



# Jets and α<sub>s</sub> measurements at HERA

A.Baghdasaryan

On behalf of the H1 and ZEUS collaborations

Photon 2013, Paris 20 May 2013

## **HERA experiments**

- ep collider:
- e<sup>±</sup> energy: 27.6 GeV
- p energy: 920 GeV
- Center of mass energy: 319 GeV
- 2 collider experiments: H1 and ZEUS
- Integrated luminosity: ~0.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> (per experiment)







 $Q^2$  – photon virtuality  $x_B$  – Bjorken scaling variable y – inelasticity in proton rest frame

2 kinematics regimes  $Q^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2 - \text{Photoproduction } (\gamma p)$  $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2 - \text{DIS}$ 

## **Jet Production**

The study of jet production in ep collision at HERA is a testing ground for perturbative QCD (pQCD):

- Jet observables (normalised and non-normalised inclusive jet, dijet and trijet in electro- and photoproduction) used to test pQCD
- Jet cross sections provide a precise determinations of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$
- Jet production is sensitive to gluon PDFs
- Jet production in photoproduction is also directly sensitive to photon PDFs

## **Jet Production in DIS**



Jet production at large  $P_T$  in Breit is sensitive to  $\alpha_S$  directly

# Jet Measurements in DIS at HERA

Differential and double differential cross sections and normalised to DIS cross sections are measured at: H1-Prelim-11-032

- photon virtuality 150 < Q<sup>2</sup> < 15000 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- inelasticity 0.2<y<0.7</li>

H1-Prelim-11-032 H1-Prelim-12-031

• jet transverse momentum  $P_T > 7$  GeV (inclusive) and  $P_T > 5$  GeV (dijet and trijet).

**High Statistics:** 

 $L \sim 300 \text{pb}^{-1}$  (small statistical uncertainties even at large Q<sup>2</sup> and P<sub>T</sub>)

Excellent control of systematic uncertainties:

electron energy scale 0.5-1%, effect on cross sections <2% jet energy scale 1%, effect on cross sections 3-10% acceptance correction: 4-5% uncertainty

Triggers: 1-2% normalization uncertainty Luminosity: 2-2.5% normalization uncertainty

### Single Differential Cross Sections at High Q<sup>2</sup>

-6 -



NLO QCD with  $\mu_r = \sqrt{(Q^2 + P_T^2)/2}$  and HERAPDF 1.5 describes well inclusive jet, dijet and trijet single differential cross sections

### -7- Normalised Double Differential Inclusive Jet Cross Sections



#### **Benefit:**

partial cancellation of experimental and theoretical uncertainties

#### **Comparison with**

NLOJet++ and QCDNUM corrected to hadronisation effects

#### Scale choice:

 $\mu_{f}^{2} = Q^{2},$  $\mu_{r}^{2} = (Q^{2} + P_{T}^{2})/2$ 

In all bins (besides the highest  $Q^2$  and highest  $P_T$ ) the experimental uncertainties are essentially smaller than the theoretical uncertainties

## Normalised Multijet Cross Sections at High Q<sup>2</sup>



-8 -

#### **NLO Calculation:**

NLOJet++ and QCDNUM corrected for hadronisation effects

#### **Scale Choice:**

 $\mu_f^2 = Q^2$  $\mu_r^2 = (Q^2 + P_T^2)/2$ 

- Small experimental uncertainties
- Good NLO description
  of the data



#### Largest benefit is from a combined fit

simultaneous fit to normalised inclusive jet, dijet and trijet cross sections (all correlations are included)

#### Sensitive to higher orders

Theoretical uncertainties estimated by variation of scale, k-factor ( $k = \sigma_{NLO}/\sigma_{LO}$ ) – an estimator of higher order contributions reaches values up to 1.45

#### Restrict analysis to k < 1.3

faster convergence of perturbative series trade-off between number of data points and smaller theoretical uncertainties

#### *Normalised Multijets with k < 1.3*

 $\chi^2$ /ndf: 53.2/41 = 1.30

 $\alpha_s = 0.1163 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp)} \pm 0.0014 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.0008 \text{ (had)} \pm 0.0039 \text{ (theo)}$ 

Consistent with other  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  measurements Small experimental uncertainties Theoretical uncertainties are larger than the experimental

-9 -

# -10- Jet Production in Photoproduction

- Direct sensitive to α<sub>s</sub>, gluon and photon PDFs
- Large statistics
- Single hard scale E <sup>jet</sup><sub>T</sub>
- Multiparton interactions



direct photoproduction

resolved photoproduction

Single and double differential inclusive jet cross sections are measured as functions of jet transverse energy  $E_T^{jet}$  and pseudorapidity  $\eta^{jet}$  for

 $Q^{2} < 1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$ 

 $\gamma p$  centre-of-mass energies 142<W<sub> $\gamma p</sub><293$  GeV</sub>

and jets with

E<sub>T</sub><sup>jet</sup> > 17 GeV -1 < η<sup>jet</sup> < 2.5 **ZEUS. Nucl. Phys. B864 (2012), pp. 1-37** 

Jets were identified using the  $k_T$ , anti- $k_T$  and SIScone jet algorithms in laboratory frame.

## **Inclusive Jet Photoproduction**



- $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu = E_T^{jet}$
- PDFs: proton PDF -ZEUS-s, photon PDF GRV-HO,  $\alpha_s = 0.118$

The NLO QCD calculation reproduce  $d\sigma/dE_T^{jet}$  well,  $d\sigma/d\eta^{jet}$  is well described for  $\eta^{jet} < 2$ 

-11 -

## **Non-perturbative Effects**



Data comparison to the NLO QCD calculation including an estimation of non-perturbative effects not related to hadronisation

Possible presence of effects in the data, which are not included in the NLO QCD calculation

## Dependence on photon PDFs

-13 -



Some difference between three predictions, especially at low  $E^{jet}_{T}$  and high  $\eta^{jet}$ 

Potential to constrain photon PDFs

### **Dependence on proton PDFs**



Small difference between three predictions.

-14 -

Low sensitivity to proton PDFs

## **Inclusive Jet Photoproduction**



Differential cross section based on  $k_T$  jet algorithm for inclusive jet photoproduction with  $E^{jet}_T$ >17 GeV in different  $\eta^{jet}$ regions.

Difference between data and NLO at large  $\eta^{jet}$  and low  $E^{jet}_{T}$  could be from photon PDFs or non-repturbative effects

The NLO QCD predictions give a good description of the data , except at low  $E_T^{jet}$  and high  $d\eta^{jet}$ 

### <sup>-16-</sup> NLO QCD and Jet Algorithms Comparison



- the agreement of the data to the NLO prediction is the same for all three jet algorithms
- no sensitivity of the result on the choice of the jet algorithm used

# **Determination of** $\alpha_s(M_z)$

The measured single differential cross sections based on the three jet algorithms were used to determine  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  values.

To minimise the effects of a non-perturbative contributions and reduce uncertainties coming from proton PDFs only the measurements for  $21 < E^{jet}_{T} < 71$  GeV were used in the fit.

The values of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  obtained from presented data are:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s(M_Z)|_{k_T} &= 0.1206^{+0.0023}_{-0.0022} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.0042}_{-0.0035} \text{ (th.)}, \\ \alpha_s(M_Z)|_{\text{anti-}k_T} &= 0.1198^{+0.0023}_{-0.0022} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.0041}_{-0.0034} \text{ (th.)}, \\ \alpha_s(M_Z)|_{\text{SIScone}} &= 0.1196^{+0.0022}_{-0.0021} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.0046}_{-0.0043} \text{ (th.)}. \end{aligned}$$

The value of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  determined from the  $k_T$ , anti- $k_T$  and SIScone measurements are nicely agreeing

These determinations are consistent with previous determinations of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  and have a precision comparable to those obtained from e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> experiments

-17 -

## Comparison of $\alpha_s(M_z)$ Values

Uncertainties: exp. —— theo. -----

-188-



# Summary

#### **Experimental Data**

- HERA jet data among the most precise data for precision test of QCD
- pQCD calculations in general describe the data
  - Precision Measurement of Jet Production in DIS
    - absolute and normalised single and double differential cross sections
    - multi-dimentional unfolding of various measurements simultaneously
  - Precision Measurement of Jet Production in Photoproduction
    - absolute and normalised single and double differential cross sections based on the three jet algorithms (k<sub>T</sub>, anti- k<sub>T</sub>, SIScone)
    - The three jet algorithms give very similar results

#### Extraction of $\alpha_s(M_z)$

 Both measurements are used to extract α<sub>s</sub>(M<sub>z</sub>) yielding values consistent with the world average and having an experimental precision competitive with other extraction methods

#### Theory

• Missing higher orders often the dominated source of uncertainty

-19 -