Beauty photoproduction at Hera

<u>S. Miglioranzi</u> UCL / Argonne National Lab.



On behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations



Outline:

- Introduction to beauty production at HERA
- B measurements using different tagging techniques
- Comparison of data to MC(LO) and NLO predictions

10th International Conference on B-Physics at Hadron Machines Assisi (Perugia), Italy. June 20-24, 2005



HERA collider





b production in ep collision



Dominant production process in ep collision: Boson Gluon Fusion



flavour excitation



b production in ep collision





MONTE CARLO:

leading order + parton shower models available, including flavour excitation, DGLAP evolution (PYTHIA , HERWIG)

CCFM evolution with k_t factorisation (CASCADE)

THEORETICAL CALCULATION: full NLO calculation (FMNR) available

MASSIVE scheme FFNS (heavy quarks dynamically generated in the hard process)



B tagging techniques









simultaneous 2-dimensional Ptrel and δ fit
 enhanced statistics and reduced systematic uncertainties



Q²<1GeV² 0.2<y<0.8 $p_t^{\mu}>2.5GeV$ -0.55< $\eta_{\mu}<1.1$ $p_t^{jet}>7(6)$ GeV $|\eta_{lab}^{jet}|<2.5$

```
data 99-00 (50 pb<sup>-1</sup>)
```

data tend to rise more steeply then NLO QCD at low p_{t}^{μ}





Agreement within errors of H1 and ZEUS agreement within errors with massive NLO QCD (FMNR)

H1: ptrel + delta , (hep-ex/0502010)
ZEUS: Ptrel , (hep-ex/0312057)







-0.5

0

PYTHIA and **CASCADE** (LO+PS): good shape description but generally data higher in normalisation (factors ~1.8,1.6)

Main difference between beauty data and NLO QCD in region +ve rapidity — large contribution from resolved photon events to the xsections

 η^{jet_1}

0.5







 $x_{\gamma}^{jet} = \sum_{j1,j2} (E-p_z) / \sum_{h} (E-p_z)$

at LO QCD \mathbf{x}_{v} is the fraction of photon's energy entering the hard interaction





beauty from di-µ events



→D*µX'









 - charm pair production contributes to the unlike-sign muon sample only and was estimated from D*+muon analysis

- fake muon bkg was removed by taking the difference between like sign and unlike sign samples (Ifl contribution cancels)

what is left is only beauty contribution...

beauty from di-µ events (ZEUS)



Visible range:

ZEUS preliminary $1^{st} \mu$: $p_{T} > 1.5$ GeV $2^{nd} \mu$: (p > 1.8 GeV for $\eta < 0.6$ $p > 2.5 \text{ or } p_{T} > 1.5 \text{ GeV for } \eta > 0.6$) and $p_{\tau} > 0.75$ GeV both μ : -2.2 < η < 2.5

Visible cross section (Prel. DIS05):

$$\sigma_{vis} ep \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow \mu\mu X' = 63 \pm 7 \text{ (stat.)} +20.2 - 17.6 \text{ (syst.) pb}$$

Measured total cross section (Prel. DIS05):

 $\sigma_{b \text{ tot}} \text{ ep} \rightarrow b\overline{b}X (318 \text{ GeV}) = 16.1 \pm 1.8 \text{ (stat.)} ^{+5.3}_{-4.8} \text{ (syst.) nb}$

NLO QCD predictions:			15 < m < 5 (
FMNR	CTEQ5M	6.8 $^{+3.0}_{-1.7}$ nb	$4.5 < m_b < 5.0$ 0.5 < u/u < 2
+ HVQDIS	CTEQ5F4		



agreement in shape with MC (LO+PS)





This measure extends to significantly lower centre-of-mass energies of bb system than previous HERA xsections.

- Simultaneously detection of D* and $\mu \longrightarrow$ test high order QCD effects
- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ D* μ sensitive to a possible transverse momentum k_t of the gluons entering the quark pair production process



deviations from LO -> high order effects, good agreement with NLO









• data • b cross sections at HERA





Hera II : μ + jets and δ (ZEUS)



ZEUS



analysis with jets:

- p_t^{rel} , δ and significance measurements have been performed in php:
 - H1 and ZEUS measurements agree
 - general agreement at large P, of beauty data with NLO QCD
 - H1 data slightly higher at low P_t^{μ} , P_t^{jet1} (observed also in DIS)

double tag analysis:

- D*µ and di-µ measurements (sensitive at low P_t) have been presented
 - beauty data larger than NLO QCD

outlook

- HERA II is running smoothly in e⁻ mode
- Improved analysis and detector performances (e.g. ZEUS MVD)
- high precision results are coming...

backup slides

inclusive b-quark cross section

backup slides

Data/theory

backup slides

simultaneous 2-dimensional Ptrel and δ fit
 enhanced statistics and reduced systematic uncertainties

data 99-00 (50 pb⁻¹)

data tend to rise more steeply then NLO QCD at low p_t^{μ}, p_t^{jet1}