


DIS 2007
Munich, Germany
16. – 20. April 2007



Search for Baryonic States $X \rightarrow \Xi \pi$ in DIS at H1



Marc Del Degan



ETH Institute for
Particle Physics

Overview

- Introduction: Pentaquarks (PQ)
- Experimental search for new baryonic states at H1, e.g. PQ $\Xi^{--/0}$
- Conclusion

Pentaquarks: first observation

- ◆ In 2003 first observation of a narrow resonance with flavour exotic quantum numbers ($B = +1, S = +1$) by the LEPs Collaboration:
- ◆ Reaction: $\gamma n \rightarrow K^- K^+ n$
- ◆ minimal quark content: $ududs \rightarrow \Theta^+(1540)$
- ◆ Successively confirmed by 10 experiments in various reactions:

Experiment	Reaction	Energy (GeV)	Mass (MeV/c ²)	significance
LEPS	$\gamma^{12}C \rightarrow K^- X$	$E_\gamma \approx 2$	1540 ± 10	4.6σ
DIANA	$K^+ X e \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_{K^+} < 0.5$	1539 ± 2	4σ
CLAS(d)	$\gamma d \rightarrow p K^- K^+ n$	$E_\gamma < 3.8$	1542 ± 5	5.2
SAPHIR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K_s^0 K^+ n$	$E_\gamma < 2.65$	$1540 \pm 4 \pm 2$	4.4σ
CLAS(p)	$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ K^- K^+ n$	$E_\gamma = 4.8 - 5.5$	1555 ± 10	7.8σ
ν BC	$\nu A \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	range	1533 ± 5	6.7σ
ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow ep K_s^0 X$	$\sqrt{s} = 320$	1522 ± 1.5	4.6σ
HERMES	$ed \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_e = 27.6$	$1528 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.1$	5.2σ
COSY	$pp \rightarrow \Sigma^+ p K_s^0$	$P_p = 3$	1530 ± 5	3.7σ
SVD	$pA \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_p = 70$	$1526 \pm 3 \pm 3$	5.6σ
NA49	$pp \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^- X$	$E_p = 158$	1862 ± 2	4σ
H1	$ep \rightarrow D^{*-} p D^{*+} \bar{p} X$	$\sqrt{s} = 320$	$3099 \pm 3 \pm 5$	5.4σ

Adapted from V.D.Burkert, hep-ph/0510309

Pentaquarks: first observation

- ◆ In 2003 first observation of a narrow resonance with flavour exotic quantum numbers ($B = +1, S = +1$) by the LEP5 Collaboration:
- ◆ Reaction: $\gamma n \rightarrow K^- K^+ n$
- ◆ minimal quark content: $u d u d s \bar{s} \rightarrow \Theta^+(1540)$
- ◆ Successively confirmed by 10 experiments in various reactions:

Experiment	Reaction	Energy (GeV)	Mass (MeV/c ²)	significance
LEPS	$\gamma^{12}C \rightarrow K^- X$	$E_\gamma \approx 2$	1540 ± 10	4.6σ
DIANA	$K^+ X e \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_{K^+} < 0.5$	1539 ± 2	4σ
CLAS(d)	$\gamma d \rightarrow p K^- K^+ n$	$E_\gamma < 3.8$	1542 ± 5	5.2
SAPHIR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K_s^0 K^+ n$	$E_\gamma < 2.65$	$1540 \pm 4 \pm 2$	4.4σ
CLAS(p)	$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ K^- K^+ n$	$E_\gamma = 4.8 - 5.5$	1555 ± 10	7.8σ
ν BC	$\nu A \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	range	1533 ± 5	6.7σ
ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow ep K_s^0 X$	$\sqrt{s} = 320$	1522 ± 1.5	4.6σ
HERMES	$ed \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_e = 27.6$	$1528 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.1$	5.2σ
COSY	$pp \rightarrow \Sigma^+ p K_s^0$	$P_p = 3$	1530 ± 5	3.7σ
SVD	$pA \rightarrow p K_s^0 X$	$E_p = 70$	$1526 \pm 3 \pm 3$	5.6σ
NA49	$pp \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^- X$	$E_p = 158$	1862 ± 2	4σ
H1	$ep \rightarrow D^{*-} p D^{*+} \bar{p} X$	$\sqrt{s} = 320$	$3099 \pm 3 \pm 5$	5.4σ

Adapted from V.D.Burkert, hep-ph/0510309


but also several negative results

Group	Reaction
BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\Psi \rightarrow \Theta \bar{\Theta}$
BaBar	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow p K^0 X$
Belle	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^0 X$
LEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow p K^0 X$
HERA-B	$pA \rightarrow K^0 p X$
SPHINX	$pC \rightarrow K^0 \Theta^+ X$
HyperCP	$pCu \rightarrow K^0 p X$
CDF	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow K^0 p X$
FOCUS	$\gamma BeO \rightarrow K^0 p X$
Belle	$\pi + Si \rightarrow K^0 p X$
PHENIX	$Au + Au \rightarrow K^- \bar{n} X$


K. Hicks, hep-ph/0504027

Situation at H1 and ZEUS: $\Theta^+(1540)$

H1




ZEUS



→ controversial!


Situation at H1 and ZEUS: Θ_c

H1



$m = (3099 \pm 3 \pm 5) \text{ MeV}$
 $\sigma = (12 \pm 3) \text{ MeV}$
 $N_S = 50.6 \pm 11.2$
 $N_B \approx 45 - 51 (\pm 2\sigma)$
 Significance: $5.4 - 6.2 \sigma$

ZEUS




→ controversial!

Pentaquarks: models

- ◆ Hypothetical 5 quark state: $4q \bar{q}$
- ◆ Various theoretical models:
 - ◆ Jaffe Wilczek **diquark model**:

$$PQ = \bar{q} (qq) (qq)$$
 - ◆ Karliner Lipkin **triquark model**:

$$PQ = (qq)(qq\bar{q})$$
 - ◆ Both models predicts
 $8_f \oplus \bar{10}_f$ for the light PQ
 - ◆ and for the heavy PQ: $\bar{6}_f \oplus 3_f$
- ◆ Chiral soliton model (Diakonov *et al.*)
- ◆ Lattice QCD, ...



The $\Theta^+(1540)$ in
the JW model


Representation of light PQ's

◆ If the $\Theta^+(1540)$ really exists \rightarrow expect several other states

◆ Other possible pentaquark candidates:

◆ $\Xi^{--/0}$ seen only by NA49 in the decay channel $\Xi \pi$


◆ STAR Collab. has seen a possible candidate for the N_s^0 in the decay channel $K_s^0 \Lambda$






Remarks on the PQ signals $\Xi^{--/0}$

- ◆ The NA49 signal $\Xi_{5q} \rightarrow \Xi \pi$:

$m \approx 1860 \text{ MeV}$
 $\Gamma < 18 \text{ MeV}$






- ◆ FOCUS and COMPASS experiment close to kinematics of NA49
 → No signal observed
- ◆ CDF do not see a signal too
- ◆ Neither ZEUS




- Introduction: Pentaquarks (PQ)
- **Experimental search for new baryonic states at H1, e.g. PQ $\Xi^{-/0}$**
- Conclusion

HERA and H1



HERA I Data: 1996 – 2000
101 pb⁻¹



Search for new baryonic states @ H1

DIS-selection:


Scat. e in SpaCal

$$2 < Q^2 < 100 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$0.05 < y < 0.7$$

$$E_{e'} > 8 \text{ GeV}$$

$$35 < E-pz < 70 \text{ GeV}$$



Reconstruction of full decay chain:

$$\Xi^{--} \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi_3^-$$

$$\downarrow \Lambda \pi_2^-$$

$$\downarrow p \pi_1^-$$

$$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi_3^+$$




$$\downarrow \Lambda \pi_2^-$$

$$\downarrow p \pi_1^-$$

3-dim vertex fit

Particle	Mass [GeV]	Decay	BR [%]	Lifetime [cm]
Λ	1.116	$p \pi^-$	63.9	7.9
Ξ^-	1.321	$\Lambda \pi^-$	99.9	4.9
$\Xi(1530)^0$	1.532	$\Xi \pi$	100	0

Search for new baryonic states @ H1




150k reconstructed Λ :

$$m = 1115.8 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\sigma \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$c\tau = (7.6 \pm 0.9) \text{ cm}$$



1870 reconstructed Ξ^- :

$$m = 1321.6 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\sigma \approx 4.3 \text{ MeV}$$

$$c\tau = (5.1 \pm 0.3) \text{ cm}$$


→ PDG compliant

Search for new baryonic states @ H1

Combine Ξ^- candidates with additional
(primary vertex-fitted) track assumed to be π

neutral combinations:


$\Xi^- \pi^+$ and $\Xi^+ \pi^-$



Clear signal of $163 \pm 24 \Xi(1530)^0$
 $m = (1532.1 \pm 1.6) \text{ MeV}$
 $\sigma = (9.4 \pm 1.5) \text{ MeV}$

charged combinations:



$\Xi^- \pi^-$ and $\Xi^+ \pi^+$



No significant signal
→ **no hint for the NA49
resonance**

Limit calculation I

- ◆ Modified frequentist approach (T.Junk)
- ◆ Assumptions:
 - ◆ $\text{BR}(X \rightarrow \Xi \pi) = 100\%$
 - ◆ Small width
 - ◆ Production similar to $\Xi(1530)^0$
- ◆ Mass-dependent upper limit for possible $\Xi^- \pi^+$ signal at 95 % C.L.: $N_{\text{u.l.}}(\Xi^- \pi^+)$
- ◆ Neutral and charged combinations for simultaneous BG determination
- ◆ Gaussian for the possible signal, width from MC (mass-dependent)
- ◆ Separate limits for neutral and charged combinations
- ◆ Normalise upper limit wrt number of $\Xi(1530)^0 \rightarrow$ systematics mostly cancel:




$$R^*_{\text{u.l.}}(M) = \frac{N_{\text{u.l.}}(\Xi^- \pi^+)}{N(\Xi(1530)^0)}$$

Limit calculation II


- ◆ Correct $R_{u.l.}^*$ for small differences in efficiency (mass-dependent):

$$R_{u.l.}(M) = R_{u.l.}^*(M) \cdot \frac{\epsilon(\Xi(1530)^0)}{\epsilon(M, q)}$$


- ◆ Efficiency correction new wrt ICHEP06
- ◆ Uncertainties considered:
 - ◆ Number of $\Xi(1530)^0$: 15% (from fit)
 - ◆ Width of signal: 5% (diff $\sigma(\Xi(1530)^0)$ data-MC)
 - ◆ Efficiency correction factor: 8%
 - ◆ BG: 2% (performing BG determination under different assumption)



Invariant ($\Xi\pi$) mass and limit-results



Neutral combinations:
 $0.1 < R_{u.l.} < 0.5$
 $R_{u.l.}(1860) \approx 0.3$



Charged combinations:
 $0.1 < R_{u.l.} < 0.45$
 $R_{u.l.}(1860) \approx 0.15$

Conclusion

- ◆ The invariant mass spectrum $\Xi\pi$ was studied using DIS data recorded with the H1 detector at HERA
- ◆ In spite of similar statistics as NA49, their signal could not be confirmed at H1
- ◆ Upper limits at 95 % C.L. were set on the ratio of new, narrow baryonic states to the well established $\Xi(1530)^0$:



$$0.1 < R_{u.l.}(M) < 0.5$$

- ◆ Similar limits were derived from the ZEUS experiment
- ◆ To be published soon



Additional material

Search for the $\Xi^{--/0}(1860)$ pentaquark

neutral
comb.




charged
comb.




Search for the $\Xi^{-/0}(1860)$ pentaquark

All charge combinations, $20 < Q^2 < 100 \text{ GeV}^2$



The Θ_c at H1 and FOCUS


- ◆ The H1 signal $\Theta_c \rightarrow D^*p$:
 - ◆ ZEUS and FOCUS claimed incompatibility



expected signal at FOCUS
extrapolated from H1


$\sigma=4.15$ MeV

$\sigma=17$ MeV



The new CLAS experiments

- ◆ $\gamma p \rightarrow K_s^0 K^+ (n)$
 - ◆ No Signal observed
 - ◆ Upper limit on production cross section: $(0.85-1.3)\text{nb}$ at 95% CL and $m \approx 1.54\text{GeV}$
 - ◆ Contradicts SAPHIR experiment by two orders of magnitude (300nb)
 - ◆ Implies very small coupling of Θ^+ to NK^* ; but in many models major source of Θ^+ production
- ◆ $\gamma d \rightarrow p K^- K^+ (n)$
 - ◆ Previous CLAS results claimed $\sim 5 \sigma$ for Θ^+ in the same channel and same energy
 - ◆ New high statistics results see no hint for a Θ^+ state!
 - ◆ Clearly contradicts the previous data
 - ◆ New fit of old data with improved BG (from new data) yields a significance of only 3σ , previous: $(5.2 \pm 0.6) \sigma$
 - ◆ The new CLAS data leaves room only for a Θ^+ state with intrinsic width of less than 0.5 MeV



The BaBar experiment

- ◆ $e + \text{Be} \rightarrow p K_s^0 + X$
 - ◆ Energy of electron: $\sim 9 \text{ GeV}$
 - ◆ **No Signal observed**
 - ◆ Can be compared with HERMES data (quasi real photoproduction)
 - ◆ Potential loss of acceptance at HERMES for small masses
 - ◆ Peak could be result of acceptance rising up just below Θ^+ mass

