Diffractive Cross Sections in DIS at HERA

David Šálek
(CERN)

on behalf of the
H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

ICHEP2012
36th International Conference for High Energy Physics
Melbourne, 4 - 11 July 2012

HERA Runs

- HERA operated in 1993 - 2007, colliding electrons or positrons at 27.5 GeV with protons.
- Nominal proton beam energy:
  - E_p = 820, 920 GeV (HERA-I phase)
  - E_p = 920 GeV (HERA-II phase)
- HERA was operating at reduced proton beam energies in the last months of data taking.
  - E_p = 460 GeV
  - E_p = 575 GeV





- The low energy data serve for the purposes of the FL and FLD measurements.

Diffraction at HERA

- It was a surprise at HERA to see that ~10% of inclusive DIS events have a large gap in rapidity in the forward direction.

➡ diffractive events

ZEUS, Phys.Lett. B315 (1993) 481



- Standard DIS: **ep → e'X**



- Diffractive DIS: **ep → e'Xp'**



Diffractive DIS





- Differential cross section:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma^{ep\to eXp}}{\mathrm{d}\beta\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}x_{I\!P}\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^4}\cdot\left(1-y+\frac{y^2}{2}\right)\cdot\sigma_r^{D(4)}(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t)$$

- Diffractive reduced cross-section (related to structure functions):

$$\boxed{\sigma_r^{D(4)} = F_2^{D(4)} - \frac{y^2}{1+(1-y)^2}\,F_L^{D(4)}}$$

Theoretical Views on Diffraction

- Infinite momentum frame: partons



- Factorization is assumed.

$$F_2^D = f_{I\!P}(x_{I\!P}, t)\, F_2^{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2)$$
$$f_{I\!P} = \frac{e^{bt}}{x_{I\!P}^{2\alpha_{I\!P}-1}}$$

- Diffractive parton densities can be derived.

- Proton rest frame: dipoles



- Long-living quark pair interacts with the gluons from the proton.

$$d\sigma_{diff}^{\gamma^* p}/dt \propto \int dz dr^2 \Psi^* \sigma_{qq}^2(x, r^2, t) \Psi$$

- Direct relation to inclusive DIS.
- Incorporates saturation dynamics.
- No extra parameters for diffraction are needed.

Selection of Diffractive Events



- Proton spectrometers:
  - Measures t
  - Less statistics
  - Proton tagging systematics

- Large Rapidity Gap:
  - Integrates over $|t| < 1$ GeV^2
  - More statistics
  - Proton dissociation needs to be controlled



- Different kinematical coverage

Combination of H1 and ZEUS results

- The measurements with proton spectrometers by H1 and ZEUS are combined.
  [H1, Eur.Phys.J.C71 (2011) 1578]
  [ZEUS, Nucl.Phys. B816 (2009) 1]

- Phase space covered by H1 and ZEUS data:

  $2.5 < Q^2 < 200$ GeV^2
  $0.0018 < \beta < 0.816$
  $0.00035 < x_{IP} < 0.09$
  $0.09 < |t| < 0.55$





Combination of H1 and ZEUS results

- The combination enables to study the consistency of the results and to reduce the systematic uncertainties.
- Iterative χ^2 minimization is used for the combination.
  [A. Glazov, AIP Conf. Proc. 792 (2005) 237]

$$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 52/58$$

➡ 20% improvement in precision with respect to the H1 results.

➡ Precise measurement of the scaling violations.



Combination of H1 and ZEUS results

- 20% improvement in precision with respect to the H1 results.
- Precise measurement of the scaling violations.



Precision LRG cross sections by H1

- H1 data from HERA-I and HERA-II are combined. (DESY-12-041)

Data Set	Q^2 range (GeV^2)	Proton Energy E_p (GeV)	Luminosity (pb^{-1})
New data samples			
1999 MB	$3 < Q^2 < 25$	920	3.5
1999-2000	$10 < Q^2 < 105$	920	34.3
2004-2007	$10 < Q^2 < 105$	920	336.6
Previously published data samples			
1997 MB	$3 < Q^2 < 13.5$	820	2.0
1997	$13.5 < Q^2 < 105$	820	10.6
1999-2000	$133 < Q^2 < 1600$	920	61.6

- Kinematical coverage:

  $3.5 < Q^2 < 1600\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$
  $0.0017 < \beta < 0.8$
  $0.0003 < x_{IP} < 0.03$

- Iterative χ^2 minimization is used for the combination.

  $\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 371/320$

➡ The total uncertainty of the combined results is 4% to 7%.
(typically 3% improvement with respect to the previously published results)

Precision LRG cross sections by H1

- Data are combined in four x_{IP} bins: 0.0003, 0.001, 0.003, 0.01
- At x_{IP} = 0.03, only the HERA I measurements exist.





LRG and proton spectrometer results

- LRG selection also accepts diffractive events where the proton dissociates into a final state Y which is not detected due to the detector acceptance around the beam pipe.
- LRG measurements are corrected to $M_Y < 1.6$ GeV using Monte Carlo.
- Ratio of the LRG and the proton spectrometer results quantifies the contribution of the proton dissociation in LRG.

$$\frac{\sigma\left(M_Y < 1.6\,\mathrm{GeV}\right)}{\sigma\left(Y = p\right)} = 1.203 \pm 0.019(\mathrm{exp.}) \pm 0.087(\mathrm{norm.})$$

➡ No Q^2 or β dependence observed.



H1 and ZEUS LRG measurements

- Good agreement between H1 and ZEUS in general.
- ~10% normalization difference (within the uncertainties).
- H1 DPDF Fit B, obtained from a QCD fit to the H1 LRG HERA-1 data, works well at high Q^2.
- Dipole model gives better description at low Q^2 and fails at high Q^2.



FLD Measurement

- FLD is sensitive to gluons and provides an independent test of QCD factorization.
- The FLD and F2D structure functions can be separated only by combining measurements at different y (for fixed x_{IP}, β, Q^2).

$$\sigma_r^D = F_2^D - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L^D \qquad Y_+ = 1 + (1-y)^2$$

$$Q^2 = x_{IP}\, \beta\, y\, s$$



- Data at different centre-of-mass energy are needed.
- Highest sensitivity to FLD is at high y (low β).
- Challenging measurement due to high level of photoproduction background.



FLD Extraction

- The following data sets are used:

  E_p = 820 GeV 13 pb^{-1}
  E_p = 920 GeV 127 pb^{-1}
  E_p = 460 GeV 9 pb^{-1}
  E_p = 575 GeV 5 pb^{-1}

➡ Diffractive reduced cross-sections are measured in bins of x_{IP}, β, Q^2:

  x_{IP} = 0.0005, 0.003
  Q^2 = 4, 11.5, 44 GeV^2

- Data cross-sections are sensitive to FLD at high y (low β).

➡ **First direct FLD measurement!**
[Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1836]



FLD results

➡ FLD is measured in bins of x_{IP}, β, Q^2 at:

x_{IP} = 0.0005, 0.003
Q^2 = 4, 11.5, 44 GeV^2
$0.033 < \beta < 0.7$

- For $Q^2 \geq 11.5$ GeV^2, the measurements are consistent with the H1 DPDF Fits but also with models considering higher twist longitudinal contributions to diffraction.



➡ There are significant non-zero FLD measurements in each x_{IP}, Q^2 bin.

➡ **Five FLD points are greater than 0 by more than 3σ.**

Summary

- HERA precision results map a wide phase space of diffractive DIS.
- Overall good agreement between H1 and ZEUS diffractive results.
- H1 and ZEUS joined the effort to provide the final and most precise HERA diffractive measurements.
- First combination of H1 and ZEUS diffractive results is available (using proton spectrometers).
- H1 precision LRG measurement using the full dataset is available.
- 20% difference is observed between the LRG and proton spectrometer results due to the proton dissociation.
- H1 has performed the first direct measurement of FLD.
- The HERA measurements support the proton vertex and QCD factorization.

backup

Measurement of σrD(4)





- Precise measurement in bins of |t|.



t-slope measurement

- ZEUS measures the t-slope equal to 7 GeV^2 without any x_{IP} dependence.
- The H1 measurement shows t-slope between 6 and 5 GeV^2 decreasing with x_{IP}.

- The measured t-slope and $\sigma_r^{D(4)}$ allows to integrate the results and obtain $\sigma_r^{D(3)}$.



- The extrapolation to the full |t| range increases the normalization uncertainty,

  H1 FPS HERA II : ±4.3% → ±6%
  ZEUS LPS : ±7% → +11% -7%

  H1 FPS HERA II / ZEUS LPS =
  0.85 ±0.01(stat) ±0.03(sys) +0.09-0.12(norm)

- Good agreement in shape between H1 and ZEUS.

t slope measurement





- ZEUS measures the t-slope equal to 7 GeV^2 without any x_{IP} dependence.
- The H1 measurement shows t-slope between 6 and 5 GeV^2 dropping with x_{IP}.

Combination of H1 and ZEUS results

- The proton spectrometer $\sigma_r^{D(3)}$ results by H1 and ZEUS are combined in the common |t| range 0.09 - 0.55 GeV^2.

  H1 FPS HERA II / ZEUS LPS = 0.91 ±0.01(stat) ±0.03(sys) ±0.08(norm)

- ZEUS cross section points are interpolated to the H1 values of Q^2, β, x_{IP} using the ZEUS DPDF SJ fit. [Nucl. Phys. B831 (2010) 1]

- The combination enables to study the consistency of the results and to reduce the systematic uncertainties.

- Iterative χ^2 minimization is used for the combination.
  [A. Glazov, AIP Conf. Proc. 792 (2005) 237]

- The method includes full error correlations.

$$\chi^2_{exp}(M^{i,true}, \Delta\alpha_j) = \sum_i \frac{[M^{i,true} - (M^i + \sum_j \frac{\partial M^i}{\partial \alpha_j} \frac{M^{i,true}}{M^i} \Delta\alpha_j)]^2}{(\sigma_i \frac{M^{i,true}}{M^i})^2} + \sum_j \frac{(\Delta\alpha_j)^2}{\sigma^2_{\alpha_j}}$$

M^i = measured central values
$M^{i,true}$ = fitted combined results
σ_i = statistical and uncorrelated systematic uncertainties
σ_j = correlated systematic uncertainties

Combination of H1 and ZEUS results

- Phase space covered by H1 and ZEUS data:

  $2.5 < Q^2 < 200\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$
  $0.0018 < \beta < 0.816$
  $0.00035 < x_{IP} < 0.09$
  $0.09 < |t| < 0.55$

  $\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 52/58$

- 20% improvement in precision with respect to the H1 results.

- Precise measurement of the x_{IP} dependence of $\sigma_r^{D(3)}$.



Combinat ZEUS results

- Phase space cov
  H1 and ZEUS d

  $2.5 < Q^2 < 200$ GeV
  $0.0018 < \beta < 0.816$
  $0.00035 < x_{IP} < 0.09$
  $0.09 < |t| < 0.55$

  $\chi^2/ndf = 52/58$

- 20% improvement in p
  with respect to the H

- Precise measurement
  dependence of $\sigma_r^{D(3)}$.







Tests of QCD Factorization

- Diffractive dijets in DIS
  - compatible with the parton densities from H1 2006 DPDF Fits
  - QCD factorisation holds.





- Diffractive charm production
  - low statistics



- FLD measurement
  - probes low x_{IP} and β region inaccessible by dijets and D*

$$F_L^D \sim x\, g(x)$$

Background at High y

- Data at high y contain photoproduction background.
  - In photoproduction processes, the scattered positron escapes from the central detector undetected through the beam pipe.
  - Hadronic final state particles can be mis-identified as the scattered positron.
  - Background from hadronic particles is almost charge symmetric.

- Photoproduction background is subtracted in a data-driven way using the reconstructed charge of the scattered positron candidate.
  - N^+ = signal events + background from π^+
  - N^- = background from π^-
  - $N_{signal} = N^+ - N^-$



Normalization of Data Sets

- Luminosity is measured with 3% (4%) precision for E_p = 920 (460, 575) GeV data.
- Due to the acceptance of the forward detectors near the beam-pipe, the Large Rapidity Gap selection accepts events with dissociated protons up to $M_Y \sim 1.6$ GeV.
- The data cross-section measurements are corrected to $M_Y < 1.6$ GeV, $|t| < 1$ GeV^2 using simulation.
- Systematic uncertainty on this correction is 7% and it is strongly correlated between the data sets.
- For optimal extraction of FLD, the cross-section measurements at low y (where the sensitivity to FLD is minimal) are normalized to H1 2006 Fit B.
- Normalization factors of 0.97, 0.99, 0.97 are needed for E_p = 460, 575, 920 GeV.



- The E_p = 820 GeV data set is already consistently normalized as it was used to determine H1 2006 DPDF Fit B.

Diffractive Reduced Cross-Sections

- Diffractive reduced cross-sections are measured in bins of x_{IP}, β, Q^2:

  x_{IP} = 0.0005, 0.003
  Q^2 = 4, 11.5, 44 GeV^2

- Only the average cross-section is given in the highest β bin.

- H1 2006 DPDF Fits are known to underestimate data at $Q^2 < 8.5$ GeV^2. (DESY-06-048)

- Data cross-sections are sensitive to FLD at high y (low β).

- Data support the hypothesis that $\sigma_r^D \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow 1$.



Extraction of FLD and F2D

- FLD and F2D are extracted as parameters of a linear fit in the Rosenbluth plots.
- Errors on FLD and F2D are evaluated in the fits to the cross-section measurements with:
  - statistical errors only
  - statistical and uncorrelated errors
  - statistical and uncorrelated errors, where the cross-section points are shifted up and down for each correlated systematic uncertainty (offset method)



FLD and F2D

- FLD and F2D is measured in bins of x_{IP}, β, Q^2 at:
  - $x_{IP} = 0.0005,\ 0.003$
  - $Q^2 = 4,\ 11.5,\ 44\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$
  - $0.033 < \beta < 0.7$
- For $Q^2 \geq 11.5\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$, the measurements are consistent with H1 DPDF Fits but also models considering higher twist longitudinal contributions to diffraction.
- There are significant non-zero FLD measurements in each x_{IP}, Q^2 bin.
- Five FLD points are greater than 0 by more than 3σ.
- Upper limits on FLD and F2D at the 95% confidence level are derived at the highest β bins (at $\beta = 0.76$).



Photoabsorption Ratio for Diffraction

$$R^D = F_L^D/(F_2^D - F_L^D)$$



- Data are compatible with H1 2006 DPDF Fit B.
- Data at Q^2 = 11.5 GeV^2 indicate that the longitudinally and transversely polarized photon cross-sections are of the same order of magnitude (RD ~ 1 and F2D ~ 2·FLD).

H1 LRG measurements





LRG and proton spectrometer results

- Ratio of the LRG and the proton spectrometer results quantifies the contribution of the proton dissociation in LRG.
- Both H1 and ZEUS measure constant ratio.









Pomeron trajectory

- Regge fit to LRG cross section:

$$F_2^{D(3)}(Q^2,\beta,x_{I\!P}) = f_{I\!P/p}(x_{I\!P})\ F_2^{I\!P}(Q^2,\beta) + n_{I\!R}\ f_{I\!R/p}(x_{I\!P})\ F_2^{I\!R}(Q^2,\beta)$$

$$f_{I\!P/p,I\!R/p}(x_{I\!P}) = \int_{t_{cut}}^{t_{min}} \frac{e^{B_{I\!P,I\!R}t}}{x_{I\!P}^{2\alpha_{I\!P,I\!R}(t)-1}}\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\alpha_{I\!P,I\!R}(t) = \alpha_{I\!P,I\!R}(0) + \alpha'_{I\!P,I\!R}t$$

- Mean value of the pomeron intercept:

$$\alpha_{I\!P}(0) = 1.113\ \pm 0.002\ (\mathrm{exp.})\ ^{+0.029}_{-0.015}\ (\mathrm{model})$$

- Good agreement of all HERA measurements.
- Supports the proton vertex factorization.