## Measurement of Jet Cross Sections and $\alpha_{\rm S}$ at HERA



Arnd E. Specka Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet Ecole Polytechnique - CNRS/IN2P3 France



## on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS collaborations





- QCD is a one-parameter theory (neglecting  $m_q, \theta_{QCD}$ ):  $\Lambda_{QCD} \leftrightarrow \alpha_S(m_Z)$
- $\alpha_{s}$  measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):
  - scaling violations (QCD fits of structure functions)
  - event and jet shapes
  - Jet cross-sections

- QCD is a one-parameter theory (neglecting  $m_q, \theta_{QCD}$ ):  $\Lambda_{QCD} \leftrightarrow \alpha_S(m_Z)$
- $\alpha_{s}$  measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):
  - scaling violations (QCD fits of structure functions)
  - event and jet shapes
  - Jet cross-sections

• production of n + 1(remnant) jets in leading order proportional to  ${lpha_{\sf S}}^{{\sf n}-1}$ 



- QCD is a one-parameter theory (neglecting  $m_q, \theta_{QCD}$ ):  $\Lambda_{QCD} \longleftrightarrow \alpha_S(m_Z)$
- $\alpha_{s}$  measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):
  - scaling violations (QCD fits of structure functions)
  - event and jet shapes
  - Jet cross-sections

• production of n + 1 (remnant) jets in leading order proportional to  $\alpha_S n^{-1}$ 







"QCD Compton"

"Boson-Gluon-Fusion"

"2 jet production at NLO"

- QCD is a one-parameter theory (neglecting  $m_q, \theta_{QCD}$ ):  $\Lambda_{QCD} \leftrightarrow \alpha_S(m_Z)$
- $\alpha_{s}$  measurements in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS):
  - scaling violations (QCD fits of structure functions)
  - event and jet shapes
  - Jet cross-sections

• production of n + 1(remnant) jets in leading order proportional to  $\alpha_{\sf S}^{{\sf n}-1}$ 



"QCD Compton"

"Boson-Gluon-Fusion"

"2 jet production at NLO"

• inclusive jet cross-section: high statistics, infrared safe (no asymmetric cuts)

 ratio tri-jet / di-jet cross-section: lower statistics, partial cancellation of syst. errors (luminosity, hadronic energy scale, parton distribution functions)

#### Jet Observables in the Breit Frame

• Definition of Breit frame in naive quark-parton model (and no intrinsic  $p_T$ ):  $\gamma$  and q collide head on,  $\vec{p}_q^{out} = -\vec{p}_q^{in}$ 



transverse momentum in Breit frame stems mainly from QCD process

#### Jet Observables in the Breit Frame

• Definition of Breit frame in naive quark-parton model (and no intrinsic  $p_T$ ):  $\gamma$  and q collide head on,  $\vec{p}_q^{out} = -\vec{p}_q^{in}$ 



transverse momentum in Breit frame stems mainly from QCD process

- Iongitudinally invariant k<sub>T</sub> jet-algorithm in the Breit Frame
  - collinear and infrared safe
  - ► iterative clustering  $d_{i,j} = \min(E_{T,i}^2, E_{T,j}^2) \cdot ((\eta_i \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i \phi_j)^2)$
  - ▶ result: n jets with  $d_{i,j} > R_0$  where  $R_0 = 1$

#### Jet Observables in the Breit Frame

• Definition of Breit frame in naive quark-parton model (and no intrinsic  $p_T$ ):  $\gamma$  and q collide head on,  $\vec{p}_q^{out} = -\vec{p}_q^{in}$ 



transverse momentum in Breit frame stems mainly from QCD process

- Iongitudinally invariant k<sub>T</sub> jet-algorithm in the Breit Frame
  - collinear and infrared safe
  - ► iterative clustering  $d_{i,j} = \min(E_{T,i}^2, E_{T,j}^2) \cdot ((\eta_i \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i \phi_j)^2)$
  - ▶ result: n jets with  $d_{i,j} > R_0$  where  $R_0 = 1$
- Sources of experimental systematic uncertainties:

  - model dependence for data correction (detector, hadronization, parton showers, QED)
  - absolute hadronic energy scale

• jet cross-sections calculated in perturbative QCD at fixed order of  $\alpha_{\sf S}$  :

$$\sigma_{\rm jet} = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int dx \, f_i(x,\mu_{\rm F},\alpha_{\rm S}) \hat{\sigma}_{\rm QCD}(x,\mu_{\rm F},\mu_{\rm R},\alpha_{\rm S}(\mu_{\rm R})) \cdot (1+\delta_{\rm had})$$

• measure strong coupling through  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  dependence of  $\sigma_{
m jet}$ 

• jet cross-sections calculated in perturbative QCD at fixed order of  $\alpha_{\sf S}$  :

$$\sigma_{\rm jet} = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int dx \, f_i(x,\mu_{\rm F},\alpha_{\rm S}) \hat{\sigma}_{\rm QCD}(x,\mu_{\rm F},\mu_{\rm R},\alpha_{\rm S}(\mu_{\rm R})) \cdot (1+\delta_{\rm had})$$

• measure strong coupling through  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  dependence of  $\sigma_{\rm jet}$ 

- truncated pertubation series  $\Rightarrow$  explicit  $\mu_{R}$  dependence
- choice of jet-observables, kinematic region, etc. where pQCD most predictive  $\Rightarrow$  low k-factors (NLO/LO), low  $\mu_R$  dependence

• jet cross-sections calculated in perturbative QCD at fixed order of  $\alpha_{\sf S}$  :

$$\sigma_{\rm jet} = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int dx \, f_i(x,\mu_{\rm F},\alpha_{\rm S}) \hat{\sigma}_{\rm QCD}(x,\mu_{\rm F},\mu_{\rm R},\alpha_{\rm S}(\mu_{\rm R})) \cdot (1+\delta_{\rm had})$$

• measure strong coupling through  $lpha_{\sf S}$  dependence of  $\sigma_{\sf jet}$ 

^

- truncated pertubation series  $\Rightarrow$  explicit  $\mu_{R}$  dependence
- choice of jet-observables, kinematic region, etc. where pQCD most predictive  $\Rightarrow$  low k-factors (NLO/LO), low  $\mu_R$  dependence
- possible choices of  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F : Q, E_T, f(Q, E_T)$ assess theoretical uncertainty due to missing higher orders through  $\mu_R$ -dependence of  $\sigma_{jet}$  and measured  $\alpha_S$  by varying  $\mu_R \rightarrow$  convention:  $\mu_R \nearrow 2\mu_R$  and  $\mu_R \searrow 0.5\mu_R$

• jet cross-sections calculated in perturbative QCD at fixed order of  $\alpha_{\sf S}$  :

$$\sigma_{\rm jet} = \sum_{i=q,\bar{q},g} \int dx \, f_i(x,\mu_{\rm F},\alpha_{\rm S}) \hat{\sigma}_{\rm QCD}(x,\mu_{\rm F},\mu_{\rm R},\alpha_{\rm S}(\mu_{\rm R})) \cdot (1+\delta_{\rm had})$$

• measure strong coupling through  $\alpha_{\mathsf{S}}$  dependence of  $\sigma_{\mathsf{jet}}$ 

^

- truncated pertubation series  $\Rightarrow$  explicit  $\mu_{R}$  dependence
- choice of jet-observables, kinematic region, etc. where pQCD most predictive  $\Rightarrow$  low k-factors (NLO/LO), low  $\mu_R$  dependence
- possible choices of  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F : Q, E_T, f(Q, E_T)$ assess theoretical uncertainty due to missing higher orders through  $\mu_R$ -dependence of  $\sigma_{jet}$  and measured  $\alpha_S$  by varying  $\mu_R \rightarrow$  convention:  $\mu_R \nearrow 2\mu_R$  and  $\mu_R \searrow 0.5\mu_R$
- pQCD calculation programs  $\rightarrow$  implementation of user jet algorihm
  - **DISENT:** 2+1 jets NLO ( $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ )
  - ▶ NLOJET++: 3+1 jets NLO( $\alpha_{S}^{3}$ )

# $150 < Q^2 < 5000 \text{GeV}^2, 0.2 < y < 0.6$ $\overline{dE_T d^2}$

• inclusive jets phase space:  $E_{T,Breit}^{jet} > 7 GeV, -1.0 < \eta_{Lab} < 2.5$ 

DIS phase space:

- Data correction (det.&QED): (CDM[DJANGO]+MEPS[RAPGAP])/2
- dominating exp. uncertainty: abs. hadronic energy scale  $\rightarrow$  vary E in HCAL by  $\pm 2\%$
- NLO pQCD (NLOJET):
  - ▶ scales:  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}, \mu_{\mathsf{F}} = \mathsf{Q}$
  - ▶ PDFs: CTEQ5M1
  - hadronization corrections: (CDM[DJANGO]+MEPS[RAPGAP])/2













#### Measurement of Inclusive Jet Cross-Sections (H1)





#### Measurement of Inclusive Jet Cross-Sections (H1)





#### good agreement between pQCD (NLOJET) prediction and data over full phase space

#### $\alpha_{\rm S}$ Measurement from Inclusive Jets (H1)



• parametrize pQCD prediction for cross-section in bin (i):  $\sigma_{iet}^{(i)}(\alpha_S) = A_i \cdot \alpha_S + B_i \cdot \alpha_S^2$ 

- fit  $\alpha_{s}$  in each bin of  $\delta^{\circ}$  double-diff. cross-section
- consider exp. syst. errors partially correlated
- scales:  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}, \mu_{\mathsf{F}} = \mathsf{Q}$

•  $\chi^2/{\rm ndf} = 20.14/14$ 



#### $\alpha_{\rm S}$ Measurement from Inclusive Jets (H1)



• parametrize pQCD prediction for cross-section in bin (i):  $\sigma_{iet}^{(i)}(\alpha_S) = A_i \cdot \alpha_S + B_i \cdot \alpha_S^2$ 

- fit  $\alpha_{s}$  in each bin of  $\delta^{\circ}$  double-diff. cross-section
- consider exp. syst. errors partially correlated
- scales:  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}, \mu_{\mathsf{F}} = \mathsf{Q}$

•  $\chi^2/{
m ndf} = 20.14/14$ 



# $Q^2 > 125 GeV^2$ , $|\cos \gamma_{HAD}| < 0.65$

- inclusive jets phase space:  $E_{T,Breit}^{jet} > 8 GeV, -2.0 < \eta_{Breit}^{jet} < 1.5$
- Measure:  $\frac{d\sigma_{jet}}{dQ^2}$ ,  $\frac{d\sigma_{jet}}{dE_T}$ , and  $\frac{d\sigma_{jet}}{d\eta_{Breit}^{jet}}$ • dominating exp. error: hadr. E-scale • vary  $E_{T,Breit}^{jet}$  by  $\pm 1\%$  ( $\pm 3\%$  if  $E_{T,Lab}^{jet} < 10 \text{GeV}^2$ )
  - ▶ typical effect ob  $\sigma_{\rm jet}:\pm 5\%$
- Comparison with pQCD (DISENT):
  - ▶ scales:  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathsf{or} \mathsf{Q}), \mu_{\mathsf{F}} = \mathsf{Q}$
  - ▶ PDFs: MRST99

DIS phase space:

**•** hadr. and  $Z^0$  exchange corrs: ARIADNE

#### good description of data

(slightly better with  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}})$ 





#### $\alpha_{S}$ Measurement from Inclusive Jets (ZEUS)



• fit  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  -parametrized pQCD prediction to  $\frac{{\rm d}\sigma_{\rm jet}}{{\rm d}{\rm E}_{\rm T}}$  and  $\frac{{\rm d}\sigma_{\rm jet}}{{\rm d}{\rm Q}^2}$ 

• alternatively: running  $\alpha_{\rm S} \longrightarrow$  fit  $\alpha_{\rm S} (\langle E_T \rangle)$ - or  $\alpha_{\rm S} (\langle Q \rangle)$ -parametrized pQCD



#### A. Specka (Ecole Polytechnique, France) HEP2005, Lisboa, 22.07.05

#### Measurement of 2-jet and 3-Jet Cross-Sections (H1)

- data correction(detector & QED, but no EW):
   (DJANGO+RAPGAP)/2 → Dijets: ×1.10, Trijets: ×0.95
- Comparison with NLOJET:
  - ▶ scales:  $\mu_{\mathsf{R}} = \mu_{\mathsf{F}} = \mathsf{Q}$
  - ▶ PDFs: CTEQ5M (CTEQ4A for  $\alpha_{s}$  fits)
  - **b** had. corrs: Dijets:  $\times 0.93$ , Trijets:  $\times 0.75$







#### $\alpha_{S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section Ratio (H1)



- $R_{3/2}$  well described by pQCD where EW effects negligable  $\rightarrow$  exclude highest  $Q^2$  bin
- fit  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  parametrized NLO pQCD prediction (NLOJET) for  $R_{3/2}$

### $\alpha_{S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section Ratio (H1)



- $R_{3/2}$  well described by pQCD where EW effects negligable  $\rightarrow$  exclude highest  $Q^2$  bin
- fit  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  parametrized NLO pQCD prediction (NLOJET) for  $R_{3/2}$



### $\alpha_{\rm S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section Ratio (H1)



•  $R_{3/2}$  well described by pQCD where EW effects negligable  $\rightarrow$  exclude highest  $Q^2$  bin

• fit  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  parametrized NLO pQCD prediction (NLOJET) for  $R_{3/2}$ 



 $\alpha_{\rm S}({\rm m_Z}) = 0.1175 \pm 0.0017({\rm stat.}) \pm 0.0050({\rm exp.}) \ {+0.0054 \atop -0.0068} \ ({\rm th.})$ 

#### Measurement Multi-jet Cross-Sections (ZEUS)





### $\alpha_{\rm S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section ratio (ZEUS)

#### **ZEUS** 0.5 $(d\sigma/d\Omega^2)_{trijet}$ / $(d\sigma/d\Omega^2)_{dijet}$ a) **ZEUS 98-00** ٠ Energy Scale Uncertainty CTEQ6 NLO $\otimes$ C<sub>had</sub> : 1/16 < $\mu_R^2$ / (Q²+ $\bar{E}_T^2$ ) < 1 0.45 $\nabla T$ 0.4 0.35 0.3 0.25 $M_{2jets}(M_{3jets}) > 25 \text{ GeV}$ 0.2 data / NLO b) 1.2 1.15 1.1 1.05 1 0.95 0.9 0.85 0.8 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>)

#### $\alpha_{S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section ratio (ZEUS)



#### $\alpha_{S}$ Measurement from 3-jet / 2-jet Cross-Section ratio (ZEUS)



 $\alpha_{\rm S}({\rm m_Z}) = 0.1179 \pm 0.0013({\rm stat.}) \begin{array}{c} +0.0028 \\ -0.0046 \end{array} ({\rm exp.}) \begin{array}{c} +0.0064 \\ -0.0046 \end{array} ({\rm th.})$ 

#### Summary of $\alpha_{\rm S}~$ Measurements with Jets at HERA



#### **Conclusion and Perspectives**

th. uncert.

exp. uncert.

0.1

- $\alpha_{\rm S}$  measurements from HERA are
- ... mutually consistent
- ... all consistent with world average
- ... competitive
- theory uncertainty > exp. error
   (≫ for combined α<sub>S</sub>)
- dominating theor. uncertainty: renormalization scale dependence
   NNLO jet calculations needed
- dominating exp. uncertainty: hadronic energy scale (jet E)
   → room for improvement with HERA2 data

