Probing hadronization and jet substructure with leading particles in jet at H1

Mriganka Mouli Mondal, for the H1 Collaboration

CFNS, Stony Brook University

DIS 2022
Santiago de Compostela, Spain
May 3, 2022

Stony Brook University

Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science

Outline

- **Observable** : charge-momentum correlation (***r*$_c$**) amongst leading hadrons in jets
- **Jet substructure :** partonic proxies in probing ***r*$_c$** at different splits (prongs)
- **Kinematic variables** : relative transverse momentum between leading particles/prongs (k_T) and formation time (t_{form})
- **H1 Measurements**
  - Jets at H1 and leading particles & prong kinematics
  - Prong and its correlation with leading particles
  - ***r*$_c$** with k_T, t_{form} and jet-p_T
- **Summary**

Leading particles in jets

Parton shower evolution +
nonperturbative gluon splitting



Hadronization
(nonperturbative)

Jets are collimated streams of particles

Dynamics of hadronization can be studied through correlations among particles in a jet

Leading and next-to-leading particles : nonperturbative in origin

charge-energy correlation

Observable : charge-momentum correlation, r_c

- Correlations in momentum, charge and flavor
- **Leading(L)** and **next-to-leading (NL)** momentum particles in a jet
- h1 and h2 are charged hadrons only



$$r_c \equiv \frac{N_{CC} - N_{C\bar{C}}}{N_{CC} + N_{C\bar{C}}}$$

Phys. Rev. D **105**, L051502

N_{CC} : # Jets where L and NL particles have same sign charges

$N_{C\bar{C}}$: # Jets where L and NL particles have opposite sign charges

WG4 : May 3, 2022, 4:20 PM : Dr Yang-Ting Chien

r_c with subjets



L and NL particle get **resolved in first prong (n_R = 1)**



L and NL particle get **resolved in the second prong (n_R = 2)**

- L, NL particles are strongly correlated with the hardest patron
- Prong structure represent the partonic proxy
- **Charge of a subjet** is the charge of its leading particle

Using Recursive soft drop

- JHEP06(2018)093

$$z_{12} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0} \right)^{\beta}, \qquad z_{12} \equiv \frac{\min(p_{t,1}, p_{t,2})}{p_{t,1} + p_{t,2}}$$

- Anti-kt R=1.0 and C/A de-clustering tree
- following hardest branch
- dynamic radius

Significance of r_c

"**alternating**" picture :

N_{CC} = 0

$r_c = -1$



Partonic final state : u and $\bar{u}$

Combine charge-neutral pair : $\bar{d}$ and d

"**random**" picture :

no charge correlation

$N_{CC} = N_{C\overline{C}}$

$r_c = 0$

r_c is a measure of the fraction of "string-like hadronization"

Measurement of r_c

Phys. Rev. D **105**, L051502



- At early time de-correlations for wide-angle, perturbative emissions.
- There is strong flavor dependence in r_c

Where to measure

✓ Need particle Identifications at very high momentum to measure falvor correlations at EIC (flavor) in future, Belle (flavor)

✓ Charge correlations at : LEP, **H1**, RHIC, LHC

This would be the first measurement on r_c

H1 experiment



1 Beam pipe and beam magnets
2 Central tracking chambers
3 Forward tracking and Transition radiators
4 Electromagnetic Calorimeter (lead) } Liquid Argon
5 Hadronic Calorimeter (stainless steel) } Liquid Argon
6 Superconducting coil (1.2T)
7 Compensating magnet
8 Helium cryogenics
9 Muon chambers
10 Instrumented Iron (iron stabs + streamer tube detectors)
11 Muon toroid magnet
12 Warm electromagnetic calorimeter
13 Plug calorimeter (Cu, Si)
14 Concrete shielding
15 Liquid Argon cryostat

Liquid Ar Calorimeter

$\sigma/E \approx 11\%/\sqrt{E_e} \oplus 1\%$ (electromagnetic)

$\sigma/E \approx 50\%/\sqrt{E_h} \oplus 3\%$ (hadronic)

CTD

Single Track resolution

$\sigma p_T / p_T = 0.2\%\ p_T/\text{GeV} \oplus 1.5\%$

$\sigma_\theta = 1$ mr

(magnetic field = 1.16 T)

Data : 2004-2007

$\sqrt{s} = 319$ GeV, $\mathcal{L} = 361\ \text{pb}^{-1}$

Event selection and Jet reconstruction

Technical cuts :

-30 cm < zVertex < 30 cm

45 GeV< E-pz < 65 GeV

DIS kinematics :

$Q^2 > 150\ GeV^2$

$0.2 < y < 0.7$

Jet Reconstructions :

Tracks and clusters : $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c

anti-kt R = 1.0

$p_{T,Jet} > 5.0$ GeV/c

$-1.5 < \eta_{T,Jet} < 1.5$



- ✓ The leading and next-to-leading constituents of the jet are selected by their momentum along the jet axis.
- ✓ Both the leading and the next-to-leading constituents are required to be charged (CTD track)

Reconstructed Jets

anti-kt R = 1.0 , $p_{T,Jet}$ > 5.0 GeV/c , -1.5 < $\eta_{T,Jet}$ < 1.5 and L,NL charge tracks (Only the leading p_T jet is used for the analysis)







- ✓ Djangoh : Color Dipole Model + Lund string fragmentation and QED radiative corrections
- ✓ Rapgap : QCD matrix elements DGLAP based; with strongly ordered transverse momentum of subsequently emitted partons, + Hadronization : Lund string fragmentation like Pythia and QED radiations
- ✓ Djangoh and Rapgap reproduce the DATA distributions well

Constituents in Jet



anti-kt R = 1.0 , $p_{T,Jet}$ > 5.0 GeV/c , -1.5 < $\eta_{T,Jet}$ < 1.5 and L,NL charge tracks









✓ Required two leading particles in jets to be charged
✓ Djangoh and Rapgap reproduce the DATA distributions well

Measurement of r_c at different prongs



L and NL particle get **resolved** in first prong ($n_R = 1$)



L and NL particle get **resolved** in the second prong ($n_R = 2$)

We will measure

- ✓ r_c for L and NL particles
- ✓ r_c for n_R=1. (1st prong)
- ✓ r_c for n_R=2, n_R=2, n_R=2, ……. (2nd+ prong)

Prong R_g and Z_g distributions



$$z_{12} > z_{\rm cut} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}, \qquad z_{12} \equiv \frac{\min(p_{t,1}, p_{t,2})}{p_{t,1} + p_{t,2}}$$





✓ R_g of the 1st split is wider and relatively carrying small Z_g compared to the 2nd+ splits

Correlating L &NL particles with prongs in Lund plane



Leading and next-to leading particles are not correlated

$$z_{12} \equiv \frac{\min(p_{t,1}, p_{t,2})}{p_{t,1} + p_{t,2}}$$

$$z_{12} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

First split with small z_{cut} = 0 are large angle soft radiations

Correlating L &NL particles with prongs in Lund plane





Leading and next-to leading particles are correlated

$$z_{12} \equiv \frac{\min(p_{t,1}, p_{t,2})}{p_{t,1} + p_{t,2}}$$

$$z_{12} > z_{\mathrm{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

First split with small z_{cut} = 0 are large angle soft radiations

Small fraction of n=1 is in the n_R=1 class

Correlating L &NL particles with prongs in Lund plane



$$z_{12} \equiv \frac{\min(p_{t,1}, p_{t,2})}{p_{t,1} + p_{t,2}}$$

$$z_{12} > z_{\rm cut} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

First split with small z_{cut} = 0 are large angle soft radiations

Small fraction of n=1 is in the n_R=1 class

z_{cut} = 0.2 redistribute between n_R=1 class and n_R=2+ classes so that n_R=1 would have sufficient events which are relatively wider angle radiations.

Formation time



$z = P_{NL}/(P_{NL}+P_L)$

$P_L = (1-z)P$

$P_{NL} = zP$

Formation time, t_{form} = $[2z(1-z)\,P] / k_{perp}^2$

Time is Lorentz dilated and we observe in lab frame

- ✓ **Perturbative (t_{form} < ~1fm)**
  L and NL particles seem to separate after a very short time, which might decorrelate their hadronization
- ✓ **Nonperturbative (t_{form} > ~10 fm)**
  nonperturbative transverse momenta in the jet, $k_\perp$ < 200 MeV.
  Going to longer t_{form} or smaller $k_\perp$ leads to no new dynamics

r_c with formation time

$$r_c \equiv \frac{N_{CC} - N_{C\bar{C}}}{N_{CC} + N_{C\bar{C}}}$$







- ✓ Density of leading pairs in small formation time is much large in the 1st split compared to that of later splits.
- ✓ Large decorrelations seen in small formation time (< 1 fm)
- ✓ At large formation time r_c is stronger for 2^{nd+} splits compared to that of 1st split
- ✓ Rapgap and Djangoh values are comparable to data

r_c with $k_\perp$

$$r_c \equiv \frac{N_{CC} - N_{C\bar{C}}}{N_{CC} + N_{C\bar{C}}}$$







- ✓ 1^{st} split mean value is large $k_\perp$ compared to 2^{nd+} splits
- ✓ Small $k_\perp$ belong mostly in nonperturbative domain and r_c is large. Large $k_\perp$ are related mostly to early gluon splits and r_c is approaching to zero
- ✓ Small $k_\perp$ corresponds to large formation time and stronger correlation observed in the 1^{st} split

r_c with $p_{T,jet}$

$$r_c \equiv \frac{N_{CC} - N_{C\bar{C}}}{N_{CC} + N_{C\bar{C}}}$$







✓ We see a slow decorrelations in r_c with jet transverse momentum.

Summary

- Fragmentation in Jets using leading particles in them is studied employing the H1 detector.
- This is the first measurement of the observable $\boldsymbol{r_c}$. Dependency of $\boldsymbol{r_c}$ measured in formation time, $k_\perp$ and jet transverse momentum.
- r_c is measured from subjets obtained from recursive soft drop and in correlations with leading particles.
- The charge correlations with leading particles in prongs are sensitive to the level of split. We see r_c is small in the perturbative region and large in nonperturbative region.
- Rapgap and Djangoh follow the trend to the data and correlations are comparable.

backup

r_c with formation time (z_{cut} = 0.05)



r_c with formation time ($z_{cut} = 0.15$)



r_c with formation time ($z_{cut} = 0.20$)



r_c with formation time ($z_{cut} = 0.25$)





Neutral energy fraction

neutal lead REC



one charge Jets REC



two charge REC



z for subjets at prongs

Prong 1 (REC)



Prong 2+ Lead (REC)



Prong 1 (REC)



Prong 2+ Lead (REC)