Contact Interaction and Lepton Flavour Violation

Carsten Niebuhr

DESY

representing



and



HERA ep Collider



Electron-Quark Scattering and DIS Kinematics



$$Q^2 = -(k - k') = -q^2$$
four momentum transfer squared $x = -q^2/(2 \cdot P \cdot q)$ Bjorken scaling variable $y = (q \cdot P)/(k \cdot P) = (1 - \cos \theta^*)/2$ inelastici ty $s = 2 \cdot k \cdot P = Q^2/(x \cdot y)$ ep CM energy squared

Electron-Quark Scattering and DIS Kinematics



$$Q^2 = -(k - k') = -q^2$$
four momentum transfer squared $x = -q^2 / (2 \cdot P \cdot q)$ Bjorken scaling variable $y = (q \cdot P) / (k \cdot P) = (1 - \cos \theta^*) / 2$ inelasticity $s = 2 \cdot k \cdot P = Q^2 / (x \cdot y)$ ep CM energy squared

Electron-Quark Scattering and DIS Kinematics



$$Q^2 = -(k - k') = -q^2$$
four momentum transfer squared $x = -q^2 / (2 \cdot P \cdot q)$ Bjorken scaling variable $y = (q \cdot P) / (k \cdot P) = (1 - \cos \theta^*) / 2$ inelastici ty $s = 2 \cdot k \cdot P = Q^2 / (x \cdot y)$ ep CM energy squared

• Effective Lagrange Density (vector terms only) modifies scattering amplitude

 $L_{V} = \sum_{q = u, d} \sum_{a, b = L, R} \eta_{ab}^{q} (\overline{e_{a}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{a}) (\overline{q_{b}} \gamma_{\mu} q_{b}) \text{ with } \eta_{ab}^{q} = \varepsilon_{ab}^{q} \frac{4\pi}{\Lambda^{2}} \text{ and effective mass scale } \Lambda$

• Depending on chiral structure of model which is probed, only some of the couplings contribute. Formalism applicable for many different models (Compositeness, LQs, Quark Structure, Large Extra Dimensions, ...)

→ see separate talk by Eric Kajfasz

• Cross section in presence of CI gets modified at high Q^2 :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \frac{d\sigma^{SM}}{dQ^2} \pm \frac{d\sigma^{IF}}{dQ^2} + \frac{d\sigma^{CI}}{dQ^2}$$

• \Rightarrow sensitivity to scales Λ beyond centre-of-mass energies

Neutral Current Cross Section $d\sigma/dQ^2$





abstract 12-0158



CI Limits on $1/\Lambda^2$ in Compositeness Models



- no evidence for CI signal
- resulting limits are in the range 1.7-6.2 TeV depending on chiral structure of model



| | | ZE | US | H1 | | D0 | | CDF | | ALEPH | | L3 | | OPAL | | |
|-------|---|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Coupling structure | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | limits comparable |
| Model | $[\epsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle LL},\!\epsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle LR},\!\epsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle RL},\!\epsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle RR}]$ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | Λ^- | Λ^+ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | Λ^{-} | Λ^+ | to those obtained |
| LL | [+1, 0, 0, 0] | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 5.5 | at LFP & TeVatron |
| LR | [0,+1,0,0] | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.8 | |
| RL | [0, 0, +1, 0] | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 2.7 | |
| RR | [0, 0, 0, +1] | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 3.5 | |
| VV | [+1, +1, +1, +1] | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 4.7 | |
| AA | [+1, -1, -1, +1] | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 8.1 | |
| VA | [+1, -1, +1, -1] | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X1 | [+1, -1, 0, 0] | 3.6 | 2.6 | | | 4.5 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| X2 | [+1, 0, +1, 0] | 3.9 | 4.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X3 | $[+1, \ 0, \ 0, +1]$ | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 4.2 | | | 7.4 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 5.4 | |
| X4 | [0,+1,+1, 0] | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | | | 4.5 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 3.4 | |
| X5 | [0,+1, 0,+1] | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X6 | [0, 0, +1, -1] | 2.5 | 3.5 | | | 4.3 | 4.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| U1 | $[+1, -1, 0, 0]^{eu}$ | 3.8 | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U2 | $[+1, 0, +1, 0]^{eu}$ | 5.0 | 4.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U3 | $[+1, 0, 0, +1]^{eu}$ | 5.0 | 4.1 | | | | | | | | | 5.2 | 9.2 | | | |
| U4 | $[0,+1,+1, 0]^{eu}$ | 5.8 | 4.8 | | | | | | | | | 3.2 | 2.3 | | | |
| U5 | $[0,+1, 0,+1]^{eu}$ | 5.2 | 4.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U6 | $[0, 0, +1, -1]^{eu}$ | 2.8 | 3.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Search for Leptoquarks in CI: $M_{LQ} >> \sqrt{s}$

- Leptoquarks appear in many extentions of Standard Model
 - color triplet bosons (scalars or vectors)
 - carry both L and B numbers
 - fractional charge
- Classification in Buchmüller-Rückl-Wyler model
 - dimensionless chiral couplings invariant under SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1)
 - 14 LQ-types (7 scalar, 7 vector)
 - conserved fermion number $F = L+3B = 0, \pm 2$
- at HERA (coupling to valence quarks):
 - $e^+p \rightarrow LQ$ (F=0)
 - $e^p \rightarrow LQ$ (F=2)



| Model | Coupling Structure | ZEUS | H1 | L3 | OPAL | |
|---------------------|--|------|------|------|------|------------------------|
| S_0^L | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle LL}^{eu} = +\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.61 | 0.71 | 1.40 | 0.98 | • depending on LQ type |
| S_0^R | $a_{_{RR}}^{eu} = +\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 0.30 | HERA limits are in the |
| \tilde{S}_0^R | $a^{ed}_{_{RR}} = +\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.80 | range 0.3-1.4 TeV |
| $S_{1/2}^{L}$ | $a^{eu}_{_{LR}} = -\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.74 | |
| $S_{1/2}^{R}$ | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle RL}^{ed} = a_{\scriptscriptstyle RL}^{eu} = -\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.53 | 0.37 | | 0.86 | • for 50% of all LQs |
| $\tilde{S}_{1/2}^L$ | $a^{ed}_{_{LR}} = -\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.48 | best limits come from |
| S_1^L | $a_{_{LL}}^{ed} = +1, \ a_{_{LL}}^{eu} = +\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.52 | 0.49 | | | H1 or ZEUS |
| V_0^L | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle LL}^{ed} = -1$ | 0.55 | 0.73 | 1.83 | 1.27 | |
| V_0^R | $a_{_{RR}}^{ed} = -1$ | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.54 | |
| \tilde{V}_0^R | $a_{_{RR}}^{eu} = -1$ | 0.87 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.44 | |
| $V_{1/2}^{L}$ | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle LR}^{ed} = +1$ | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.71 | 0.90 | |
| $V^R_{1/2}$ | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle RL}^{ed} = a_{\scriptscriptstyle RL}^{eu} = +1$ | 0.99 | 0.95 | | 0.71 | |
| $\tilde{V}_{1/2}^L$ | $a_{\scriptscriptstyle LR}^{eu} = +1$ | 1.06 | 1.02 | 0.54 | 0.59 | |
| V_1^L | $a_{_{LL}}^{ed} = -1, \ a_{_{LL}}^{eu} = -2$ | 1.23 | 1.36 | | | |

Lepton Flavour Violation

- Neutrino oscillation \rightarrow Lepton Flavour is not conserved
- Charged leptons: very stringent limits from rare decays, especially for $e \Leftrightarrow \mu$
- At HERA LFV can be mediated by LQs if they couple to different generations



H1 Search for $e^+p \rightarrow \mu X$ and $e^+p \rightarrow \tau X$



H1 Limits on Coupling Constants



- sensitivity drops towards high masses due to steeply falling quark distributions
- some sensitivity extending beyond kinematic limit due to finite width of LQ
- at high masses limits connect to CI limits
- LQ coupling to all 3 generations studied
- best sensitivity for µq channel because
 - low background
 - high selection efficiency



ICHEP04, Beijing: CI and LFV at HERA

High Mass Leptoquark Limits $M_{LQ} >> \sqrt{s}$

| | e | $\rightarrow \tau$ | 2 | ZEUS (prel. | F = 0 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| e | τ | $\begin{vmatrix} S_{1/2}^L \\ e^- \bar{u} \\ e^+ u \end{vmatrix}$ | $S^R_{1/2}$ $e^{-}(\bar{u} + \bar{d})$ $e^{+}(u + d)$ | $ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{S}^L_{1/2} \\ e^- \bar{d} \\ e^+ d \end{array} $ | $V^L_0 \ e^- ar d \ e^+ d$ | $V^R_0 \ e^- ar d \ e^+ d$ | $ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{V}_0^R \\ e^- \bar{u} \\ e^+ u \end{array} $ | $V_1^L \\ e^-(\sqrt{2}\bar{u} + \bar{d}) \\ e^+(\sqrt{2}u + d) \end{cases}$ |
| | 1 | $\begin{array}{c c} \tau \to \pi e \\ 0.4 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow \pi e \\ 0.2 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow \pi e \\ 0.4 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow \pi e \\ 0.2 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$ | $	au ightarrow \pi e$ 0.2 1.7 | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow \pi e \\ 0.2 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow \pi e \\ 0.06 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 2 | | $\tau \rightarrow Ke$ 6.3 1.5 | $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ 5.8×10^{-4} 2.8 | $\tau \rightarrow Ke$ 3.2 2.1 | $\tau \rightarrow Ke$ 3.2 2.1 | 1.5 | $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ 1.5×10^{-4} 0.7 |
| | 3 | * | $B \rightarrow \tau e$ 0.6 3.0 | $\begin{array}{c} B \rightarrow \tau e \\ 0.6 \\ 3.1 \end{array}$ | $B \rightarrow \tau e$ 0.3 2.5 | $B \rightarrow \tau e$ 0.3 2.5 | * | $B \rightarrow 	au e$ 0.3 2.5 |
| | 1 | 6.1 | au ightarrow Ke 6.3 4.1 | $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ 5.8 × 10 ⁻⁴ 5.2 | $\tau \rightarrow Ke$ 3.2 2.3 | $\tau \rightarrow Ke$ 3.2 2.3 | 2.2 | $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ 1.5×10^{-4} 1.0 |
| 2 | 2 | $\begin{array}{c c} \tau \rightarrow 3e \\ 5 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\tau \rightarrow 3e$ 8 5.5 | $\tau \rightarrow 3e$ 17 6.5 | au ightarrow 3e 9 3.4 | au ightarrow 3e 9 3.4 | au ightarrow 3e 3 5.5 | au ightarrow 3e 1.6 2.1 |
| | 3 | * | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 14 8.0 | $B \to \tau \bar{e} X$ 14 7.7 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 5.4 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 5.4 | * | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 5.4 |
| | 1 | * | $B ightarrow 	au ar{e}$ 0.6 7.9 | $B ightarrow 	au ar{e}$ 0.6 7.3 | V _{ub} 0.12 2.6 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e}$ 0.3 2.6 | * | V _{ub} 0.12 2.6 |
| 3 | 2 | * | $B \to \tau \bar{e} X$ 14 11 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 14 10 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 4.2 | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 4.2 | * | $B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 7.2 4.2 |
| | 3 | * | au ightarrow 3e 8 15 | $\tau \rightarrow 3e$ 17 14 | $\begin{array}{c} \tau \rightarrow 3e \\ 9 \\ \hline 8.2 \end{array}$ | au ightarrow 3e 9 8.2 | * | au ightarrow 3e 1.6 8.2 |

At high masses
$$\sigma \propto \left(\frac{\lambda_{eq} \lambda_{lq}}{M^2}\right)^2$$

$$M \left(\frac{1}{M_{LQ}^2} \right)$$

- table shows 95% CL limits on $\lambda_{eq_{\alpha}}\lambda_{lq_{\beta}}/M_{LQ}^{2}$ for $eq_{a} \rightarrow \tau q_{\beta}$ in the case F=0
- limits from rare decays included for comparison
- ZEUS limit shown in third line
- 2.3 indicates best limit coming from ZEUS

Summary and Outlook



Backup Slides

• Introduce tform factors for non point-like electron and quark:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \frac{d\sigma^{SM}}{dQ^2} f_e^2(Q^2) f_q^2(Q^2) , \quad f_{e,q} = 1 - \frac{R_{e,q}^2}{6} Q^2$$

• Assume point-like electron: $f_e = 1$



ZEUS Search for $e^+p \rightarrow \mu X$ and $e^+p \rightarrow \tau X$: $M_{LQ} < \sqrt{s}$

$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{H}} =$

µ-channel

- one isolated $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
- p_t > 20 GeV
- no event found after final selection
- SM expectation: 0.86±0.15



- leptonic τ decays
- multivariate $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ id. for hadronic decays
- p_t^{miss} > 15 GeV || to τ in ϕ
- no event found after final selection
- SM expectation: 1.7±0.4