

Summary

Higgs candidates accompanied by proton tags is a 0^{++} state
Luminosity for DPE Higgs measurements $O(100) \text{ fb}^{-1} \Rightarrow$ Higgs measurements

- In certain regions of MSSM parameter space, $S/B > 20$, and double tagging is THE discovery channel
- In other regions of MSSM parameter space, explicit CP violation in the Higgs sector shows up as e.g. azimuthal asymmetry in the tagged protons \rightarrow direct probe of CP structure of Higgs sector at LHC
- "Exclusive double diffraction may offer unique possibilities for exploring Higgs physics in ways that would be difficult or even impossible in inclusive Higgs production" J. Ellis et. al.
- The commissioning phase will produce a wealth of interesting physics, including detailed probe of gap survival / underlying event

Diffractive LHC ~ pure Gluon Collider \Rightarrow Low- x QCD phenomena can be studied at large Q^2 , $O(10000) \text{ GeV}^2$, low Q^2 and $t \sim 0-10 \text{ GeV}^2$

Non-trivial QCD region - SOLVE QCD!!!!

t – distributions at LHC

with the cross-sections of the O(1) nb
and $L \sim 1 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \Rightarrow$
O(10^7) events/year are expected.

For hard diffraction this allows
to follow the t – distribution to

$$t_{max} \sim 4 \text{ GeV}^2$$

For soft diffraction $t_{max} \sim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$

t -distribution of hard processes
should be sensitive to the evolution
and/or saturation effects


see:


Al Mueller dipole evolution, BK equation, and
the impact parameter saturation model
for HERA data

t – distributions at HERA

$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow J/\psi p$$

$$Q^2 = 0$$





diff X-Sections


$$\sigma = L \cdot \hat{\sigma}$$

$$M^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y \partial M^2} = LS^2$$

gg → Jet+Jet

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt} \approx \frac{9}{4} \frac{\pi \alpha_s^2}{E_T^4}$$

Gluon Luminosity -KMR



HERA Data & Exclusive Jet-Jet diffractive cross-sections determine Gluon Luminosity

Costed Beam Optics



x - transverse deviation from the beam position
 x' - transverse angular deviation

Transport Matrix (from text books)


β - amplitude function
 α - $= -\beta'/2$ (= 0 at IP)
 Ψ - phase

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}_{\text{observation point}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}} (\cos \Psi + \alpha_0 \sin \Psi) & \sqrt{\beta\beta_0} \sin \Psi & D \\ \frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha) \cos \Psi - (1 + \alpha_0 \alpha) \sin \Psi}{\sqrt{\beta\beta_0}} & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0}{\beta}} (\cos \Psi - \sin \Psi) & D' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + 0 \\ x'_0 + \theta \\ \xi_0 - x_{IP} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{interaction point}}$$

x, x' are moving on
 Phase Ellipse

$$\alpha = 0 \quad \beta x'^2 + \frac{x^2}{\beta} = \varepsilon = \frac{\text{Surface}}{\pi}$$

ε - transverse
 emittance




Ellipse surface is
 a constant of motion

$\alpha \neq 0$

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta_x}$$

$$\sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon(1 + \alpha_x^2)}{\beta_x}}$$

Standard Model Higgs



b jets : $M_H = 120 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma = 2 \text{ fb}$ (uncertainty factor ~ 2.5)

$M_H = 140 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma = 0.7 \text{ fb}$

$M_H = 120 \text{ GeV}$: 11 signal / 3? background in 30 fb^{-1}

WW* : $M_H = 120 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma = 0.4 \text{ fb}$

$M_H = 140 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma = 1 \text{ fb}$

$M_H = 140 \text{ GeV}$: 8 signal / 1? background in 30 fb^{-1}

0^{++} Selection rule

QCD Background $\sim \frac{m_b^2}{E_T^2} \frac{\alpha_S^2}{M_{b\bar{b}}^2 E_T^2}$

- The b jet channel is possible, with a good understanding of detectors and clever level 1 trigger
- The WW* (ZZ*) channel is extremely promising : no trigger problems, better mass resolution at higher masses (even in leptonic / semi-leptonic channel)
- If we see Higgs + tags - the quantum numbers are 0^{++}

The MSSM can be very proton-tagging friendly

The intense coupling regime is where the masses of the 3 neutral Higgs bosons are close to each other and $\tan\beta$ is large

$\gamma\gamma, WW^*, ZZ^*$ suppressed

$gg \rightarrow \phi$ enhanced

O^{++} selection rule suppresses A production:

CEDP 'filters out' pseudoscalar production, leaving pure H sample for study


$M_A = 130 \text{ GeV}, \tan\beta = 50$

$M_h = 124 \text{ GeV} : 71 \text{ signal} / 3 \text{ background in } 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

$M_H = 135 \text{ GeV} : 124 \text{ signal} / 2 \text{ background in } 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

$M_A = 130 \text{ GeV} : 3 \text{ signal} / 2 \text{ background in } 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Central exclusive diffractive production



Brian Cox talk at Manchester Conf.

Well known difficult region for conventional channels, tagged channel may well be the discovery channel, and is certainly a powerful spin/parity filter

Probing CP violation in the Higgs Sector

Azimuthal asymmetry in tagged protons provides direct evidence for CP violation in Higgs sector

$$A = \frac{\sigma(\varphi < \pi) - \sigma(\varphi > \pi)}{\sigma(\varphi < \pi) + \sigma(\varphi > \pi)}$$

$M(H_1)$ GeV	cuts	30	40	50
$\sigma(H_1)\text{Br}(\tau\tau)$	a, b	1.9	0.6	0.3
$\sigma^{\text{QED}}(\tau\tau)$	a, b	0.2	0.1	0.04
$A_{\tau\tau}$	b	0.2	0.1	0.05

'CPX' scenario
 σ in fb

(b) $p_i^\perp > 300$ MeV for the forward outgoing protons

$$\mathcal{M} = g_S \cdot (e_1^\perp \cdot e_2^\perp) - g_P \cdot \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} e_{1\mu} e_{2\nu} p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta} / (p_1 \cdot p_2)$$


CP even

CP odd active at non-zero t

Brian Cox talk at Manchester Conf.

Ongoing work - are there regions of MSSM parameter space where there are large CP violating couplings AND enhanced gluon couplings?

The ATLAS Detector



Calorimetry:

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E}(e, \gamma) = \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus 0.3\%$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \frac{60 \text{ mrad}}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}}$$

$$\sigma_t = \frac{4 \text{ ns}}{E/\text{GeV}}$$


$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E}(\pi^\pm) = \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus 3\%$$

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E}(\text{jet}) = \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus 2\%$$

Tracking:


$$\frac{\sigma}{p_T}(\text{Inner Det}) \approx (0.03 p_T / \text{GeV} + 1.2)\%$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{p_T}(\text{IDet} + \mu) \approx (0.009 p_T / \text{GeV} + 1.4)\%$$



The CMS experiment

from the talk of
A. De Roeck




- o Tracking
 - o Silicon pixels
 - o Silicon strips
- o Calorimeters
 - o PbWO4 crystals for Electro-magn.
 - o Scintillator/steel for hadronic part
- o 4T solenoid
- o Instrumented iron for muon detection

- o Coverage
 - o Tracking
 $0 < |\eta| < 2.5-3$
 - o Calorimetry
 $0 < |\eta| < 5$

Main program: EWSB, Beyond SM physics...

Diffractive Higgs Production


- Double-diffractive Higgs production mechanism:
- Effective luminosity:




$$M^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial y \partial M^2} = 4.0 \times 10^{-4} \left[\frac{\int_{\ln Q_{\min}}^{\ln \mu} F_g(x_1, x_2, Q_T, \mu) d \ln Q_T}{\text{GeV}^{-2}} \right]^2 \left(\frac{\hat{S}^2}{0.02} \right) \left(\frac{4}{b \text{ GeV}^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{R_g}{1.2} \right)^4$$

for nominal values
of other inputs

- Gluon collision factor for different PDFs:



Determine using
HERA & LHC data!



$$M^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y \partial M^2} = S^2 L$$


$$L^{exclusive} = \left(\frac{\pi}{(N_c^2 - 1)b} \int \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^4} f_g(x_1, x_1', t, Q_t, \mu) f_g(x_2, x_2', t, Q_t, \mu) \right)^2$$

Background Reactions


Main limits on the beam lifetime at LHC is due to strong interactions $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \sim \mathbf{O(100)}$ mb

$$(L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}) \cdot (\sigma = 100 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2) = 10^9 \text{ events/sec}$$


Beam lifetime $2808 \cdot 1.15 \cdot 10^{11} / (2 \cdot 10^9 \cdot 3600) \sim \mathbf{O(40)}$ hours



Elastic scattering - $\sigma_{\text{el}} \sim \mathbf{O(30)}$ mb small angular and momentum deviations. Protons stay inside the acceptance of the ring



Inclusive scattering - $\sigma_{\text{inc}} \sim \mathbf{O(50)}$ mb - most of the outgoing particles have low momentum and large emission angle. All of them will be either seen in the central detector or captured by the TAN and TAS absorbers.



Proton dissociation - $\sigma_{\text{el}} \sim 2 \mathbf{O(10)}$ mb for $x_{IP} \sim 1 - 30 \%$
 Main source of the machine background. Leads to a rate of $\mathbf{O(10^8)}$ forward protons/sec. Attention!!! It is above the magnet quench limit of $8 \cdot 10^6$ protons/m/sec