

Recent experimental constraints on proton structure

DIS 2013, Marseille



This talk is a guided tour on recent results sensitive to proton structure from:

Past Present Future experiments



essential to permit further discoveries

 8 0²/ 2 C⁶ V² LHC Experiments: Atlas and CMS Atlas and CMS rapidity plateau 10^{7} L1 **TEVATRON** Experiments: D0 Central+Fwd. Jets 10^{6} CDF/D0 Central Jets **HERA Experiments:** H1 and ZEUS 1994-2000 10^{5} Fixed Target Experiments: NMC LHC $\overline{}$ Tevatron BCDMS 10^{4} E665 00 SLAC 10^{-3} LHeC 10^{2} \uparrow M = 10 GeVDIS 10 HERA Fixed 1 target -1 10 10 -5 10 -1 -6 -3 -2 10 10 10 10 10 1 х



Recent experimental constraints on proton structure

DIS 2013, Marseille



This talk is a guided tour on recent results sensitive to proton structure from:

Past Present Future experiments



essential to permit further discoveries

 $^{8} 0^{2} O_{7}^{2} C_{6} O_{7}^{2}$ LHC Experiments: Atlas and CMS Atlas and CMS rapidity plateau 10^{7} L1 **TEVATRON** Experiments: D0 Central+Fwd. Jets 10^{6} CDF/D0 Central Jets **HERA Experiments:** H1 and ZEUS 1994-2000 10^{5} Fixed Target Experiments: NMC LHC $\overline{}$ Tevatron BCDMS 10^{4} E665 00 SLAC 10^{-3} 10^{2} \uparrow M = 10 GeVDIS 10 HERA 1 EIC -1 10 10 -3 10 -1 -6 -5 -2 10 10 10 10 10 х



Proton Structure

- Factorization theorem:
 - cross section can be calculated by convoluting short distance calculable partonic reaction with universal parton distributions (PDFs)
- Probing Proton Structure via Deep Inelastic Scattering using elementary particles such as:
 - Neutrinos, muons (fixed target experiments)
 - Electrons (fixed target and collider experiments)



• Knowledge on proton structure can be complemented by the collider experiments at Tevatron and LHC



Persistent experimental effort over the last 40 years both by fixed-target and collider experiments around the world supported by the theoretical developments



Why do we need precise PDFs?

- It is just fundamental to understand the hadron structure and q(g)-g dynamics.
- Discovery of new exciting physics relies on precise knowledge of proton structure.
 - Various PDF groups using different approaches reach a level of overall agreement of ~10%





Towards HERAPDF2.0: final Neutral Current

 $\mathbf{NC}: e p \to e' X$



Final NC (and CC) differential measurements from HERA II are ready to be combined with HERA

DESY





HERA II CC improvement in precision: e^+ (e-) p by a factor of 3 (10) in luminosity compared to HERA I



important step towards combination of complete H1 and ZEUS data samples.

DIS 2013, Marseille

Towards HERAPDF2.0: impact of HERA II

HERA II CC improvement in precision: e^+ (e^-) p by a factor of 3 (10) in luminosity compared to HERA I





New measurements improve the PDF uncertainties at high x, in particular D=d+s



Measurements of Asymmetries from HERA

- Explore charge asymmetry to extract $xF_3^{\gamma Z}$ (improved measurement from HERA I+II)
- Explore polarisation asymmetry to extract $F_2^{\gamma Z}$



The shape of the distribution reflects their parton sensitivity



Additional Constraints on PDFs: Charm at HERA

e

- F₂ charm data provides a complementary way to impact gluon:
- precise measurements combined into one taking full account of correlations



Impact of F2 charm on W,Z cross sections

F₂ charm data helps constrain charm-quark by studying m_c -choice in variable flavor number schemes
 Eur. Phys. J. C 73:2311 (2013), [arXiv:1211.1182]



Large spread of the total cross section predictions at the LHC for W+, W-, Z:

• The spread is reduced significantly when predictions are evaluated at the m_c determined from F₂ charm



HERAFitter QCD platform



Heritage of HERA transferred to LHC:

Open Source QCD Framework freely available at https://www.herafitter.org





From HERA to LHC





LHC performance





LHC can provide with its multitude of new measurements:

- PDF discrimination by confronting theory with data
- PDF improvement by using LHC data in QCD fit
 - 1. W and Z production
 - 2. W+c production
 - 3. Inclusive Jet and Di-Jet production
 - 4. Drell-Yan: low and high invariant mass
 - 5. Top, ttbar
 - Prompt Photon, + Jets [see P. Lenzi's talk]
 W,Z+b

[See J. Rojo's talk for a theoretical perspective]



Flavour decomposition of W and Z and the LHC

 Additional constraints on PDFs come from DY and jet data at the LHC probe a bi-linear combination of quarks

 $\begin{array}{ll} W^+ & \sim 0.95(u\bar{d}+c\bar{s})+0.05(u\bar{s}+c\bar{d}) \\ W^- & \sim 0.95(d\bar{u}+s\bar{c})+0.05(d\bar{c}+s\bar{u}) \end{array} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} Z & \sim 0.29(u\bar{u}+c\bar{c})+0.37(d\bar{d}+s\bar{s}+b\bar{b}) \\ \gamma^{*} & \sim 0.44(u\bar{u}+c\bar{c})+0.11(d\bar{d}+s\bar{s}+b\bar{b}) \end{array}$



Measurements of W, Z production differentially in y_z and η_ℓ provide information on light sea decomposition



Total W,Z Cross Sections Results

- Cross Section measurements of W+, W-, Z for II decay channels in the corresponding fiducial volume [ATLAS at 7 TeV Phys Rev D85(2012)072004] and total volume [CMS at 8 TeV prel. CMS-SMP-12011]
 - combined muon and electron channels



- Precision data of ~1% uncertainty enables more interesting comparison to PDFs;
- More information for PDFs is provided in the differential distributions.

W Charge Asymmetry

The interplay between the flavour asymmetries can be enhanced via ratio measurements:

W-asymmetry $A_w = [\sigma(W^+) - \sigma(W^-)] / [\sigma(W^+) + \sigma(W^-)] = (u_v - d_v) / (u_v + d_v + 2 qbar)$ at x1=x2



- CMS measures directly the electron asymmetry data from 2011 and clearly disfavour MSTW2008:
 - MSTW have addressed this in more recent versions of their PDFs [see J. Rojo's talk].
- ATLAS differential measurements of W⁺ and W⁻ (combined muon and electron) based on 2010 data translated into charge asymmetry AI as long as proper treatment of correlations are accounted for.
- LHCb extends the measurement (muon channel) to forward region and provides a comparison with various predictions (interesting region where distribution changes sign due to V-A structure)

W Charge Asymmetry

The interplay between the flavour asymmetries can be enhanced via ratio measurements:

• W-asymmetry $A_w = [\sigma(W^+) - \sigma(W^-)] / [\sigma(W^+) + \sigma(W^-)] = (u_v - d_v) / (u_v + d_v + 2 q bar)$ at x1=x2

| Measurement | | p_{T}^{ℓ} | η^ℓ or y_{Z} | $M_{\ell\ell}$ GeV/ c^2 | $M_{\rm T}$ GeV/ c^2 | $p_{\rm T}^{\nu}$ GeV/c |
|------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| $A_{\ell}(\eta)$ | LHCb | > 20, 25, 30 | $2 < \eta^{\ell} < 4.5$ | det/c | | |
| $A_\ell(\eta)$ | ATLAS | > 20 | $ \eta^{\ell} < 2.5$ | | > 40 | > 25 |
| $A_\ell(\eta)$ | CMS | >25, 30, 35 | $ \eta^{\epsilon} < 2.4$ | | | |



A good agreement is observed between ATLAS and LHCb results as well as the CMS and LHCb results in the overlapping region, after LHCb was extrapolated from its fiducial volume.

Neutral Current Drell Yan di-lepton measurements

Drell Yan data can give information on sea quark PDFs.



The Drell Yan invariant mass spectrum in the combined dimuon with dielectron channel, normalized to the Z resonance region for CMS in the $20 < M\mu\mu < 1500$ GeV







m_{ee} [GeV]

The Drell Yan invariant mass spectrum in the off resonance region:

ATLAS In the dilectron channel

[ATLAS-CONF-2012-159]

- normalized to the Z resonance region, function of dimuon rapidity for CMS in selected $M_{\mu\mu}$ bins [CMS-SMP-13-003]

Data is confronted with NNLO predictions corrected for NLO EW effects

• Currently all PDFs shown give a good description

Z Differential Cross Section (resonance region)

Measurements of differential cross sections are compared to NNLO predictions:





Strange quark from W, Z measurements at ATLAS

- Strange quark is not so well constrained:
 - Neutrino dimuon data favours suppressed strange
- At LHC, Z cross sections together with y_z shape may provide a constraint on s-quark density and it can be cross checked by W+charm data.
 - The results for NNLO fits to inclusive W, Z differential data with free and fixed s:
 - For W+ and W- there is little difference, helps to fix the normalisation.
 - For Z, the cross section is increased and the shape is modified.





ATLAS result is the kinematic region probed by LHC data at $x^{0.01}$ and indicates a flavour symmetric sea with an enhanced strangeness, in agreement with the CT10 (s/d~0.75)

 It is above of MSTW08, ABKM09, NNPDF2.3 (s/d~0.5)

W+c sensitivity to Strange from CMS

Question: would other measurements confirm ATLAS favour of sbar=dbar?

• CMS has released a preliminary W+c measurement directly sensitive to strange:





has large strangeness





Inclusive Jet production



and di-jet cross-sections differential in p_{τ} or



- Measurements are provided with full information on correlations
- Measurements can be used in alphas determination

[see P.Lenzi's talk]



Ratio of Jets at different beam energies

Advantage of the ratio measurements: common systematic uncertainties cancel out

- LHC provided two different beam energies of 2.76 and 7 TeV which probe different x and Q² values for the same p_τ and y ranges so that theoretical uncertainties due to PDFs do not cancel in the ratio:
- → these ratio data have more impact on PDF determination than the separate data sets
- ATLAS provides ratio of 2.76 TeV to the 7 TeV jet cross sections in ratio to the CT10 predictions, compared to the predictions of MSTW2008, NNPDF2.1, HERAPDF1.5, ABM11:





Impact of ratio of jets at different beam energies

- Employing HERAFitter framework an NLO fit is performed to study the sensitivity to the gluon PDFs.
 - Compare the gluon for PDF fit using just HERA data and a fit using HERA+ ATLAS 2.76 and 7 TeV jet data.
 - The gluon becomes harder and the uncertainties on the gluon are reduced.





Comparing Fit result including this measurement there is improvement in high y region

Sensitivity to PDFs from top production

Single top t/tbar ratio has the potential to provide u/d [ATLAS-CONF-2012-056, CMS-TOP-12-038]



t-tbar production could improve the gluon PDF [CMS-TOP-12-028 (di-lepton)]



Normalised differential ttbar production cross section as a function of invariant mass and rapidity of the top quarks:

Good agreement with NLO predictions and when available with ~NNLO



Future prospects

LHeC (ep collider to complement LHC at CERN), EIC [see M. Stratmann's talk], ILC





PDFs and Higgs at the LHeC

PDFs are essential for precision physics at the LHC :

• one of the main theory uncertainties in Higgs production and measurements at high Pt, masses

• LHeC could provide a complete PDF set with precise gluon, valence at high x, as well as α_s coupling

DIS 2013, Marseille



LHeC promises per mille accuracy on alphas!

| case | cut $[Q^2 \text{ in } \text{GeV}^2]$ | relative precision in % |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| HERA only (14p) | $Q^{2} > 3.5$ | 1.94 |
| HERA+jets (14p) | $Q^2 > 3.5$ | 0.82 |
| LHeC only (14p) | $Q^{2} > 3.5$ | 0.15 |
| LHeC only (10p) | $Q^{2} > 3.5$ | 0.17 |
| LHeC only (14p) | $Q^2 > 20.$ | 0.25 |
| LHeC+HERA (10p) | $Q^{2} > 3.5$ | 0.11 |
| LHeC+HERA (10p) | $Q^{2} > 7.0$ | 0.20 |
| LHeC+HERA (10p) | $Q^2 > 10.$ | 0.26 |





 At the LHeC , Higgs is cleanly produced via ZZ or WW fusion, complementary to the dominant gg fusion at pp

> With an *ep* luminosity near to 10³⁴ /cm²s LHeC can generate as many Higgs as ILC

• precision from LHeC can add a significant constraint on MH



Summary

PDFs still limit our knowledge of cross sections whether SM or BSM.

- HERA has finalised its separate measurements relevant to PDFs and currently ongoing efforts are on combining final measurements to reach its ultimate precision.
- Standard Model LHC measurements can themselves contribute to PDF discrimination and PDF improvement:
 - ▶ LHC data suggest that the light quark sea is flavour symmetric:
 - ♦ W,Z inclusive cross check against W+c

 - Top measurement is becoming a valuable players in the impact on PDFs (and alphas)

... Many more valuable measurements are already available, but not covered in this talk ...

- More precision measurements from LHC to come from Run I and in future from Run 2
- LHeC can represent a natural extension to LHC by providing an accurate and complete PDF set and access to a clean channel in Higgs production.



List of covered topics

- Combination and QCD Analysis of Charm Production Cross Section Measurements in Deep-Inelastic ep Scattering at HERA [EPJ C73 (2013) 2311] – H1 and ZEUS combined
- 2. Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering at High Q2 with Longitudinally Polarised Lepton Beams at HERA [JHEP 09 (2012) 061] H1
- 3. Measurement of high-Q2 neutral current deep inelastic e+p scattering cross sections with a longitudinally polarised positron beam at HERA, arXiv:1208.6138 ZEUS
- LHC:
 - Measurement of the inclusive W+- and Z/gamma cross sections in the electron and muon decay channels in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector, (ATLAS) Phys Rev D85(2012)072004
 - 2. Measurement of inclusive W and Z boson cross section in pp collisions at sqrt{s} =8 TeV, (CMS) CMS-SMP-12011
 - 3. Measurement of the electron charge asymmetry in inclusive W production in pp collisions at 7TeV (CMS), PRL109.11806
 - 4. Inclusive W and Z production in the forward region (LHCb), arXiv:1204.1620
 - 5. LHCb-CONF-2013-005 (extrapolated)
 - 6. LHCb-CONF-2012-007 (extrapolated)
 - 7. CMS-SMP-13-003 (W,Z inclusive)
 - 8. LHCb-CONF-2012-013 (Z low mass)
 - 9. ATLAS-CONF-2012-159 (High mass)
 - 10. CMS SMP-12002 (W+charm)
 - 11. ATLAS: Phys ReV D86(2012)014022 inclusive jets
 - 12. CMS: QCD-11004 arXiv:1212.6660 inclusive jets
 - 13. arXiv:1304.473 2.76 jets
 - 14. ATLAS-CONF-2012-056 single top anti top
 - 15. CMS-TOP-12-038 single top
 - 16. CMS-TOP -12-028 (di-lepton 8TeV)
- LHeC:
 - 1. CDR









HERA Legacy

- The results provided by HERA are essential for the interpretation of the LHC data
 - An accurate knowledge of these distributions is vital:

0.5 no Hera data 2008 prel 0.4 4 xu at Q²=10,000GeV² do_z/dy(pb) 0.3 3 2 0.2 no Hera data 2008 prel 0.1 0 10-4 10-2 10-3 10-1 х -3 -2 2 3 $4_{v}5$ -5 -4 -1 0 percentage uncertainty at Q2=100GeV2 20 no Hera data no Hera data 2008 prel 2008 prel percentage uncertainty 5-5 20 10 0 -10 -20 L 10⁻⁵ 10-4 10-3 10-2 10-1 х 4,5 -5 -3 -1 n 2 з

A. De Roeck, R.S. Thorne / Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 66 (2011) 727-781



Flavour decomposition

At different energies (Tevatron vs LHC, split at 4TeV between ppbar and pp)



DIS 2013, Marseille



ATLAS determination of the strange sea density

- To assess the impact of ATLAS data:
 - HERA I combined data [JHEP 01, 109, 2010] used as a ground
 - \Rightarrow NC, CC e+p and e-p 7.5 < Q² <10000 and 0.0001<x<0.65
 - ATLAS 2010 W,Z data [CERN-PH-EP-2011-06] is added on top
- Two types of fits are performed with different treatments of strangeness:
 - Fixed Strange fit: At the starting scale, strange is fully coupled to down sea
 Information from di-muon production in neutrino induced deep inelastic scattering data

 $r_s = 0.5(s+\bar{s})/\bar{d}, r_s = 0.5$

Free Strange fit: parametrise strange distribution as done with other individual PDFs

$$x\overline{s} = xs = r_s A_{\overline{d}} x^{B_{\overline{d}}} (1-x)^{C_s}$$

Fits are performed using HERAFitter framework



Strange distribution





- Comparisons of the strange quark density resulting from th free strangeness epWZ fit with the predictions of different PDF sets.
- A change of the strange density with fixed F2 measured by HERA must affect the light sea $x\Sigma$.
 - Enhancement by about 8% at the starting scale
- The free strange fit provides the best description of the measured W/Z cross sections ratio.



Inclusive Jet production vs predictions

In 2011, data of 0.2 pb⁻¹ was collected for 2.76 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2012-128]

The inclusive jet cross sections are shown in ratio to the predictions of CT10, with the predictions of other PDFs also illustrated.



Jet Ratios: cancelation of exp. uncertainties

From 2.76 TeV to 2.76/7 TeV effect on JES, JER:



DESY



- The ATLAS and CMS combined ttbar cross section is 173 ± 2.3 ± 9.8pb [ATLAS-CONF-2012-134 and CMS-TOP-12003]
 - > The predictions for this cross section have a strong $\alpha_s(M_z)$ dependence which disfavours the ABM value.



NNLO+NNLL tt cross sections at the LHC ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV)



Higgs at the LHeC

In ep the Higgs is radiated from a W or Z exchanged in the t channel. This is a unique production mode. The theoretical uncertainties are very small: J.Blümlein et al, NP B395(1993)35 At the LHC ~90% is gg \rightarrow H, while VBF is an admixture of WW and ZZ fusion. The ep final state is cleaner than in pp. A first study of the dominant $H \rightarrow$ bb decay shows the WW-H-bb coupling can be measured to 3% with an S/B=1. (cf CDR and U.Klein Talk at ICHEP2012)

The rates are high and with a simpler final state and dedicated detector also difficult channels may be accessed (as the charm 2nd generation one). This needs to be studied. With an ep luminosity near to 10³⁴, the LHeC generates as many Higgses as the ILC at that L.

| kinematic requirements | $CC \ e^- p$ | $CC \ e^+p$ | NC $e^{\pm}p$ |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| cross section | 109 fb | 58 fb | 20 fb |
| acceptance | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| $H \rightarrow bb$ | 6500 | 3500 | 1200 |
| $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ | 330 | 180 | 60 |
| $H \rightarrow gg$ | 900 | 480 | 160 |
| $H \rightarrow WW$ | 1400 | 760 | 260 |
| $H \rightarrow ZZ$ | 160 | 190 | 30 |
| $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ | 570 | 310 | 100 |
| $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | 20 | 12 | 4 |

E_=60Ge

Table 2: Cross sections and events, for an integrated luminosity of 100 fb-1, calculated with MADGRAPH5 (v1.5.4) using the transverse momentum of the scattered quark as scale, the CTEQ6L1 partons and a mas of 125 GeV for a Standard Model Higgs boson and decays as indicated in the left column. A kinematic acceptance is calculated of above 90% with the following cuts: $|\eta_{iet}| < 5$, $|\eta_{e,\gamma}| < 4.74$, $p_{T,iet} > 1 \,\text{GeV}$, $E_{iet} > 15 \,\text{GeV}, E_e > 10 \,\text{GeV}, E_{\gamma} > 5 \,\text{GeV}$. For charm and beauty, tagging is assumed up to $\eta = 3$, the HFL acceptance.



Pushing Energy Frontier

LHC can provide with its multitude of new measurements



- ¹⁰ PDF discrimination by confronting theory with data
- PDF improvement by using LHC data for more accurate
 10⁷



- 1. W and Z production
- 2. W+c production
- 3. Inclusive Jet and Di-Jet production
- 4. Drell-Yan: low and high invariant mass
- 5. Top, ttbar
- 6. Prompt Photon, + Jets [see P. Lenzi]
- 7. W,Z+b

[See J. Rojo's talk for a theoretical perspective]

DIS 2013, Marseille



The results for NNLO fits with free and fixed strangeness

- ▶ For W+ and W- there is little difference however they help to fix the normalisation.
- ▶ For Z instead, the cross section is increased and the shape is modified.



ATLAS Result: is the kinematic region probed by LHC data at x~0.01 and indicates enhanced strangeness in agreement with the CT10

- It is consistent with CT10 (NLO) which has an enhanced strangeness (s/d~0.75)
- It is above of MSTW08, ABKM09, NNPDF2.3 (s/d~0.5)

Inclusive DiJet production





Strange quark content of the proton

Strange quark is not so well constrained:



- Flavour SU(3) symmetry: three light quark distributions are equal:
 - Strange quark density may be suppressed due to their larger mass as favored by Neutrino dimuon data.
 - Often it is assumed that s=sbar and rs=0.5 $r_s(x) = 0.5(s(x) + \bar{s}(x))/\bar{d}(x)$
- At LHC, Z cross sections together with y_z shape may provide a constraint on s-quark density and cross checked against its W+charm data.