## **Photo- and Electroproduction of Single Hadrons and Resonances**



F.Corriveau, *IPP/McGill University/DESY* 



Ringberg Workshop, 30.09.2003



Neutral mesons at HERA Strangeness production Strange content of the sea  $K_s^0 K_s^0$  resonances

#### Scope

The conversion of quarks and gluons into colourless hadrons is not well described at all by QCD processes, especially for light quarks, hence hadronisation models.

> HERA lags behind LEP in several of the particle production measurements.

Production studies of strange particles and observation of light resonances should contribute to the understanding of hadronisation processes.

> Further, strange particles can be used to probe the proton sea content or special states of matter.

### **Neutral Mesons**

How do quarks and gluons convert to colourless hadrons?

- **pQCD** does not apply
- phenomenological models of hadronisation

Inclusive photoproduction of neutral hadronic resonances:  $\eta$ ,  $\rho^0$ , f<sub>0</sub>(980) and f<sub>2</sub>(1270)



Test universality of hadroproduction



### **Neutral Mesons**



### **Neutral Mesons**

#### Differential photoproduction cross sections



Strange particles have already been measured by ZEUS and H1 at HERA:  $K_s^0$  and  $\Lambda$ 's (1994 data)

Of special interest (clear signatures):

• 
$$K_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi\pi$$
 (BR=69%)  
•  $\Lambda, \overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow p\pi$  (BR=64%)   
•  $\phi \rightarrow KK$  (BR=49%)   
e.g. for fragmentation  
e.g. for sea content

Rates and distributions of shapes have been measured in deep inelastic scattering and photoproduction, fragmentation functions, but no cross section had been determined.



e)  $\gamma^*$   $D_s$  f)  $\gamma^*$   $\phi, K_s$ 

Strange quarks may come from:

- flavour excitation (a)
- QCD Compton, g-splitting (a)
- Boson-Gluon fusion (b)
- hadronisation processes (c,d)
- decay from higher-mass states (e)
- diffractive processes (f)

#### Can one differenciate?



#### **DIS phases:**

- 1 parton evolution (e.g. DGLAP), hard scattering (PDF's)
- 2 parton shower
- 3 string/clusters (fragmentation)
- 4 resonance decays
- 5 final state hadrons (detector-level)

#### Fragmentation models:

in HERWIG: Cluster Fragmentation Model in ARIADNE+JETSET: Lund String Model

#### **Open questions:**

how are strange particles *really* produced? is strange particle fragmentation universal? differences baryons *vs* anti-baryons? .. strangeness suppression factor 0.3 (LEP)?

## Understanding needed for the direct measurements of proton sea quarks.



ZEUS preliminary cross sections

	$\sigma(K_S^0)$ [pb]	$\sigma(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})$ [pb]	$\sigma(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})/\sigma(K^0_S)$	$\sigma(\Lambda)$ [pb]	$\sigma(\bar{\Lambda})$ [pb]	$\sigma(\Lambda)/\sigma(\bar{\Lambda})$
ZEUS (prel.)	$2454 \pm 18^{+32}_{-102}$	$567 \pm 12^{+13}_{-34}$	$0.231 \pm 0.005 \substack{+0.005 \\ -0.006}$	$292 \pm 9^{+7}_{-18}$	$279 \pm 9^{+12}_{-18}$	$1.05 \pm 0.05 \substack{+0.05 \\ -0.05}$
CDM: λ <sub>s</sub> =0.3	2762	603	0.218	302	301	1.00
CDM: λ <sub>s</sub> =0.2	2257	483	0.214	240	243	0.99
HERWIG	1854	1329	0.717	661	668	0.99



 ZEUS (prel.) 99-00 50<Q<sup>2</sup><500 GeV<sup>2</sup> 3⋅10<sup>-4</sup><x<10<sup>-1</sup>
 CDM
 λ<sub>s</sub>=0.3

λ<sub>s</sub>=0.2

- Strangeness suppression factor:  $\lambda_s = P(s)/P(d); P(d)=P(u)$
- Measurement falls between Lund String Model with  $\lambda_s$  of 0.2 and 0.3
- HERWIG fails to predict total cross sections



Differential cross sections in the Laboratory Frame

- renormalized HERWIG fails to reproduce the cross sections in  $p_T$  while the ratio in  $p_T$  is ~ok.
- the effect of changing  $\lambda_s$  is not uniform.
- from the η distribution, there is indication of increased baryon to meson production in the forward region

 $\rightarrow$  go to the <u>Breit Frame</u>

#### **Breit Frame**



The Breit Frame is a natural way to separate the radiation of the struck quark from the proton remnant.

Scaled momentum:  $x_p = \frac{2p}{Q}$ 

Current region  $(p_z < 0)$ QPM:  $x_p(s) = 2p(s)/Q = 1$ 1<sup>st</sup> & HO QCD:  $x_p(s) \neq 1$ 

Target region  $(p_z > 0)$ max. remnant momentum  $p_R$ :

 $p_R \approx Q(1-x)/2x$  $x_p^{max} \approx (1-x)/x >> 1$ 



Differential cross sections in  $x_p$  bins (<u>Breit Frame</u>)

target region (proton remnant):

- measurements more towards  $\lambda_s = 0.3$ , shape problem?
- HERWIG falls too steeply

current region (like in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>):

- less sensitive to  $\lambda_s$
- HERWIG does not fall steeply enough

from proton remnant region: is  $\lambda_s$  related to gluon density?

### **Strange Content of the Sea**



acceptance ~45%

(181±28 leading mesons)

## **Strange Content of the Sea**

Cross section:  $\sigma(e^+p \rightarrow e^+\phi X) = 0.507 \pm 0.022(stat.) + 0.010/-0.008(syst.)$  nb

= 0.501 (LEPTO,  $\lambda_s$ =0.22) = 0.509 (ARIADNE,  $\lambda_s$ =0.22)

Differential cross sections:

CTEQ5D parton density

Hard QCD processes:

- sea s-quarks involved
- fraction increases with  $p_T$
- significant in current region
- vanishing in target region



 $\lambda_s = 0.22 \pm 0.02$ 



## **Strange Content of the Sea**

xp

#### ZEUS 0.6 d**a** / d x<sub>p</sub> (nb) ZEUS 95-97 Monte Carlo models with $\gamma s \rightarrow s$ 0.4 without $\gamma s \rightarrow s$ 0.2 D 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 1.1

#### Leading $\phi$ mesons (x<sub>p</sub>>0.8)

- high p<sub>T</sub> means small uncertainties in QCD processes and hadronisation
- QED scattering description  $\gamma^*s \rightarrow s$
- additional g-emissions not relevant

#### Uncertainties from:

- MC models (LEPTO, ARIADNE, HERWIG)
- λ<sub>s</sub>∈ [0.2-0.3]

Leading  $\phi$  mesons show evidence of contribution from the strange sea in the proton at low x.

# K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> resonances

QCD predicts glueballs states as hadrons made up from gluons Lattice QCD calculations set the lightest at  $1730\pm100$  MeV (J<sup>CP</sup>=0<sup>++</sup>) The K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup>K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> system is expected to couple to 0<sup>++</sup> and 2<sup>++</sup> glueballs The scalar 0<sup>++</sup> nonet:



- 3 (*I*=0) candidates for 2 spots:
- f<sub>0</sub>(1370)
- f<sub>0</sub>(1500)

• f<sub>0</sub>(1710) glueball candidate ↓

observed, **J=0** from WA102

g-content not yet established

L3 reported 2 states at  $1525(f_2')$  and 1760 MeV(?)

# K<sup>0</sup> K<sup>0</sup> resonances

ZEUS 1996-2000 data: integrated luminosity = 121 pb<sup>-1</sup>

ZEUS Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>) • ZEUS 96-00  $0.04 \le y \le 0.95$  $E_{P} \ge 8.5 \text{ GeV}$  $10^{3}$ box cut on RCAL: ±14 cm  $10^{2}$ + other background reduction cuts 10 ele = 176 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-4</sup> -2

10

10

10

х





#### ZEUS



# K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> resonances

#### **Discussion**

ZEUS: state at  $1726\pm7$  MeV width of  $38^{+20}_{-14}$  MeV  $74^{+29}_{-23}$  events

- BES:  $1722\pm17$  MeV, width  $\sim 167$
- Belle:  $1768 \pm 10$  MeV, width  $\sim 323$
- PDG: f<sub>0</sub>(1710) has width 125±10 MeV

Correlations were studied by fixing widths to PDG values: still good fits

Breit Frame studies: 93% of candidates are in the target region, where the proton remnant is (i.e. sizeable initial state gluon radiation expected).



## Summary

First measurement of inclusive photoproduction cross sections of light resonances  $\eta$ ,  $\rho^0$ ,  $f_0(980)$  and  $f_2(1270)$ . Features similar to those of other light, long-lived hadrons.

Strangeness production ( $K_s^0$ ,  $\Lambda$ ) is well described by MC models with  $\lambda_s \sim 0.22$ , but  $\sim 0.3$  in target region of Breit Frame (as at LEP). HERWIG is inconsistent with data.

First observation of resonant  $K_s^0 K_s^0$  final states in DIS at 1537 MeV ( $f_2$ '?) and 1726 MeV (glueball candidate).

#### **Particles**

Particle	QPM	Mass	J <sup>P(C)</sup>	сτ	Decay	Branching
		[GeV]		[cm]	Mode	Ratio
η	(uds)	0.547	0-+		γγ	39%
$ ho^0$	<i>(ud)</i>	0.770	1		$\pi^+\pi^-$	~100%
$f_0$	(uds)	0.980	$0^{++}$		$\pi^+\pi^-$	78%
φ <sup>ˆ</sup>	(uds)	1.019	1		$K^+K^-$	49%
$f_2$	(uds)	1.270	$2^{++}$		$\pi^+\pi^-$	85%
$\overline{f_2}$	(uds)	1.525	$2^{++}$		KK	89%
$f_J$	(uds)	1.710	0++		KK	?
$K_{s}^{0}$	ds	0.497	0-	2.68	$\pi^+\pi^-$	69%
$\Lambda$	uds	1.116	$\frac{1}{2}^{+}$	7.89	$p^+\pi^-$	64%
$\Sigma^{\pm}$	uus,dds	1.385	$\frac{1}{2}^{+}$		$\Lambda\pi^{\pm}$	88%
Ξ	dss	1.321	$\frac{1}{2}^{+}$	4.91	$\Lambda\pi^{-}$	~100%
Ω-	SSS	1.672	$1\frac{1}{2}^{+}$	2.46	ΛK-	68%

## **Deep Inelastic Scattering**



- $\sqrt{s}$  = center of mass energy
- q = e e'
- $Q^2 = -q^2 = sxy$
- $x = Q^2 / (2pq)$
- $y = (p \cdot q)/(p \cdot e)$

rapidity: 
$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E - p_z}{E + p_z}$$

pseudirapidity:  $\eta = -\ln(\tan\frac{\theta}{2})$