


# Probing the proton structure in high-energy ep collisions

Alex Tapper

Imperial College London

For the H1 & ZEUS Collaborations

# Deep inelastic scattering at HERA



- Probing the proton at small distance scales

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q} \quad y = \frac{p \cdot q}{p \cdot k}$$


$$s = (p + k)^2 \quad Q^2 = x \cdot y \cdot s$$

- $Q^2$  is the “probing power”
- $x$  is the Bjorken scaling variable
- $y$  is related to the scattering angle in CMS ( $=\sin^2(\theta^*/2)$ )


# HERA I operation




	$e^+p$	$e^-p$
<b>H1</b>	$\sim 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$\sim 16 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
<b>ZEUS</b>	$\sim 110 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$\sim 16 \text{ pb}^{-1}$



# The H1 detector




Isolated electromagnetic cluster with matching track




- Liquid argon calorimeter
- 45000 cells
- EM:
 
$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{E} = \frac{12\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1\%$$
- Systematic 0.3-3%
- HAD:
 
$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{E} = \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1\%$$
- Systematic 1.4-2%

# The ZEUS detector



Missing transverse momentum from the neutrino



- Compensating depleted uranium calorimeter
- 6000 cells
- EM:
 
$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{E} = \frac{18\%}{\sqrt{E}}$$
- Systematic 1-2%
- HAD:
 
$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{E} = \frac{35\%}{\sqrt{E}}$$
- Systematic 1%

# DIS cross sections

## NC Cross Section:

NC Reduced cross section:  $\sigma_{NC}(x, Q^2)$

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{NC}(e^\pm p)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2 \sigma_{\text{had}}^2}{x Q^4} Y_\pm \left[ F_2 \mp \frac{y^2}{Y_\pm} F_L \mp \frac{Y_\mp}{Y_\pm} x F_3 \right] \quad Y_\pm = 1 \pm (1 \mp y)^2$$

↑ Dominant contribution  
↑ Sizeable only at high  $y$   
↑ Contribution only important at high  $Q^2$


## CC Cross Section:

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{CC}(e^\pm p)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{4 \kappa} \frac{M_W^4}{(Q^2 + M_W^2)^2} \left[ Y_\pm F_2^{CC} \mp y^2 F_L^{CC} \mp Y_\mp x F_3^{CC} \right]$$


CC Reduced cross section:  $\sigma_{CC}(x, Q^2)$

# Kinematic range of HERA data


- Overlap with fixed target data at low  $Q^2$  and high  $x$
- Gluon distn at low  $x$
- Valence quarks at high  $x$
- Access to non-perturbative region
- Measurements extend fixed target data to higher  $Q^2$  and higher  $y$
- Probe distances down to 1/1000 proton



# The structure function $F_2$




Wide range of predictions  
before HERA



Vast progress since the beginning of HERA




# The structure function $F_2$



$$F_2 = \sum_q e_q^2 x(q + \bar{q})$$

- $F_2$  dominates cross section
- Measured with precision of  $\sim 2\text{-}3\%$
- Systematics limited at low  $Q^2$
- Statistics limited above  $Q^2 \sim 1000 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Directly sensitive to sum of quarks and antiquarks

# The structure function $F_2$



- $F_2$  sensitive to gluon density via QCD radiation
- Scaling violations
  - Largest at low  $x$
  - Driven by gluon density
- Well described by QCD


# The longitudinal structure function $F_L$

- At leading order in QCD  $F_L=0$
- Appears in NLO QCD
- Direct access to gluon distribution
- Important test of QCD
  
- Two methods from H1
  - “Derivative” method
  - “Shape” method
  - Will discuss new low  $Q^2$  extractions
  
- ZEUS
  - ISR events to vary CMS energy

# $F_L$ from the derivative method

$$\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \ln y}\right)_{Q^2} = \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \ln y}\right)_{Q^2} \cdot F_L \cdot y^2 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot y}{Y_+^2} \cdot \frac{\partial F_L}{\partial \ln y} \cdot \frac{y^2}{Y_+}$$


- At a fixed  $Q^2$ 
  - $F_2 \sim x^{-\lambda} \sim e^{-\lambda \ln y} \sim 1 + \lambda \ln y + \dots$
- Fit  $\partial \sigma / \partial \ln y$  with a straight line at low  $y$  ( $< 0.2$ )
- Extrapolate line to high  $y$
- Difference between extrapolated line and measured points gives  $F_L$  ( $y > 0.4$ )
- Assumption that  $\partial F_2 / \partial \ln y$  linear in  $\ln y$




# FL from the shape method

$$\sigma_{FIT} = c \cdot x^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{y^2}{1+(1-\alpha)y^2} F_L \right]$$

- Fit for one  $F_L$  point per  $Q^2$  bin at  $\langle y \rangle$
- $c$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $F_L$  free parameters
- Shape driven by  $y^2/Y_+$  factor
- Constant  $F_L$  over small  $x$  range
- Fits describe the data well



# The structure function $F_L$



- Extractions consistent
- Shape method gives smaller uncertainties

# FL from ISR events

- NC events with initial state radiation
- Hard photon detected in tagger
- Variation in  $\theta_s$  gives access to a range of  $y$  values at a fixed  $x$  and  $Q^2$
- Use shape of cross section as a function of  $y$  to measure  $F_L$

# $F_L$ from ISR events

- Define:


$$\square_{F_L} = \frac{\square(F_L \neq 0)}{\square(F_L = 0)} = \frac{F_2 \square(1 - \square) F_L}{F_2}$$

$$\square = \frac{2(1 - y)}{1 + (1 - y)^2}$$

- Fit:

$$\frac{N_{data}}{N_{MC}(F_L=0)} = N \cdot \square_{F_L}$$


- Fit as a function of  $y$
- $N$  and  $F_L$  free parameters
- $F_2$  measured





# The structure function $F_L$


- Direct measurement of  $F_L$
- Currently not statistically precise, but...
  - Consistent with NLO QCD
  - Proof that ISR method can work
- For precise measurement of  $F_L$  at HERA in the future need to vary beam energy



# High $Q^2$ cross sections & $xF_3$

- Current knowledge comes from fixed target data
- Data very precise but subject to theoretical uncertainties
  - Nuclear binding effects
  - Non-perturbative effects at low  $Q^2$
- HERA data free from these uncertainties
- Data at high  $Q^2$  and high  $x$  constrain the valence quark distributions
- Low statistics
  - Cross sections are low
- Sensitive to EW effects through exchange of  $Z^0$  in neutral current and  $W$  in charged current

# High $Q^2$ cross sections & $xF_3$




- Difference between  $e^+p$  and  $e^-p$  cross sections gives  $xF_3$

$$xF_3 = \int_q x(q - \bar{q})$$


- $F_L$  is small contribution
- $xF_3$  comes from interference between gamma and  $Z^0$  exchange processes

# High $Q^2$ cross sections & $x\bar{F}_3$



- HERA data confirm valence quark structure
- Uncertainties dominated by statistical uncertainty of  $e-p$  data sample
- Clear need for high luminosity

# Charged current cross sections



- Different for  $e^+p$  and  $e^-p$

$$\square [u + c + (1 - y)^2(\bar{d} + \bar{s})]$$

–  $e^-p$  sensitive to  $u(x, Q^2)$


$$\square [\bar{u} + \bar{c} + (1 - y)^2(d + s)]$$

–  $e^+p$  sensitive to  $d(x, Q^2)$

–  $e^+p$  suppressed by  $(1-y)^2$  helicity factor


- Sensitive to  $M_W$  through propagator

# Charged current cross sections



- $e^+p$  scattering sensitive to  $d(x, Q^2)$
- Current measurements limited by statistics
- In agreement with global PDFs

# Charged current cross sections



- e-p scattering sensitive to  $u(x, Q^2)$
- Current measurements limited by statistics
- In agreement with global PDFs

# Parton distributions


- PDFs cannot be calculated by pQCD
  - Measured at a  $Q^2$  value
  - Parameterise as a function of  $x$
  - Evolve using DGLAP to all  $Q^2$  where pQCD is valid
- Accurate determination of PDFs allow accurate SM predictions
- QCD fits have many choices, should be reflected in the PDF uncertainty:
  - Starting scale, min  $Q^2$ , data sets, perturbative phase space? choice of densities to parameterise, treatment of heavy quarks, functional form of parameterisation, treatment of experimental systematic uncertainties, renorm/factorisation scale...
- H1 & ZEUS make different choices...



# ZEUS 2002 fit

- Essentially a global analysis
  - ZEUS 96/97 NC e<sup>+</sup>p
  - p and d F<sub>2</sub> NMC
  - p and d F<sub>2</sub> E665
  - F<sub>2</sub> p BCDMS
  - CCFR xF<sub>3</sub>
- $2.5 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 30000 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $W^2 > 20 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $Q_0^2 = 7 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Fit  $xg$ ,  $xu_v$ ,  $xd_v$ ,  $x\text{Sea}$ ,  $x(\text{db-ub})$
- Thorne-Roberts VFNS

# ZEUS 2002 fit




- Agreement with CTEQ and MRST
- $\Delta g \sim 10\%$   $Q^2 > 20 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Gluon negative for  $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Can free  $\Delta_S$

- $\Delta_S = 0.1166 \pm 0.0008(\text{uncorr.}) \pm 0.0032(\text{corr.}) \pm 0.0036(\text{norm.}) \pm 0.0018(\text{model})$

# H1 2000 fit

- Minimum number of data sets
  - H1 only
  - BCDMS  $F_2 p$  as a cross check
- $3.5 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 30000 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $Q_0^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Fit tuned combinations of PDFs to cross sections
  - $xg, xU(=u+c), xD(=d+s), xUb, xDb$
- Zero mass variable flavour number scheme

# H1 2000 fit



- In agreement with CTEQ and MRST
- $\Delta xU \sim 3\%$   $x=0.4$
- $\Delta xD \sim 10\%$   $x=0.4$
- Uncertainties on valences PDFs factor  $\sim 2$  larger with only HERA data

# Summary

- Many interesting results from HERA I
- Analysis of structure function data is (almost) complete
- Precision of 2-3% for  $F_2$
- HERA provide consistent picture of NC/CC/ $F_2$ / $F_L$ / $xF_3$
- Measurements cover 5 orders of magnitude in  $Q^2$  and  $x$
- Probe structure of the proton at  $10^{-18}$ m
- Fits allow HERA data to constrain PDFs

# Future prospects for HERA II

- H1 and ZEUS detectors upgraded
  - New detector components commissioned
- Design specific luminosity achieved
- 50%  $e^+$  longitudinal polarisation achieved
- Beam currents limited by backgrounds in detectors
  - Remedied during current shutdown
  
- Improved precision at high  $Q^2$
- $F_L$  measurement from lower beam energy runs
- Measure polarisation dependence of charged and neutral current cross sections
  
- HERA III?