

## Forward proton detectors for H1

- Forward Proton Spectrometer
- Purpose, acceptance and detector design
- ➢ FPS upgrade for HERA II
- Resolution, calibration and momentum reconstruction
- Physics results
- New Very Forward Proton Spectrometer for HERA II
- Physics motivation, acceptance and detector design
- Resolution, calibration and momentum reconstruction
- Present status
- Summary and outlook



- Large rapidity gap between leading proton *p*' and *X*
- Leading proton measured by Forward Proton Spectrometer



LRG method:

- Large statistics
- But p dissociation (~10%)
- Forward detector noise
- →systematic errors

M.Kapishin, FPS / VFPS detectors



$$x_{IP} \cong (Q^2 + M_X^2)/(Q^2 + W^2)$$
  
 $\beta \cong Q^2/(Q^2 + M_X^2)$ 

Bjorken scaling variable:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}$$



 Roman Pot technology, scintillating fiber detectors readout by position sensitive photo-multipliers



 low proton dissociation background





- 2 Roman Pots  $\Rightarrow$ 2 fiber detectors per Pot  $\Rightarrow$  U/V coordinates
- 5 fiber layers per coordinate, 48 fibers of 1 mm in one layer
- 4 fibers corresponding to 4 trigger tiles  $\Rightarrow$  1 PSPM pixel
- Four 64-pixel fine mesh Hamamatsu PSPMs per Pot



- 2 Roman Pots  $\Rightarrow$ 2 fiber detectors per Pot  $\Rightarrow$  U/V coordinates
- 5 fiber layers per coordinate, 24 fibers of 1 mm in one layer
- no multiplexing: 1 fiber  $\Rightarrow$  1 PSPM pixel
- Four 124-pixel micro-channel plate PSPMs per Pot



#### HERA I: FPS acceptance



- Vertical FPS acceptance:  $0.1 < x_{p} < 0.5$  and p < 0.4 GeV
- Horizontal FPS acceptance:  $x_{_{ID}} < 0.15$  and  $0.06 < |t| < 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$

# HERA I: FPS fiber layer efficiency





- Vertical FPS: fiber layer efficiency of 60-70% resulted in:
- →track reconstruction efficiency in 2 Pots: ~50%, reduced to 30% for 5 years
- Horizontal FPS: fiber layer efficiency ~50% resulted in:
- → track reconstruction efficiency in 2 Pots: ~30%, reduced to 20% for 2 years
- Reason: radiation degradation of scintillating fibers, reduced PSPM detection efficiency ⇒ FPS detector upgrade for HERA II



- New fiber detector technology:
- radiation resistant scintillating fibers



- > 0.48mm fibers  $\rightarrow$  better spatial resolution
- ➢ fit to less expensive metal channel PSPM
- $\succ$  5 fiber layers → 1 road
- Horizontal FPS: 1 road  $\rightarrow$  1 PSPM pixel
- four 64-pixel PSPMs per Pot
- Vertical FPS:
- 4 road multiplexing  $\rightarrow$  1 PSPM pixel
- Eight 16-pixel PSPMs per Pot



## **Vertical FPS calibration**

30

60



Pot position calibration 0  $\rightarrow \Delta X, \Delta X', \Delta Y, \Delta Y'$  by minimizing number of tracks in forbidden region

$$X = a_X(E) + b_X(E) \cdot \Theta_X$$

$$X' = c_X(E) + d_X(E) \cdot \Theta_X$$



## **Vertical FPS energy resolution**



- $E_p$  resolution 1.5–6 GeV in  $E_p$  range 500–700 GeV
- $x_{p}$  resolution 5% $\rightarrow$ 0.5% in  $x_{p}$  range 0.15 $\rightarrow$ 0.4





## Horizontal FPS resolution



• Resolution is dominated by beam optics, fiber detector resolution and Pot position calibration  $\rightarrow (x_{p},t)$ 

- $x_{_{\rm I\!P}}$  resolution is better than LRG resolution for  $x_{_{\rm I\!P}}$ >0.02
- t  $\rightarrow$  4 bins for 0.08 < |t| < 0.5 GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $x_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow$  4 bins for  $x_{\mathbb{P}} < 0.1$

M.Kapishin, FPS / VFPS detectors





$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot F_2^{D(3)} \propto \mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}}^{2-2\alpha}$$

- smooth transition from diffractive low x<sub>P</sub> region
   (P exchange, α~1) to nondiffractive high x<sub>P</sub> region
   (R exchange, α~0.5)
- Colour dipole "saturation" model describes diffractive low x<sub>p</sub> region

# *t*-distribution in diffractive DIS



- $d\sigma/dt \propto \exp(bt)$
- b slope is measure of interaction radius
  b=R<sup>2</sup>/4
- Regge predicts "shrinkage" ("soft" pomeron)  $b=b_0+2\alpha'\ln(1/x_p)$
- "hard" QCD pomeron no shrinkage α'=0
- **b** slope is "soft" or "hard"?

# > Very Forward Proton Spectrometer

- Purpose: measure scattered proton with large acceptance at low x<sub>p</sub>
- HERA II beam optics:
- ➔ best location for detector is 220m in horizontal plane
- →But cold magnet section need bypass to access proton beam pipe





## Bypass of Cold Beam Line

- Horizontal bypass for helium and superconductor lines
- New 10m long warm beam pipe



before installation



after installation

## **VFPS:** physics motivation



→Main H1 diffraction trigger for HERA II Inclusive diffraction:

- upto  $10^6$  events for  $Q^2 > 5 \text{ GeV}^2$
- t-measurement  $\rightarrow F_2^{D(4)}(Q^2,\beta,x_{\mathbb{P}},t)$
- $\phi$ -assymetry  $\rightarrow F_{L}^{D}$  $\rightarrow \beta, Q^{2}$  dependence

Diffractive Final states:

Di−jets and open charm in DIS
 → test hard scattering factorization

Exclusive channels:

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering



VFPS detectors similar to Vertical FPS:

- 2 Roman Pots  $\Rightarrow$ 2 fiber detectors per Pot  $\Rightarrow$  U/V coordinates
- 5 fiber layers per coordinate  $\Rightarrow$  1 fiber road
- 4 fiber roads corresponding to 4 trigger tiles  $\Rightarrow$  1 PSPM pixel
- Eight 16-pixel PSPMs per Pot



• 100% acceptance for  $|t| \le 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $0.01 \le x_{p} \le 0.02$ 

• complimentary to Vertical FPS acceptance (high x range) M.Kapishin, FPS / VFPS detectors

## **VFPS** energy reconstruction



н

- beam optics, tilt, smearing
- non-linear effects in  $x_{p}$  measurement: sex-tuple magnets
- fiber detector resolution ( $\sim 100 \mu m$ ) + Pot position calibration





Pot position relative proton beam:

- Forward kinematic peak in  $\theta_x$ ,  $\theta_y$ ,  $x_{\mathbb{P}}$  measurement from LRG method (>200 events for stable calibration)
- ➔ Cross calibration with elastic meson photo− production events as for Horizontal FPS

Pot position calibration with accuracy  $\sim 100 \mu m$ 





Resolution is dominated by beam optics and sensitive to fiber detector resolution and Pot position calibration (t,  $x_{p}$ , azimuth  $\phi$ )

•  $x_{_{\rm I\!P}}$  resolution (~10%) is competitive with  $x_{_{\rm I\!P}}$  resolution of LRG method

• ~4 bins in |t|, ~15 bins in  $\varphi$  for  $|t| > 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ M.Kapishin, FPS / VFPS detectors

## VFPS present status



- VFPS installation is done in autumn 2003
- Now commissioning of the whole system → readout, slow control, track and momentum reconstruction

23

• Clear forward tracks are visible in the track slope distribution M.Kapishin, FPS / VFPS detectors



- Radiation hard detectors
- Low sensitivity to stray magnetic field
- Clear process for calibration, possibility for cross calibration
- Reliable mechanics and electronics for long running without access to detectors
- Monitoring system to control detector position relative to beam, rates, magnet currents
- Many measured points per coordinate to suppress detector noise and fake track combinations



#### Summary and outlook

- H1 Forward Proton Spectrometer:
- based on Roman Pot technology, scintillating fiber detectors readout by position sensitive photo-multipliers
- > allowed to measure  $F_2^{D(3)}$  structure function, t-dependence of DIS cross section, photo-production with leading proton
- upgraded for HERA II to increase detection efficiency and radiation resistance
- New Very Forward Proton Spectrometer for HERA II:
- Based on the technology similar to FPS
- ▷ large acceptance at low  $x_{\mathbb{P}}$  → high potential for diffractive physics (inclusive, jets, charm, DVCS)
- > present status: installed and measured first forward protons