# Measurement of Event Shapes and Jet Shapes at HERA

**Thomas Kluge, RWTH Aachen** on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS collaborations

EPS 2003 — July, 17-23 2003, Aachen



## Introduction

measure energy-momentum flow  $\rightarrow$  study gluon radiation of QCD

shape variables are independent of total cross section

HERA: large  $E_T$  and Q range of 10..100 GeV for a single experiment

how far can one push QCD to the soft limit?



# **Jet Shapes**

```
apply k_T jet cluster algorithm, require min. E_T
```

```
relevant scale E_T = 10..60 \text{ GeV}
```

```
high E_T \rightarrow fragmentation weak \rightarrow pQCD
```

study jet structure:

higher resolution  $y_{cut}$ : more subjets are resolved

 $\psi$  measures the  $E_T$  within a cone of the jet



# **Subjet Multiplicity**

# ZEUS



 $38.6 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  NC DIS,  $Q^2 > 125 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

jets build in the lab frame

fixed value of  $y_{cut} = 10^{-2}$ : the jets become narrower with increasing  $E_T$ 

comparison with fixed-order QCD calculations with parton-to-hadron corrections applied

NLO calculations describe the data well  $\rightarrow$  determination of  $\alpha_s$ 

 $0.1187 \pm 0.0017 (\text{stat.})^{+0.0024}_{-0.0009} (\text{syst.})^{+0.0093}_{-0.0076} (\text{th.})$ 

# **Jet Shapes**

**PYTHIA** Number of Jets thick jets thin jets gluons (<0.58>) quarks (<0.741>) 0.02 0.01 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1  $\psi(\mathbf{r=0.3})$ Number of Jets 0.3 gluons (<6.023>) quarks (<4.652>)  $\leftarrow$ thin jets thick jets 0.2 0.1

0

2





Selection with  $\psi$  and  $n_{\rm sbj}$ 

"thick" jets :=  $\psi < 0.6$ ,  $n_{\rm sbj} \ge 6$  $\rightarrow$  purity of gluons 67% (MC)

"thin" jets :=  $\psi > 0.8$ ,  $n_{sbj} \le 4$  $\rightarrow$  purity of quarks 98% (MC)

12

10

 $n_{subjet}(y_{cut}=0.0005)$ 

#### **Differential Jet Cross Sections**

ZEUS



 $82.2 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  photoproduction,  $(Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2)$ 

gluon jets are more forward than quark jets

improved description by PYTHIA with multiparton interactions(MI) at high  $\eta^{\text{jet}}$ 

results are consistent with expectations for quark/gluon jets

0.7

0.8



# **Event Shapes**

study whole hadronic final state without proton remnant

Breit frame to seperate proton remnant

Current hemisphere (CH): similar to  $1/2 \ e^+e^-$  event

Observables defined in the CH: thrust  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_c$ , jet broadening B, Cparameter and jet mass  $\rho_0$ 

 $\eta_{
m breit} < 3$ : out-of-event-plane momentum  $K_{
m out}$  and azimuthal correlation  $\chi$ 

```
use k_t jet algorithm (no E_T cut!):
jet rates y_2, y_3 and y_4
```

```
relevant scale Q = 10..100 \text{ GeV}
```



## **Event Shapes**

mean value:

 $\langle F \rangle = \langle F \rangle_{pQCD} + a_F \mathcal{P}$  $\langle F \rangle_{pQCD} = c_{1,F} \alpha_s(Q) + c_{2,F} \alpha_s^2(Q)$ 

distribution:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm tot}} \frac{d\sigma(F)}{dF} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm tot}} \frac{d\sigma^{\rm pQCD}(F - a_F \mathcal{P})}{dF}$$



power correction:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{16}{3\pi} \mathcal{M}' \frac{\mu_I}{Q} [\bar{\alpha}_0(\mu_I) - \alpha_s(Q) -$$

$$\frac{\beta_0}{2\pi} \left( \ln \frac{Q}{\mu_I} + \frac{K}{\beta_0} + 1 \right) \alpha_s^2(Q) ]$$

power corrections take care of hadronization  $\rightarrow$  shift of distributions

2 free parameters:  $\alpha_s$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_0$ 

data fitted well by pQCD and power correction

common  $\bar{\alpha}_0$  for means and distributions?

#### **Resummed Event Shapes Distributions**



resum terms  $(\alpha_s \log^2 1/F)^n$  to all orders, log-R matching to fixed order important at low values  $\rightarrow$  QPM limit

larger interval described

## **Event Shapes Distributions**

H1 preliminary

0.4

H1 preliminary

NLO(α<sup>2</sup><sub>c</sub>)+NLL+PC

0.2

 $\rho_0$ 





 $112 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ NC DIS, } Q^2 > 196 \text{ GeV}^2$ new analysis of distributions  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_c$ , B, C and  $\rho_0$ 

 $\tau_{c}$ 

data fitted well by resummed pQCD and power correction

## **Event Shapes**



results are consistent with  $\bar{\alpha}_0 = 0.5$ , within 10%

distributions give more consistent values for  $\alpha_s$ , also with world average theoretical uncertainty  $\approx 5-10\%$ 

#### **Event Shapes Distributions**

H1 preliminary
 NLO(α<sup>3</sup><sub>s</sub>)<sup>-</sup>(1+δ<sub>had</sub>

-1.0

0.5

-0.5

H1 preliminary

RAPGAP

 $\log_{10} y3_{kt}$ 

0.0

1.0

χ



measurements of jet rates  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  plus 3-jet event shapes  $K_{\rm out}$  and  $\chi$  are performed

theory calculation for this observables:

generalized resummation program near completion, A. Banfi et al.

## **Summary**

jet and event shapes offer a rich field for testing QCD

- the scale dependence of  $\alpha_s$  is studied over a large range of Q resp.  $E_T$
- a determination of  $\alpha_s$  in an alternative way with subjet multiplicities
- quark and gluon enriched jet samples show consistent behaviour
- the universality of power corrections for event shape variables has been shown for mean values and distributions