Search for collective effects in small system obtained in ep collisions at HERA

Chuan Sun (孙 川) for H1 Collaboration
Stony Brook University/Shandong University

Collectivity in small system

PLB 724 213–240 (2013) ; PRL 116, 172302 (2016)



Lots of evidence of collectivity in high multiplicity pp and pPb collisions, similar to heavy-ion collisions attributed to the perfect liquid nature of QGP

What about even smaller system?

Collectivity in small system

PRL 123, 212002 (2019)



TALK #386
Yu-Chen(Janice) Chen
April 6th - 8:40 am EDT
Parallel Session T05

TALK #496
Yi Chen
April 6th - 9:00 am EDT
Parallel Session T05

Lots of evidence of collectivity in high multiplicity pp and pPb collisions, similar to heavy-ion collisions attributed to the perfect liquid nature of QGP

What about even smaller system?

Collectivity in small system

PRL 123, 212002 (2019)



TALK #386
Yu-Chen(Janice) Chen
April 6th - 8:40 am EDT
Parallel Session T05

TALK #496
Yi Chen
April 6th - 9:00 am EDT
Parallel Session T05

Lots of evidence of collectivity in high multiplicity pp and pPb collisions, similar to heavy-ion collisions attributed to the perfect liquid nature of QGP

What about even smaller system? in e^+e^- or ep collisions

In deep-inelastic scattering(DIS) and photoproduction events:

Two-paticle correlation(Ridge, $V_{n\Delta}$), Four-particle correlation($C_2\{4\}$)

H1 at HERA

HERA Collider
Operated from 1992 to 2007
Circumference 6.3 km
Asymmetric detectors
Electrons or positrons colliding with protons
E_e=27.6 GeV, E_p=460 - 920 GeV
Centre-of-mass system is boosted to proton-direction





H1 Detector
Central tracker acceptance $|\eta|<1.6$
LAr calorimeter for hadronic final state
SpaCal calorimeter for detecting electrons with $5<Q^2<100$ GeV^2

DIS and photoproduction





DIS defined by large virtualities:
$Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$
Transverse radius(R_t) of the probed region are given by:
$R_t \backsim \frac{1}{Q}$

PRD 95, 114008 (2017)

Photoproduction defined by small virtualities:
$Q^2 \ll \Lambda_{QCD}^2$
Exchange photon may fluctuate into partons
Large interaction regions probed
Scattering may be hadron-like

Search for collectivity in ep DIS

Lab Frame



Hadronic CMS frame







lab frame:
inhomogeneous p_T space

HCM frame:
homogeneous p_T space

Search for collectivity with H1 data in HCM frame

Two-particle correlation functions in ep DIS

H1prelim-20-033: https://www-h1.desy.de/publications/H1preliminary.short_list.html



No near-side long-range ridge with H1 DIS data

Extract ridge yield limits through ZYAM and bootstrap procedure

DIS HCM

Ridge yield limits in ep DIS



Limits set for ridge yield

Small room for existence of ridge

DIS HCM

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ extraction procedure

Long-range 1-D projections of 2PC functions onto Δφ direction

$$\frac{1}{N_{trig}}\frac{dN^{pair}}{d\Delta\phi} = \frac{N_{assoc}}{2\pi}\left(1+\sum_{n} 2V_{n\Delta}\cos(n\Delta\phi)\right)$$



Similar shapes in low and high multiplicity

DIS HCM

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep DIS





$V_{2\Delta}$ value drops in high multiplicity

Negative $V_{3\Delta}$ means it dominated by non-flow correlation

DIS HCM

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep DIS (Compared with ZEUS)





$V_{2\Delta}$ has similar trend as ZEUS result

DIS HCM

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep DIS



RAPGAP has better description on DIS data than DJANGOH
Data can be described by MC(RAPGAP) w/o collectivity

DIS HCM

Multi-particle correlation



$$\langle 2\rangle = \left\langle e^{in(\phi_1-\phi_2)}\right\rangle = \frac{Q_n^2 - M}{M(M-1)}$$

$$Q_n \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{M} e^{in\phi_i}$$

$$\langle 4\rangle = \left\langle e^{in(\phi_1+\phi_2-\phi_3-\phi_4)}\right\rangle = \frac{Q_n^4 - 2\mathrm{Re}[\boldsymbol{Q}_{2n}\boldsymbol{Q}_n^{*2}] - 4(M-2)Q_n^2 + 2M(M-3) + Q_{2n}^2}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)}$$

$$c_n\{4\} = \langle\langle 4\rangle\rangle - 2\langle\langle 2\rangle\rangle^2$$

$$v_n\{4\} = \sqrt[4]{-c_n\{4\}}$$

Few particle correlation is suppressed
Collective behavior leads to negative $C_n\{4\}$
Subevent cumulants also investigated to further suppress non-flow

PRC 83, 044913 (2011)

PRC 96, 034906 (2017)

Multi-particle correlation in ep DIS



No obvious negative $C_2\{4\}$ in DIS

DIS HCM

Multi-particle correlation in ep DIS



No obvious negative $C_2\{4\}$ in DIS
RAPGAP can describe data

DIS HCM

Search for collectivity in ep photoproduction



PRC 104, 014903 (2021)



Non-zero v_2 values observed in PbPb ultra-peripheral collisions

Evidence of collectivity in photo-nuclear collisions

The resolved photoproduction process in ep collisions can be regarded as hadronic collisions

Collectivity in high multiplicity ep photoproduction?

Ridge yield limit in ep photoproduction



low multiplicity



high multiplicity

No near-side long-range ridge observed

photoproduction

Ridge yield limit in ep photoproduction



low multiplicity



high multiplicity





No near-side long-range ridge observed

Small room for existence of ridge

photoproduction

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep photoproduction





photoproduction

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep photoproduction





Similar $V_{2\Delta}$ behavior in photoproduction data as in DIS

photoproduction

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep photoproduction



Similar $V_{3\Delta}$ behavior in photoproduction data as in DIS

photoproduction

Multi-particle correlation in ep photoproduction



No evidence of negative $C_2\{4\}$, no sign of collectivity

photoproduction

Summary

No collectivity observed in either DIS or photoproduction in H1 ep collisions

- No long-range near-side ridge
- Decreasing $V_{2\Delta}$ and negative $V_{3\Delta}$
- No negative $C_2\{4\}$
- Compared with MC simulation:
  - $V_{2\Delta}$ and $V_{3\Delta}$ in DIS can be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity
  - $C_2\{4\}$ can also be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity



DIS $V_{2\Delta}$



DIS $C_2\{4\}$



photoproduction $V_{2\Delta}$



photoproduction $C_2\{4\}$

No collectivity observed in either DIS or photoproduction in H1 ep collisions

- No long-range near-side ridge
- Decreasing $V_{2\Delta}$ and negative $V_{3\Delta}$
- No negative $C_2\{4\}$
- Compared with MC simulation:
  - $V_{2\Delta}$ and $V_{3\Delta}$ in DIS can be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity
  - $C_2\{4\}$ can also be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity

Is there any collectivity in high multiplicity eA collisions? Stay tuned for EIC



DIS $V_{2\Delta}$



DIS $C_2\{4\}$



photoproduction $V_{2\Delta}$



photoproduction $C_2\{4\}$

Thanks for attention! Dziękuję za uwagę!

No collectivity observed in either DIS or photoproduction in H1 ep collisions

- No long-range near-side ridge
- Decreasing $V_{2\Delta}$ and negative $V_{3\Delta}$
- No negative $C_2\{4\}$
- Compared with MC simulation:
  - $V_{2\Delta}$ and $V_{3\Delta}$ in DIS can be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity
  - $C_2\{4\}$ can also be described by RAPGAP w/o collectivity

Is there any collectivity in high multiplicity eA collisions? Stay tuned for EIC



DIS $V_{2\Delta}$



DIS $C_2\{4\}$



photoproduction $V_{2\Delta}$



photoproduction $C_2\{4\}$

Thanks for attention
Dziękuję za uwagę

Back up

Kinematics in DIS



$$Q^2 = -q^2$$

$$y = \frac{\nu}{E_e} = \frac{E_e - E_{e'}}{E_e}$$

$$s = (k + P)^2$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{sy}$$

H1 at HERA



Textbook: we only need to measure scattered electron for kinematics.
However, at HERA, there are as least 4-6 different methods to construct kinematics, and each method has its pros and cons. Not only electron is used.

SpalCal, EM Calorimeter to detect scattered electrons in degrees.
CTD covers from 25-155 degrees. (backward~-1.5unit)
FTD+FST covers 5-25 degrees.(forward~3unit)

Two-particle correlation method

In our analysis, the 2PC functions are filled with the difference Δη, ΔΦ of particle pairs. The trigger particle is the charged particles in an event passing track selections. So in the same event, the signal distribution is per-trigger-particle yield of correlated pairs, including detector acceptance effects:

$$S(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{d^2 N^{same}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi}$$

The mix-event background distributions is constructed with trigger particles from one event are correlating with all of the associated particles from different events within $|Z_{VTX}| < 2$cm. In this analysis, each event is paired with 5 randomly chosen events. The result is given by

$$B(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{d^2 N^{mix}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi}$$

The signal distribution, divided by the background distribution, is the final 2PC function. The pair acceptence of the detector can be corrected.

$$\frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{d^2 N^{pair}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi} = B(0,0) \times \frac{S(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)}{B(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)}$$





Ridge yield extraction procedure

Zero-yield-at-minimum(ZYAM)

PRC 81 014905 (2010)







Step1: long-range 1D projection

Step2: third-order Fourier fit

Step3: subtraction

Then integrate from $\Delta\Phi=0$ to where the minimum value of ZYAM occurs as the ridge yield value

Bootstrap procedure

Each azimuthal differential yield distribution is varied according to their statistical and systematic uncertainties
One time bootstrap, one new ridge yield value





Each yield distribution is sampled 2.5x10[5] times



Ridge yield limit extracted from the mean and sigma value of the Gaussian function

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ extraction procedure

The azimuthal anisotropy harmonics are determined from a Fourier decompositons of long-range two-particle correlation functions on $\Delta\varphi$ direction.

1-D comparisons



The comparison between data and MCs.
Similar shapes in high and low multiplicity.

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$



MC RAPGAP has better description on DIS data than MC DJANGOH
Data can be described by MC w/o collectivity

Fourier coefficient $V_{n\Delta}$ in ep DIS



H1prelim-20-033



JHEP 04 070 (2020)

Similar trend as ZEUS result

DIS HCM

Mechanism in RAPGAP and DJANGOH

Comput.Phys.Commun. 86 (1995) 147-161
Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 15 (1972) 438-450, Yad.Fiz. 15 (1972) 781-807

The RAPGAP 3.1

MC event generator matches first order QCD matrix elements to the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) parton showers with strongly ordered transverse momenta of subsequently emitted partons. The factorisation and renormalisation scales are set to $u_f = u_r = \sqrt{Q^2 + \hat{p}_T^2}$, where $\hat{p}_T$ is the transverse momentum of the outgoing hard parton from the matrix element in the center-of-mass frame of the hard subsystem. The CTEQ 6L leading order parametrisation of the parton density function (PDF) is used.

The DJANGOH 1.4

MC event generator used the Color Dipole Model (CDM) as implemented in ARIADNE, which models first order QCD processes and creates dipoles between colored partons. Gluon emission is treated as radiation from these dipoles, and new dipoles are formed from the emitted gluons from which further radiation is possible. The radiation pattern of the dipoles includes interference effects, thus modelling gluon coherence. The transverse momenta of the emitted partons are not ordered in transverse momentum with respect to rapidity, producing a configuration similar to the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) treatment of parton evolution. The CTEQ 6L at leading order is used as the PDF.

Multi-particle correlation



More advanced sub-event methods can further suppress few particle correlation

Method paper: Phys. Rev. C **96**, 034906, arXiv.1701.03830

2 and 3-subevent methods provide more reliable results on collectivity