Tests of the QCD Sector of the Standard Model

- Structure functions and α_s in DIS
- Spin physics
- Jets and α_s
- Event shapes
- Fragmentation
- Heavy flavours
- Summary



Tim Greenshaw, Liverpool University.

Introduction

- Progress on many fronts in QCD in last few years.
- Nobel prize in physics awarded to Gross, Politzer and Wilczek...







"...for the discovery of asymptotic freedom in the theory of the strong interaction..."

- It is now official: QCD is the theory of the strong interactions!
- Why then "Tests of the QCD sector of the Standard Model"?
- Because we do not understand how partons are put together to make hadrons...
- ...or how those partons conspire to ensure the proton's spin is $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Because we need to understand future high energy experiments at the LHC...

Introduction

- ...and because α_s is the least well known of the fundamental constants of nature.
- Increased precision needed to determine if running electromagnetic, weak and strong couplings...

• ... unify at ~ 10^{16} GeV.



Structure functions and α_s

- Measure structure of hadrons in deepinelastic lepton-nucleon scattering.
- Neutral current DIS:



Describe in terms of: $Q^2 = -q^2$, $x = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q}$ and $y = \frac{p \cdot q}{p \cdot k}$ HERA is most important source of data on proton structure.



- $0 < Q^2 < 10^5 \text{ GeV}^2$ i.e. sensitive to structure down to ~ 10^{-18} m .
- $10^{-6} < x < 1.$

Timescales in DIS



Neutral current cross section for DIS

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma_{\mathrm{NC}}(\mathrm{e}^{\pm})}{\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}Q^2} \sim \mathrm{Y}_{+} \mathrm{F}_2 \mp \mathrm{Y}_{-} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{F}_3 + \mathrm{y}^2 \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}.$$

- Y_± = 1±(1-y)². F₂ ~ $\sum_{q} x (q(x,Q^2) + \overline{q}(x,Q^2)),$ xF₃ ~ $\sum_{q} x (q(x,Q^2) - \overline{q}(x,Q^2)),$ F₁ ~ $\alpha_s(Q^2) x g(x,Q^2).$
- F_2 dominant for $Q^2 < m_Z^2$.
- xF₃ measurements require large luminosities.
- Precise F_L measurement needs HERA running with lower proton beam energies.



Parton distribution functions

NLO QCD fits to F₂, xF₃, and CC data allow extraction of the PDFs.



- ...and of α_s .
- E.g. for ZEUS fit: $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1166 \pm 0.0008(unc) \pm 0.0032(corr)$ $\pm 0.0036(norm) \pm 0.0018(mod)$
- Gluon distribution determined from evolution with Q²: uncertainties large and gluon distribution correlated with $\alpha_s(Q^2)$.

Recent improvements in theoretical understanding ¹⁰

- NNLO DGLAP splitting functions and DIS coefficient functions recently calculated (Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt).
- Will lead to improved precision of PDFs and α_s progress shown by Guffanti (Blümlein, Böttcher) and by Alekhin on incorporating OIS and E605 DY data.
- Splitting functions also crucial to extrapolation of PDFs from HERA to TeVatron and the LHC.
- **DGLAP** appropriate at low x?
- NLO MC developments also underway.



Experimental progress

Data taking at high luminosity 0.3 1.4 ongoing 0.275 1.3 (HERA II) 1.2 0.25 Techniques for 0.225 1.1 combination of H1 0.2 and ZEUS data 0.9 0.175 being studied **H**1 **H**1 0.8 0.15 (HERA-LHC Zeus Zeus 0.7 0.125 workshop), cross Hera Hera 0.6 0.1 calibration will 0.075 0.5 lead to reduced systematic errors. 0.05 0.4 $Q^2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ 10 $Q^2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ x=0.002 x=0.25

Add jet data to PDF determinations

 $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$

- ZEUS exploit additional constraints provided by DIS and γp jet data.
- Boson gluon fusion sensitive to gluon distribution.







Using jet data in PDF determinations



 Improved precision for gluon at medium and high x. ZEUS



Charm and beauty in the proton

- Charm and beauty identified using H1 central silicon tracker to measure impact parameter δ and its error σ_{δ} .
- Flavour discrimination using $S = \delta/\sigma_{\delta}$ of tracks with largest significance, S_1 , S_2 ...

 \mathbf{B}^{+}

 δ_2

 δ_1

Significance S_1 . 10 H1 Data Ē (b) Total MC uds 10 10 10 10 -15 -10 -5 15 n 5 10 20 Significance

Charm in proton

- Proportion of c events determined as function of x and Q², ~ 24% decreasing slightly with x.
- Hence extract $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$.
- Good agreement between "lifetime" and "D* based" measurements.
- Positive scaling violations increase as x decreases.
- Well described by higher order QCD calculations.



Beauty in proton

- Proportion of b events found to be 0.3 to 3%, inc. with Q².
- Hence obtain first ever measurements of $F_2^{b\overline{b}}$.
- Well described by higher order QCD calculations.
- Of interest to LHC, e.g. $b\overline{b} \rightarrow H$.
- **bb** contributes ~ 5% to $pp \rightarrow ZX$.
- Need better than 20% accuracy on b distribution for 1% Z cross sections at the LHC.





Contribution of photon to proton structure

Include photons in evolution.



 u quarks radiate more than d, so fewer u quarks in proton at high x than d quarks in neutron.



Effect is small, but influences NuTeV determination of $\sin^2\theta_W$: -0.00 $\frac{\sigma_{NC}^{\nu} - \sigma_{NC}^{\overline{\nu}}}{\sigma_{CC}^{\nu} - \sigma_{CC}^{\overline{\nu}}} = \frac{1}{2} - \sin^2\theta_W + isospin viol. term.$ $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.2277 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0009$ -0.0







Х

Spin structure measurements

- Extract contributions of different flavours by measuring semi-inclusive asymmetries.
- $e \xrightarrow{\gamma} q \xrightarrow{h} h$
- $\Delta q = q_+ q_-$
- $\Delta u > 0$ (u spin parallel to p spin) π^+
- $\Delta d < 0 \text{ (d spin anti-parallel to p spin)}$
- $\Delta \overline{u}, \Delta \overline{d} \text{ and } \Delta \overline{s} \text{ all } \sim 0.$



Spin structure measurements

 Probe gluon spin using photon gluon fusion



- Demand two high p_T hadrons in final state.
- Background from QCDC and resolved photon events (at low Q²).

- $A A_{BG} \sim \Delta G/G$
- Resulting measurements

• $\Delta G = \int \Delta G(x) dx = 0.2, 0.6, 2.5$ using GRSV min. standard and max. curves.

Jets at the TeVatron

- TeVatron jets test of pQCD over ~ 8 orders of magnitude in E_T .
- Probe distances down to $\sim 10^{-19}$ m.
- Excitement when excess observed in Run I jet data.

 Can be explained by increasing gluon distribution at large x.

- Various problems addressed in Run II jet analyses:
 - JetClu algorithm not IR or collinear safe.
 - "Fudge factor" R_{sep} introduced to mimic effects of jet algorithm in calculations.
- Move to using "safe" inclusive k_T and midpoint algorithms.

Jets at the TeVatron

- At Run II, reach in p_T extended by ~ 150 GeV compared to Run I.
- Experimental uncertainty dominated by energy scale (luminosity uncertainty of 6% not shown on plots).
- NLO QCD corrected to hadron level using Pythia tune A ($\sim 20\%$ decreasing to $\sim 0\%$ with p_T).
- Theoretical error dominated by uncertainty in gluon at large x.
- Caution: Moretti, Nolton and Ross recently determined weak corrections to the theory: $\sim -30\%$ at the highest jet E_T and $\sim -12\%$ at $p_T = 450$ GeV, consequences not yet fully explored.

Dijet azimuthal separation at the TeVatron

- Two jets back to back in φ if only little additional soft radiation.
 - Δφ_{dijet}
- If hard radiation, $\Delta \phi$ can be large.

- $\Delta \phi < 2\pi/3, \text{ four jet region.}$
- Small and large Δφ regions poorly described by LO calc, NLO better.

Dijet azimuthal separation at HERA

Study frac. of dijets with $\Delta \phi^* < 2\pi/3$.

- LO calc $[O(\alpha_s)]$, at most 2 jets, fails to describe data.
- NLO calc [O(α_s³)], up to 4 jets, OK at high x, Q², but fails at low x and Q²!

Evolution schemes

 Look again at multiple parton emissions in evolution of p structure.

- QCD interference effects give rise to angular ordering of partons.
- DGLAP approximation, large Q²: $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 \cdots$ $\rightarrow k_{T1} < k_{T2} < k_{T3} \cdots$

- In small x regime inappropriate: ignores terms $\sim \ln(1/x)$.
- BFKL evolution equation, small x: $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 \cdots$

 $\rightarrow x_1 > x_2 > x_3 \cdots$ k_T not ordered, sums ln(1/x) terms.

- CCFM equation implements angular ordering, applicable at all x and Q².
- Do multiple emissions give measured separation at low x and Q²?
- Check using CASCADE Monte Carlo, based on CCFM equations.

Jet separation and CCFM evolution

- CASCADE describes data well, using unintegrated PDF.
- J2003 inc. full splitting function much better than JS2001 (singular terms only).
- ARIADNE (k_T not ordered) also describes data in low x and Q² regime, but fails at high x and Q².

Jet production and α_s measurements

- Complementary measurements of α_s to inclusive fits possible using jets.
- Use longitudinally invariant k_T jet algorithm in the Breit Frame:
 - Iterative clustering $d_{i,j} = \min(E_{T,i}^{2}, E_{T,j}^{2}) \times \left((\eta_{i} - \eta_{j})^{2} + (\phi_{i} - \phi_{j})^{2} \right)$ • Given n is to exit d > P
 - Gives n jets with $d_{i,j} > R_0 = 1$.
 - Collinear and IR safe.
- Comparison with DISENT.

Jet production and α_s measurements

• α_s from inclusive jet cross section.

 $\alpha_{s}(m_{Z}) = 0.1196 \pm 0.0011(\text{stat})$

 $^{+0.0019}_{-0.0025}(exp)^{+0.0029}_{-0.0017}(theory)$

- Dominant experimental systematic error hadronic energy scale.
- Theory error largely due to renormalisation and factorisation scale uncertainties.

Jet production and α_s measurements

Event shapes

Study of event shapes allows tests of pQCD and ideas beyond pQCD.

• E.g. thrust,
$$T_T = \frac{\max}{\hat{n}} \left(\frac{\sum |\vec{p}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{\sum |\vec{p}_i|} \right).$$

- Describe $\langle 1 T_T \rangle$ using pQCD plus "power corrections" (Dokshitzer, Webber...) which decrease with 1/Q $\langle 1 - T_T \rangle = \langle 1 - T_T \rangle_{PC} + \langle 1 - T_T \rangle_{pQCD}$
- Introduces universal parameter $\overline{\alpha}_0$.
- Fit also T distributions; "pQCD" then re-summed NLL calculations matched to NLO (Dasgupta, Salam) as convergence of pert. series poor for small T.

Measurements in Breit Frame.

• Obtain values of $\overline{\alpha}_0$ and $\alpha_s(M_Z)$. from event shape means and distributions.

Event shapes

- Results from distributions qualitatively similar.
- $\overline{\alpha}_0 = 0.45 \pm 10\%$ for all variables except T_g and C.
- Values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ extracted from means consistent within 5%.
- $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ from distributions consistent with world average.
- Dispersion of $(\alpha_s(m_Z), \overline{\alpha}_0)$ values indicative of need for higher order calculations?

HERA α_s summary

 C.f. HERA α_s measurements and world average:

 α_s measurements from jets.

Preliminary HERA average: $\alpha_s(m_Z^2) = 0.1186 \pm 0.0011(exp.)$ $\pm 0.0050(th.)$

Back to PETRA where the gluon was discovered

Reanalysis of JADE data

Study 4 jet rate using modern Monte Carlos to make hadronisation and detector corrections, c.f. NLO + NLL calculations.

 $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{s}}$ 0.16 0.15 **Durham 4-Jet Rate** 0.14 • OPAL (preliminary) • JADE 0.13 ▲ ALEPH 0.12 0.11 JADE 0.1 --- $\alpha_{s}(M_{z})=0.1182\pm0.0027$ Preliminary 0.09 0.08 200 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 √s [GeV] Significant progress in determination of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ in last ~15 years!

Hadron production in yy collisions

■ Large disagreement with NLO QCD observed by L3 in $\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow hX$

New measurements by DELPHI show significantly better agreement.

DELPHI report using L3 cuts allows $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ background into event sample at large p_T .

Charm fragmentation

 \square ... D⁺, D⁰...

• ... D_s^+ and Λ_c measured by HERA experiments.

Charm fragmentation

Hence fragmentation fractions determined:

 All fragmentation fractions are consistent with world average and support assumption of universality.

Beauty in DIS events

Summary of beauty measurements at HERA.

- Many measurements of beauty production now available.
- "Massive" NLO calcs tend to lie somewhat below the data (FMNR in photoproduction, HVQDIS for higher Q²).
- Evidence that shapes of distributions poorly described in some places (e.g. in ejµX at low muon p_T and in proton direction).
- "Double tag" analyses with no jet requirement started, aim is to study production of $b\overline{b}$ with low p_T .

Heavy flavours in $\gamma\gamma$ scattering

Top quark production at the TeVatron

Production mechanism:

 $(15\% \text{ gg and } 85\% \text{ } q\overline{q})$

- Dominant theory errors are renormalisation and factorisation scale dependence (5%) and PDFs (7%).
- Study all hadronic, lepton + jets, tau
 + jets (CDF) and dilepton decay
 modes of Ws.

Decays of Ws in top pair events,BR ~ area:

Top quark production at the TeVatron

- So far ~ 300 pb⁻¹ analysed.
 experiments have ~ 1 fb⁻¹ on tape.
- No evidence yet for single top production.

Summary of D0 results.

DØ Run II Preliminary

Summary

Thanks to all the speakers in the Hard QCD session, and apologies to those whose work could not be mentioned in this summary!

- QCD is the theory of strong interactions, but developing a complete understanding of its implications remains a challenge.
- Theoretical progress is being made,
 e.g. in the area of NNLO calculations and in the development of NLO Monte Carlo programs.
- Experiments are increasing the precision and range of data used in structure function and α_s determinations.
- Further increasing the precision of nucleon PDFs requires more data, new data, and good ideas; some of which are available, or will be soon.

- Surprises continue to appear, such as the effect of the photonic component of proton structure and the size of weak corrections at the TeVatron.
- New and improved jet results coming from the TeVatron and a new round of jet measurements from HERA., studying both jet structure and jet rates.
- Some areas where need for more than DGLAP apparent.
- Inclusive heavy flavour production measurements well described, but still some areas where specific cross sections poorly described.