



Fragmentation and particle production in DIS and photoproduction

On behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

Teresa Tymieniecka, University of Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: teresa@fuw.edu.pl




- Energy of ~ 300 GeV in ep CMS
- neutral current (NC)




Reference frames

Breit Frame




Hadronic Centre of Mass or CMS γp



Photon virtuality, Q , is related to momentum of scattered quark.

Knowledge of particle rapidity is important for understanding of underlying processes and for comparison with e^+e^- or pp .






Rapidity of particles defines their expected features,

Similar to e^+e^-

$p p$

current central target






Rapidity of particles defines their expected features,




Similar to e^+e^-


$p p$

current central target



glueballs, pentaquaks







Rapidity of particles defines their expected features,

Similar to e^+e^-

$p p$

current central target








Rapidity of particles defines their expected features,

Similar to e^+e^-

$p p$

current central target






Rapidity of particles defines their expected features,


Similar to e^+e^-

$p p$



Outline:

- fragmentation in DIS (scaled momenta)
- particle production (size of their sources)
- fireball-like events in photoproduction



Two similar analyses on fragmentation:

- H1 Collaboration, DESY 07-065, Phys.Lett. (luminosity 44 pb^{-1})
- ZEUS Collaboration, (Preliminary) (luminosity 0.5 fb^{-1})

Monte Carlo Models

Our best knowledge is stored in MC models including hadronisation:

Colour dipole model — ARIADNE 4.12
MEPS — LEPTO



DIS

— PYTHIA

γp

strangeness suppression factor $\lambda_s = P(s) / P(u)$

Assumption for fragmentation process

Particle definition:

- Charged particle only; no cuts,
- Medium lifetime, i.e. all particles with a lifetime larger than 0.01 ns
- Stable particles include: Λ , Σ^\pm , Ω , K^0

Fragmentation functions $D(z, Q^2)$

Hadron spectra in ep hard scattering

$$f(x, Q^2) \otimes \sigma(Q^2) \otimes D(z, Q^2)$$


Parton density \nearrow \nwarrow parton cross section (NLO,..) \nwarrow probability for a parton to fragment into a hadron carrying a given fraction z of the parton energy

- Evolution of FF given by DGLAP
- FF are universal (from factorisation theorem)
- Scaling violation in the Q^2 evolution permits to determine α_s

Scaled momentum

$$x_p = \frac{(2 P_h)}{Q} = \frac{P_h}{E_{beam}}$$

For ep and e^+e^-




P_h – momentum of charged particles in current region of the Breit frame.

With Q increasing dn/dx_p is softer, i.e. more particles with smaller fraction of energy $Q/2$.

$\ln(1/x_p)$

Comparison with MC models in Q^2 intervals


Both LEPTO and ARIADNE, MC models reproduce main features failing in normalisation at the highest Q^2




Modify Leading Log Approximation (MLLA)

The limiting spectra described by MLLA (+LHPD) are given
 $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}=270 \text{ MeV}$
 $K_h=1.31$ (from e^+e^-).

At low Q^2
 migration from
 target region





Scaling violation in x_p intervals

H1 data
e⁺e⁻ data

Good agreement between
ep and *e⁺e⁻*, except:

- higher Q^2 and small x_p
- BGF contribution
low Q^2 and mid x_p
kinematics depopulates
current region

Fragmentation functions (FF):


NLO QCD predictions implemented in CYCLOPS (PDF: CTEQ6M, $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(5)}=266$)

supported by S.Albino)

Full NLO matrix element + partonic FF proposed by:

- **Kretzer** (2000) at Z^0 pole data ALEPH, SLD, low-en. TPC
- **KKP** (Kniehl, Kramer, Poetter) (2000) at Z^0 pole data ... + DELPHI, 3jet OPAL
- **AKK** (Albino, Kniehl, Kramer) (2005) update of KKP (d,s)

ZEUS




Comparison ep with e^+e^-

- supports the concept of quark fragmentation universality.

Summary:

- MC models and analytical MLLA+LPHD QCD calculations do not reproduce the ep data in entire range of Q^2 and x_p ,
- NLO + FF based on e^+e^- fail to describe x_p distribution as a function of Q^2 (small differences between different FFs).



Particle production

Selection criteria:

- 120 pb⁻¹ (HERA I)
- Q² > 1 GeV

Need for:

- for modelling production of hadrons at high energy,
- for testing the mechanism for baryon production and baryon transport along the rapidity axis,



ZEUS Coll. DESY 07-070
 H1 Coll. DESY 04-032
 ZEUS Coll. DESY 07-063
 ZEUS Coll. DESY 06-226

Measurements done:

- differential cross sections,
- baryon-to-meson ratio,
- ratio of strange-to-light hadrons,
- transverse spin polarisation of Λ ,
- size of the emitting source.

Investigated particles:

$$K^\pm, K_s^0, \Lambda(\bar{\Lambda}), p(\bar{p}), d(\bar{d})$$



Method of identification:

- dE/dx
- Distance of closest approach DCA

Deuteron and anti-deuteron

Bound state of two nucleons or multi-quark particle ?

In elementary collisions — overlap of wave function in the final state,

- Not included in the standard hadronisation model , i.e. JETSET type
- Anti-d was observed in e^+e^- by ARGUS, OPAL, ALEPH, CLEO
- Observation of anti-d in ep photoproduction (H1)
- **First measurement of d and \bar{d} in DIS (ZEUS)**
(high background— beam-gas, beam-wall, secondary interactions)

The **coalescence model** gives the cross section for formation of an object with A nucleons

$$\frac{E_A}{\sigma_{tot}} \frac{d^3\sigma_A}{d^3P_A} = B_A \left(\frac{E_N}{\sigma_{tot}} \frac{d^3\sigma_N}{d^3p_N} \right)^A \quad p_N = P_A/A \quad A = 2$$

The coalescence parameter $B_2 \propto \frac{1}{V} \propto \frac{1}{R^3}$ where R – source radius

Number of events:

p $1.52 \cdot 10^5$

\bar{p} $1.62 \cdot 10^5$ ← tracking efficiency




d 177 ± 17

\bar{d} 53 ± 7

No $d(\bar{d})$ is found in current region of Breit frame in agreement with low rate in e^+e^- .
 Only 2.5% of $p(\bar{p})$ is emitted in this region.

Deuteron yield is suppressed by a factor of ~ 1000 w.r.t. protons

ZEUS




$d(\bar{d})$ distributions are consistent with those for $p(\bar{p})$ except anti- d as function of scattered electron energy (E_e') (related to W .)

The coalescence parameter B_2


- larger for d than for anti-d
- similar for DIS and for photoproduction

$$\frac{E_d}{\sigma_{tot}} \frac{d^3\sigma_d}{d^3P_d} = B_2 \left(\frac{E_p}{\sigma_{tot}} \frac{d^3\sigma_p}{d^3p_p} \right)^2$$

ZEUS



World data



Source radius
 $R^3 \sim 1/B_2$

small

large

the source volume is different for d and anti-d, and different than in e^+e^- .

Bose-Einstein correlation

$$R(Q_{12}) = \frac{P(Q_{12})^{data}}{P_{mix}(Q_{12})^{data}} \cdot \left[\frac{P(Q_{12})^{MC,nBEC}}{P_{mix}(Q_{12})^{MC,nBEC}} \right]^{-1}$$

$$Q_{12} = \sqrt{-(p_1 - p_2)^2}$$

Luminosity 121 pb⁻¹

$Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $p_K < 0.9 \text{ GeV}$


K⁺K⁺ and K⁻K⁻ pairs: 55522

Goldhaber parametrisation:

$$R(Q_{12}) = 1 + \lambda \exp(-r^2 Q_{12}^2)$$

ZEUS Coll. DESY 07-063

ZEUS



Comparison of DIS and LEP results

Results for KK pairs are sensitive to resonances decaying into KK pairs,


in particular for $K^0 K^0$ the λ parameter which describes the strength of BE correlation:

$$\lambda = 1.16 \pm 0.29 \rightarrow \text{corrected } 0.70 \pm 0.19$$

$$r = 0.61 \pm 0.08 \quad 0.63 \pm 0.09$$

Conclusions:


BE correlation — the same for ep and e^+e^-




Strange particle production

Baryon-to-meson ratio
$$\frac{N(\Lambda) + N(\bar{\Lambda})}{N(K_s^0)}$$

well described by MC for **DIS** and direct **photoproduction** but not for resolved photons or 'fireball' type of events.




Strange particle production in “fireball-like” events






event with 2 jets

fireball-like event



“FIREBALL”





- ▲ ZEUS 121 pb⁻¹
- ▲ PYTHIA
- E_T^{Jet}/E_T^{Total} < 0.3
- ▲ E_T^{Jet}/E_T^{Total} > 0.3
- Photoproduction


Summary and conclusions

- HERA provided a wealth of high precision hadronic data,
- Hadron scaled momentum x_p distributions support the concept of quark fragmentation universality, but NLO + FF predictions based on e^+e^- data fail to describe the distributions as a function of Q^2 ,
- First observation of d and anti-d in DIS
 - rate of d is **three** order of magnitude smaller than for p,
 - rate of d is **three** times larger than for anti-d
 - coalescence model : **smaller production volume than AA and e^+e^- cross section (or B_2) the same for DIS and γp ,**
- Size of particle production volume from Bose-Einstein correlation is similar to those estimated from e^+e^- data,
- In resolved photon region the ratio of baryon-to-meson larger than expected.

Additional plots



Scaling violation in x_p intervals




Both LEPTO and ARIADNE fail to describe the data for the entire Q^2 region.

Energy (Q^2) increases more soft particles are produced.

At higher Q^2 and higher x_p data fall faster than predicted by leading order MC models.

particle-to-particle yields

ZEUS



$$\bar{p}/p = 1$$

$$\bar{d}/d \neq 1$$

Summary:

- first observation of d production, of anti-d in DIS,
- rate of anti-d is smaller than d,
- rate of p is consistent with anti-p, no chance to investigate small baryon-antibaryon asymmetry,

- if the coalescence model is used the source volume is different for d and anti-d, and different than in e^+e^- .