Pentaquarks with Charm at H1

Rainer Stamen

(DESY, now at KEK)

PENTAQUARK04

SPRING 8

20. July 2004



Pentaquarks with Charm

- Introduction.
- Identifying D and D^* mesons in H1.
- dE/dx measurements and proton identification.
- Studies of the D^*p mass spectrum.
- ZEUS results.
- Summary.



Is there a charmed pentaquark?

- A few predictions:
  - $m(\Theta_c^0) = 2710$ MeV (Jaffe, Wilczek, hep-ph/0307341).
  - $m(\Theta_c^0) = 2704$ MeV (Wu, Ma hep-ph/0402244).
- Such a Θ_c^0 would be too light to decay to D mesons, but could decay weakly to $\Theta_s^+\pi^-$.
  - $m(\Theta_c^0) = 2985 \pm 50$ MeV, $\Gamma(\Theta_c^0) = 21$MeV, Karliner, Lipkin (hep-ph/0307343).
  - $m(\Theta_c^0) = 2938...2997$ MeV, (Cheung, hep-ph/0308176).
- Such a Θ_c^0 could decay to D^-p.
- If $m(\Theta_c^0) > m(D^*)+m(p) = 2948$ MeV, Θ_c^0 can decay to D^*p.
- This decay mode can be dominant, (Karliner, Lipkin, hep-ph/0401072).

The H1 experiment







DIS: $Q^2 > 1\ GeV^2$

Photoprod.: $Q^2 \sim 0\ GeV^2$

Boson-Gluon Fusion is the dominant heavy flavour production mechanism

Experimental Considerations

D^+ pseudoscalar meson

without — *with lifetime tag*



D^* vector meson

$D^* \to D^0\pi \to (K\pi)\,\pi$



D^+: huge background or low yield

D^* profits from small Q-value in D^* decay

Mass difference technique $\Delta M(D^{*\pm}) = m(K^\mp\pi^\pm\pi) - m(K^\mp\pi^\pm)$

D^* experimentally much easier

Let's try it !

D* Signal Final Selection

- $1996-2000$ Data $L_{\text{int}} = 75 pb^{-1}$
- DIS: 1 $GeV^2 < Q^2 < 100 \ GeV^2$
  $0.05 < y_e < 0.7$
- $p_t(\mathrm{D}^*) > 1.5$ GeV
- Modified & additional cuts:
- $-1.5 < |\eta(D^*)| < 1.$
- $p_t(\mathrm{K}) + p_t(\pi) > 2$ GeV.
- Inelasticity $z(\mathrm{D}^*) > 0.2$

S/B improves by 2.5

3400 D*'s in DIS to start with



D* signal region subsequently used

dE/dx - Towards the Proton

- dE/dx calibrated for 1996 to 2000 data
- Parameterization accurate to 3-5%
- 8% average resolution



Normalized likelihood based on:
measured dE/dx & expectations
For π, K, p and resolution:
$L(\pi)+L(K)+L(p) = 1$

Final proton selection:
(L(p)>0.1&&p(p)>2).or.L(p)>0.3

Well enough understood to be used for background suppression

$D^{*-}p$ + cc in DIS for 1996 - 2000

Cleanup of D^* signal and proton candidates by cuts given before

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$



Significant peak in opposite sign D^*p

No enhancement in wrong charge D 1)

No enhancement in D^* MC (RAPGAP) 2)

Background well described by D^* MC and wrong charge D from data

1) Mass of same sign $K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$ in $m(D^0)$ window

2) Also no peak from CASCADE or Beauty MC

Background significantly reduced – opposite sign D^*p signal more pronounced

$D^{*-}p$ + cc in DIS for 1996 - 2000

Cleanup of D* signal and proton Candidates given before

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$



60-70% of background due to non-charm

No enhancement in D* MC (RAPGAP) [1)]

Background well described by D* MC and wrong charge D from data

1) Also no peak from CASCADE or Beauty MC

Background significantly reduced – opposite sign D*p signal more pronounced

Signal in both $D^{*-}p$ and in $D^{*+}\bar{p}$

$\mathcal{M}(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$



25.8 ± 7.1 *Events*



23.4 ± 8.6 *Events*

Signal of similar strength observed for both charge combinations at compatible $\mathcal{M}(D^*p)$

A typical Event

All events in the signal region have been scanned

NO anomalies observed e.g. split tracks, wrong reconstruction…



Signal in like sign $D^{*+}p$ [1]?

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$



No significant peak in like sign D^*p

No enhancement in wrong charge D [2]

No enhancement in D^* MC (RAPGAP) [3]

Reasonably described by D^* MC and wrong charge D from data

1) Charge conjugate always implied
2) Mass of same sign $K\pi$ in $m(D^0)$ window
3) Same results from CASCADE or Beauty MC

Possible Background: $D_1(2420)/D_2(2460) \rightarrow D^*\pi$?

Loose D* cuts & pion selection

D* cuts of D*p & pion selection
$N(D_1+D_2)=276\pm70$

D* cuts of D*p & proton selection

Compatible with MC expectation



$M(D^*\pi) = m(K\pi\pi\pi) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

Possible Background: $D_1(2420)/D_2(2460) \rightarrow D^*\pi$?



No cut in D*p



Corrected for combinatorics,
Then expect 3.5 events from data

D_1, D_2 window

$M(D^*\pi) = m(K\pi\pi\pi) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

$N(D_1 + D_2) = 3.5$ in the
D^*p signal region from MC

Further investigation of mass correlations

- Possible contributions from $D_{S1}/D_{S2} \rightarrow D^0 K$ have been ruled out
- All possible mass correlations among the particles making the D^* and the D^*p system have been investigated to search for real or fake peak structures, e.g Λ, Δ^0, Δ^{++}... : no enhancements found
- All possible mass hypotheses have been applied to the particles making the D^* and the D^*p system and the corresponding mass correlations have been studied to search for real or fake peak structures, e.g K^0_S, ϕ, f_2 ...: no enhancements found
- All possible mass correlations among the proton candidate the remaining charged particles of the event with all possible mass assignments have been looked at to search for real or fake peak structures, e.g K^0_S, ϕ, Δ^0, Δ^{++}...: no enhancements found

Signal region in $D^{*-}p$ [1] richer in D^{*-}?



Normalization to the width of the windows in $M(D^*p)$

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

1) Charge conjugate always implied

Is the $D^{*-}p$[1] signal due to protons?





$$\mathcal{M}(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$$

1) Charge conjugate always implied

Is the D*⁻p[1] signal due to protons?







$\mathcal{M}(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

1) Charge conjugate always implied

Is the physics different in the signal region?

If a new particle is produced, the properties of its decay products is different from those of the background

→ Look at the momentum of the proton candidate w/o dE/dx cuts

No L(p) cuts !



The momentum spectrum of the particles in the signal region is harder than in the M(D*p) side bands

Is the physics different in the signal region?

Fit slope with $\alpha \cdot exp\{-\beta p(p)\}$





The momentum spectrum of the particles in the signal region is harder than in the M(D*p) side bands

Signal at large p(p) more prominent ?



Signal to background improves at larger proton momentum → look at M(D*p)

Signal at large p(p) more prominent ?





Signal to background improves at larger proton momentum → look at M(D*p)

$D^{*-}p$[1)] in photoproduction

4900 D*

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

$M(D^*p) = 3.103 \pm 0.004$ GeV



Peak also observed in photoproduction

>95% of background due to non-charm

No enhancement in non-charm background

Background well described by wrong charge D from data

1) Charge conjugate always implied

Photoproduction more difficult due to large non-charm background

Signal assessment



Stability of result against all sorts of variations checked

Masses & widths from fits are consistent

In total about 100 D^*p in DIS+γp

Sample	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	N_S
$D^{*-}p + D^{*+}\bar{p}$ (DIS)	3099±3	12±3	50.6 ±11.2
$D^{*-}p$ (DIS)	3102 ±3	9 ±3	25.8 ±7.1
$D^{*+}\bar{p}$ (DIS)	3096 ±6	13 ±6	23.4 ±8.6
$D^{*-}p + D^{*+}\bar{p}$ (γp)	3103 ±4	7 ±3	43 ±14

Significance estimation



- Significance estimate based on the background only hypothesis N_b= 51.7±2.7
- Use of different background functions as well as the background model from data and MC
- Significance determined in a binning free method

→Background fluctuation probability 4×10^{-8}(Poisson) ≡ 5.4 σ (Gauss)

Change in likelihood of fits: 6.2 σ

Search for charmed PQ, $\theta_c \rightarrow D^*p$, in ZEUS

Photoproduction + DIS

- ZEUS inclusive D* sample 1995-2000: ~43000 D*
- same D*, p and D*p cuts as for DIS selection

ZEUS PRELIMINARY:



low momentum p



high momentum p



no evidence for a signal at 3.1 GeV

Conclusions

- <u>H1</u>
- Narrow resonance observed in $D^{*-}p$ and $D^{*+}\overline{p}$ at 3.1 GeV.
- Background fluctuation probability smaller than 4×10^{-8}.
- Measured RMS width 12 ± 3(stat.) MeV consistent with experimental resolution
- Signal also observed in independent photoproduction sample.
- Minimal quark content $uudd\bar{c}$, candidate for a charmed pentaquark state.
- <u>ZEUS</u>
- No evidence found for a resonance at this mass.

Backup

The strange pentaquark

- Experimental observations:

No.	Experiment	Channel	Mass (MeV)
0	LEPS	K^+n	1540 ± 10
1	DIANA	K^0p	1539 ± 2
2	CLAS	K^+n	1542 ± 5
3	CLAS	K^+n	1555 ± 10
4	SAPHIR	K^+n	1540 ± 5
5	ITEP	K^0p	1533 ± 5
6	HERMES	K^0p	1526 ± 3
7	ZEUS	K^0p	1527 ± 2
8	SVD	K^0p	1526 ± 4
9	COSY-TOF	K^0p	1530 ± 5

- Minimal quark content $uudd\bar{s}$

- Mass of Θ_s:



- Mean mass 1534 ± 1 MeV.
- Narrow resonance.

D* Signal

- 1996 – 2000 Data $L_{int} = 75 pb^{-1}$
- DIS: $1\ GeV^2 < Q^2 < 100\ GeV^2$
  $0.05 < y_e < 0.7$
- $p_t(D^*) > 1.5\ GeV$, $|\eta(D^*)| < 1.5$
- S/B= 0.9

Only small signal expected
&
Tests of decay kinematics foreseen
↓
Try to improve S/B



n
o

Non-charm induced background
-wrong charge D from $K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$
Combinations in D^0 mass window

The very first look at $D^{*-}p$

- Look for a *narrow state* near threshold
- Expected 4-particle mass resolution about 35 MeV ⟶ use mass difference: $m(D^*p)-m(D^*)$ [1)]
- Cut on the *normalized proton likelihood* $L(p)$ for pion suppression



Take a D^* candidate add a track consistent with a proton using m_p
D^* selection as used for F_c^2 96/97 analysis & $L(p) > 5\%$

Narrow enhancement about 150 MeV above threshold: real or fake ?

Does some acceptance effect fool us ?

Proton efficiency



"Pion survival probability"



Smooth variation with M(D*p)
Shape reflects opening of phase space

$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

Signal region in D*⁻p [1] richer in D*⁻?

1) Charge conjugate always implied



$M(D^*p) = m(K\pi\pi p) - m(K\pi\pi) + m(D^*)_{PDG}$

Basics of kinematic tests

2-Body Decay

$$M^2 = (P_1 + P_2)^2$$
$$= (m_{D*}^2 + m_X^2 + 2E_{D*}E_X - 2\vec{p}_{D*}\vec{p}_X)$$

M^2 independent of decay angle $\cos\Theta^*$ only for correct mass assignment



Kinematic tests

2-Body Decay

$$M^2 = (P_1 + P_2)^2$$

$$= (m_{D^*}^2 + m_X^2 + 2E_{D^*}E_X - 2\vec{p}_{D^*}\vec{p}_X)$$

D*p *rest frame*



*Integrated in cosΘ**

wrong mass assignment



correct mass assignment





*Do we see a band like structure in the M(D*p)-M(D*x) plane in data? → Let's have a look*

Signal due to D*π ?



Go to the D*p signal region

No indication for contributions from D_1 and D_2

Signal due to D*π ?



*Go to the D*p signal region*

*Sign for X ⟶ D*p: available phase space in D*π completely used*

Could it be due D*K ?

This on its own would be worth a publication





Band in D*K clearly visible
Go to the D*p signal region

Sign for $X \longrightarrow D^*p$: available phase space in D*K completely used

Could it be due $D^{0*} \rightarrow D^0\gamma$?

$D^0\gamma$ may be dangerous
because of $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
γ-conversion asymmetric
in energy
$\rightarrow$may be misinterpreted
as π_s and proton
$m_{ee}(\pi_s\ p)$ should peak at 0



No accumulation at zero

Search for charmed PQ, $\theta_c \rightarrow D^*p$, in ZEUS

- DIS D* sample 1995-2000, $Q^2 > 1$ GeV^2: ~9700 D*
- $p_T(D^*) > 1.35$ GeV, $|\eta_{D^*}| < 1.6$, p (dE/dx)

D.I.S.

Selection differs from H1 analysis

ZEUS **PRELIMINARY:**

low momentum p

high momentum p



no evidence for a signal at 3.1 GeV